

RECEIVED

OCT 12 2026 11:38 AM

STATE OF FLORIDA
FLORIDA HOUSING FINANCE CORPORATION

FLORIDA HOUSING
FINANCE CORPORATION

HTG MURDOCK, LLC,

Petitioner,

Case No. 2026-058BP

Application # 2027-081C

v.

FLORIDA HOUSING FINANCE
CORPORATION,

Respondent.

FORMAL WRITTEN PROTEST
AND PETITION FOR ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING

HTG MURDOCK, LLC (“Petitioner”) files this Formal Written Protest and Petition for Administrative Hearing (“Petition”) pursuant to sections 120.57(1) and (3), Florida Statutes, and Rules 67-60 and 28-110.004, Florida Administrative Code (“F.A.C.”), challenging the eligibility and goal-qualification determinations, evaluation, and proposed allocations set forth in the Notice of Intended Decision posted on September 25, 2026, by Respondent Florida Housing Finance Corporation (“Florida Housing”), with respect to Request for Applications 2026-201, Housing Credit Financing for Affordable Housing Developments Located in Small and Medium Counties (the “RFA”).

Parties

1. Petitioner is a Florida limited liability company engaged in the business of providing affordable housing. Petitioner’s address is 3225 Aviation Avenue, 6th Floor, Coconut Grove, Florida 33133. Petitioner timely submitted an application in response to the RFA requesting \$2,799,412 in annual 9% Housing Credits for its proposed affordable housing project Murdock Square, an 88-unit Family development located in Charlotte County. Petitioner’s application was

assigned application number 2027-081C (“Petitioner’s Application”). For purposes of this proceeding, Petitioner’s address, telephone number and email address are those of its undersigned counsel.

2. Florida Housing is the affected agency. Florida Housing’s address is 227 North Bronough Street, Suite 5000, Tallahassee, FL 32301. Florida Housing is a public corporation created by Section 420.504, Florida Statutes, to administer the governmental function of financing or refinancing affordable housing and related facilities in Florida. Florida Housing’s file number for Petitioner’s Application is # 2027-081C.

Notice

3. Petitioner received notice of Florida Housing’s intended decision to award funding pursuant to the RFA on September 25, 2026, when Florida Housing posted the RFA 2026-201 Board Approved Preliminary Awards/Notice of Intended Decision (Exhibit A) and the Board Approved Scoring Results (Exhibit B) on its website. Petitioner’s Application was deemed eligible but was not included in the applications preliminarily selected for an award. Petitioner’s Application should have been selected for funding as is outlined in more detail below.

4. Petitioner timely filed its Notice of Intent to Protest Florida Housing’s intended award decisions on September 30, 2026. See Exhibit C.

Background

5. Florida Housing administers various programs aimed at assisting developers in building affordable housing in the state in order to protect financially marginalized citizens from excessive housing costs. A portion of the units constructed with funding from these programs must be set aside for residents at or below a specified percentage of area median income.

6. Florida Housing is the designated entity in Florida responsible for allocating federal low-income housing tax credits to assist in financing the construction or substantial rehabilitation of affordable housing. Chapter 67-60, F.A.C., establishes the procedures by which Florida Housing administers the competitive solicitation funding process for its programs. See Rule 67-60.001(3), F.A.C.

The RFA

7. On July 16, 2026, Florida Housing issued the RFA, soliciting applications proposing the development of affordable, multifamily housing located in Small and Medium Counties. The RFA proposes to allocate an estimated \$21,505,240 in 9% Housing Credits to proposed developments located in Medium Counties and an estimated \$1,944,030 to proposed developments located in Small Counties. See RFA, p. 2. The applications in response to the RFA were due August 14, 2026 (the “Application Deadline”).

8. Seventy-four applications were submitted in response to the RFA, including Petitioner’s Application and application number 2027-084C submitted by Blue CASL LV2, LLC which sought funding for a proposed 72-unit development in Manatee County known as Legacy Village 2 (“2026 Legacy Village Application”).

9. The RFA identified several funding goals including the Local Government Areas of Opportunity (“LGAO”) Funding Goals. See RFA, p. 95-96. An LGAO designation applies to applications that meet all the criteria in the RFA for demonstrating an increased amount of local government support in the form of cash loans or cash grants meeting minimum amounts. See RFA, pp.88-91. The RFA describes the LGAO Funding Goals as follows:

1. Goals

a. The Corporation has a goal to fund four Medium County Applications that qualify for the Local Government Areas of Opportunity Funding Goal outlined in Section Four A.11.b. of the RFA as follows:

(1) There is a goal to fund two Medium County Applications that demonstrate continuous Local Government Areas of Opportunity support since 2024 or earlier.

(2) There is a goal to fund two Medium County Applications that demonstrate continuous Local Government Areas of Opportunity support since 2025 or earlier. (emphasis added.)

See RFA, p. 95. LGAO Funding Goal 1.a.(2) regarding continuous LGAO support since 2025 or earlier is the goal at issue here.

10. The RFA outlines the applicable selection process for each of the funding goals including an application sorting order. The Sorting Order for the LGAO Funding Goals is set forth in the RFA as follows:

2. Application Sorting Order

- a. Sorting Order when selecting Applications to meet the Local Government Areas of Opportunity Goal in 6.a. below

The highest scoring Applications will be determined by first sorting together all eligible Medium County Applications **that qualify for the Local Government Areas of Opportunity Goal** as described in Section Four, A.11.b. of the RFA from highest score to lowest score, with any scores that are tied separated in the following order:

- (1) First, Applications that submit a Local Government Area of Opportunity form (Rev. 03-2025) executed by any of the following Local Governments will receive lower preference, as further described in Section Four, 11.c. of the RFA: City of Fort Pierce; City of Kissimmee; City of Titusville; Haines City; Osceola County; Brevard County; City of Inverness; City of Melbourne; City of Ocala; Clay County, Sarasota County. The remaining Local Governments will receive higher preference.
- (2) Next, by the Application's eligibility for the Development Category Funding Preference which is outlined in Section Four A.4.b.(4) of the RFA (with Applications that qualify for the preference listed above Applications that do not qualify for the preference);

- (3) Next, by the Application's Permit Ready Tier status, which is outlined in Section Four, A.7.c. of the RFA (with Applications that qualify as Tier 1 Permit Ready Applications receiving preference above Applications that do not qualify for this preference);
- (4) Next by the Developer Experience Funding Preference, which is outlined in Section Four, A.3.b.(3)(b) of the RFA (with Applications that qualify for the preference listed above Applications that do not qualify for the preference);
- (5) Next, by the Application's eligibility for the Florida Job Creation Funding Preference which is outlined in Item 4 of Exhibit C of the RFA (with Applications that qualify for the preference listed above Applications that do not qualify for the preference);
- (6) And finally, by lottery number, resulting in the lowest lottery number receiving preference.

See RFA, pp. 96-97. Pages 97-99 of the RFA further outline the funding test and county tally which are also applicable in determining the final funding rankings.

11. The RFA sets forth the following Funding Selection Process regarding the LGAO Funding Goals:

6. The Funding Selection Process [Note excluded]

a. Four Applications that qualify for the Local Government Areas of Opportunity Funding Goal and demonstrate continuous Local Government Areas of Opportunity support

(1) Selection of two Medium County Applications that qualify for the Local Government Areas of Opportunity Funding Goal and demonstrate continuous Local Government Areas of Opportunity support since 2024 or earlier

The first two Applications selected for funding for this goal will be the highest-ranking eligible Priority 1 Applications that qualify for the Local Government Areas of Opportunity Funding Goal described in Section Four, A.11.b. of the RFA and demonstrate continuous Local Government Areas of Opportunity support since 2024 or earlier, subject to the County Award Tally and Funding Test.

(2) Selection of two Medium County Applications that qualify for the Local Government Areas of Opportunity Funding Goal and demonstrate continuous Local Government Areas of Opportunity support since 2025 or earlier

The next Applications selected for funding will be the highest-ranking eligible Priority 1 Applications that qualify for the Local Government Areas of Opportunity Funding Goal described in Section Four, A.11.b. of the RFA and demonstrate continuous Local Government Areas of Opportunity support since 2025 or earlier, subject to the County Award Tally and Funding Test. [Emphasis added.]

12. To qualify for the LGAO Goal as set forth in Section Four, A.11.b. based on continuous LGAO support since 2025 or earlier, the application must submit a completed LGAO Form identifying the facts that support the application of the LGAO designation, including affirming that: “The Application has received multiple years of continuous Local Government Area of Opportunity support since 2025 and the Application Number for the Application submitted in **RFA 2025-201 that qualified** for the Local Government Area of Opportunity Designation is entered to the right.” (emphasis added.) A blank is included to right of the requisite affirmation to insert the application number that serves as the basis for the prior LGAO designation. See RFA p. 137. As is addressed more fully below, Legacy Village 2 was **disqualified** from receiving the LGAO Designation for its 2025 application #2026-170 filed in response to RFA 2025-201. Because Legacy Village did not qualify for the LGAO Designation in 2025, the 2026 Legacy Village Application does not meet the qualification criteria to receive the continuous LGAO Designation under the LGAO Funding Goals for the 2026 RFA.

13. A Review Committee comprised of Florida Housing staff was assigned to conduct the initial evaluation and scoring of the RFA responses. The Review Committee met on September 8, 2026, scored the applications, developed a chart listing the eligible and ineligible applications, and applied the selection criteria set forth in the RFA to develop a proposed allocation of housing tax credits. The preliminary rankings and allocations were presented to and approved by the Florida Housing Board on September 25, 2026. See Exhibit A. The 2026 Legacy Village

Application was preliminarily identified for a funding award under the LGAO Funding Goals for continuous LGAO support since 2025.

14. The RFA and applicable rules provide an opportunity for applicants to file administrative challenges to the scoring and rankings set forth in the preliminary allocations. After resolution of the administrative challenges, the results will be presented to the Florida Housing Board for final approval prior to issuing invitations to the applicants in the funding range to enter the credit underwriting process.

15. Petitioner's Application is a Priority 1 Application. As a result of errors in the goal-qualification determinations, scoring and ranking process, the 2026 Legacy Village Application was erroneously deemed entitled to funding ahead of Petitioner's Application based on the LGAO Goal for continuous support since 2025 or earlier. A correct determination of the developments qualifying for the LGAO Funding Goals under the RFA has not been made. Petitioner's Application satisfied all of the required elements of the RFA and was deemed eligible for funding but was erroneously not selected for funding. If the 2026 Legacy Village Application had properly been determined not to qualify for the continuous support LGAO Goal in the 2026 RFA, Petitioner's Application would have been selected for funding.

Substantial Interests Affected

16. Petitioner's substantial interests are affected because the erroneous determination that the 2026 Legacy Village Application qualifies for the LGAO Goal based on continuous LGAO support since 2025 or earlier, results in Petitioner's Application being ranked lower than Legacy Village's and, thus, falls outside the funding range even though Petitioner's Application holds an A Leveraging Classification, qualifies for the Proximity Funding Preference, qualifies for the LGAO Designation on the basis of a contribution from Charlotte County, and qualifies for the

LGAO Goal based on continuous LGAO support since 2025. See Madison Highlands, LLC v. Florida Housing Finance Corp., 220 So. 3d 467, 474 (Fla. 5th DCA 2017).

Errors in Florida Housing’s Determination that the 2026 Legacy Village Application Qualifies for the LGAO Funding Goals Based on Continuous LGAO Support Since 2025

17. The 2026 Legacy Village Application claimed qualification for the LGAO Goal based on continuous LGAO support since 2025 by selecting checkbox (2) on the LGAO Status tab of its Exhibit A Form and identifying application number 2026-170C as “the Application submitted in RFA 2025-201 that qualified for the Local Government Area of Opportunity Designation (hereinafter “2025 Legacy Village Application”). See 2026 Legacy Village Application, p. 33. Manatee County was identified as the sponsor for the LGAO funding for the 2025 Legacy Village Application.

18. Another application filed in response to RFA 2025-201 (Application #2026-240C - Eagle Village), was also sponsored for the LGAO Designation by Manatee County.

19. The RFA 2025-201 included the following automatic **disqualification criteria** regarding the LGAO Designation:

Limit on the number of Applications within the same Local Government

A proposed Development may only qualify where a Local Government has contributed Local Government Areas of Opportunity Funding in the form of cash loans and/or cash grants for any proposed Development applying in this RFA in an amount sufficient to qualify for the Local Government Areas of Opportunity Designation. A Local Government can only contribute Local Government Areas of Opportunity Funding to one Application that qualifies for the Local Government Areas of Opportunity Designation, regardless of how the contribution is characterized. Any single Local Government may not contribute Local Government Areas of Opportunity Funding in the form of cash loans and/or cash grants to more than one proposed Development applying for the Local Government Areas of Opportunity Designation. If multiple Applications demonstrate Local Government Areas of Opportunity Funding from the same Local Government, then all such Applications will be deemed ineligible for the Local Government Areas of Opportunity Designation, regardless of the amount of

Local Government Areas of Opportunity Funding or how the contribution is characterized.

See also RFA 2025-201, p. 80; see also RFA, pp. 85-86

20. Pursuant to the clear terms of RFA 2025-201, the 2025 Legacy Village Application was automatically disqualified from the LGAO Designation for that RFA due to Manatee County sponsoring two applications. See RFA 2025-201, p. 80.

21. Evidence confirming that Florida Housing determined that the 2025 Legacy Village Application did not “qualify” for the LGAO Designation includes:

- At the Review Committee meeting of January 14, 2026, regarding the review of the applications submitted in response to RFA 2025-201, the Committee Chair asked whether any applications “said they qualified but failed to qualify,” and Florida Housing staff answered: “Yes, there were. Applications 170 [referring to Application #2026-170C/Legacy Village 2] and 240 [referring to Application #2026-240C- Eagle Village]. The LGAO forms received for these developments are both from Manatee County. Therefore, both applications will be ineligible for the LGAO designation and goal.” [emphasis added]
- RFA 2025-201, required as a mandatory eligibility requirement that an applicant must have 7 proximity points to qualify for funding. See RFA 2025-201, p. 27. However, if the applicant qualified for the LGAO designation then they would automatically meet the required 7 proximity points for funding. *Id.* The 2025 Legacy Village Application was deemed ineligible for funding because it did not have the mandatory proximity points. Since an LGAO-designated application “qualifies automatically” on proximity, Florida Housing’s determination that the 2025 Legacy Village Application did not qualify for funding based on the failure to meet the minimum required proximity points demonstrates that the 2025 Legacy Village Application did not obtain the LGAO Designation. See Exhibit D and E - Florida Housing’s Board-approved scoring results for RFA 2025-201, adopted by the Board of Directors on January 30, 2026, recording 2026-170C and 2026-240C were each scored “N” on item 11.a., and 2026-170C “N” on item 5.c.; see also, recording of Review Committee meeting on January 14, 2026, at 13:17 to 14:53 (explaining because it had relied on the automatic proximity qualification available only to Designation holders, “application 170 will not meet the proximity eligibility item.”).
- No party protested the RFA 2025-201 scoring results as to application 2026-170C and Florida Housing did not revise, correct or reverse its scoring determination regarding that application.

22. Because the 2025 Legacy Village Application did not qualify for the LGAO Designation in RFA 2025-201, the 2026 Legacy Village Application cannot satisfy the 2026 RFA's eligibility requirements for the LGAO Funding Goal based on continuous LGAO support since 2025.

23. To qualify for the LGAO Funding Goal the 2026 RFA states:

b. Local Government Areas of Opportunity Goal

To qualify for the Local Government Areas of Opportunity Goals outlined in the funding selection process (LGAO Goals), Applications must meet the following qualifications:

- The Application qualifies for the Local Government Areas of Opportunity Designation;
- The Application is classified as an A Leveraging Classification as further described in Item 3 of Exhibit C of the RFA; and
- The Application is designated as a Priority 1 Application. To qualify for the LGAO Goals based on continuous Local Government Areas of Opportunity support, either (1) or (2) below must also be met.

(2) RFA 2026-201 Goal to select two Applications that demonstrates continuous Local Government Areas of Opportunity support since 2025 or earlier
As described in Section Five of the RFA, when selecting Applications to meet the LGAO Goals, there is a goal to select Applications that demonstrates continuous Local Government Areas of Opportunity support since 2025 or earlier. To qualify for this goal, the Applications that meet the LGAO Goal must also meet all of the following criteria:

The Application number in RFA 2025-201 must be provided[.]

See RFA pp. 137. Section Four, A.11.b. of the RFA, as implemented through Exhibit A to the RFA, provides preferences within the LGAO Funding Goal for Applications that have received continuous LGAO support. To receive the preference for continuous support since 2025, an Applicant must enter "the Application Number for the Application submitted in RFA 2025-201

that qualified for the Local Government Area of Opportunity Designation" (emphasis added). The 2026 Legacy Village Application selected this preference and entered the 2025 Legacy Village Application (Application No. 2026-170C). As discussed above, Florida Housing determined that the 2025 Legacy Village Application was not eligible for the LGAO designation and disqualified it. Because the 2025 Application never qualified for the LGAO designation, the 2026 Legacy Village Application does not qualify for the continuous-support preference within the LGAO Funding Goal.

24. Florida Housing is statutorily required to follow its own stated policy and prior practice. § 120.68(7)(e)3., Fla. Stat.; Madison Landing II, LLC v. Florida Housing Finance Corp., DOAH Case No. 21-0146BID (Rec. Order Mar. 29, 2021; FHFC Final Order Apr. 30, 2021) An agency's failure to follow its own precedent involving similar facts is "contrary to established administrative principles and sound public policy." Villa Capri Assoc. v. Fla. Hous. Fin. Corp., 23 So. 3d 795, 798 (Fla. 1st DCA 2009) (quoting Brookwood-Walton Cty. Convalescent Ctr. v. Ag. for Health Care Admin., 845 So. 2d 223, 229 (Fla. 1st DCA 2003)). Florida Housing's Board-approved determination of January 30, 2026 that application 2026-170C was ineligible and did not hold the LGAO Designation is prior agency action directly on point. Exhibit A of the 2026 Legacy Village Application includes a material misstatement by representing that their 2025 Legacy Village Application qualified for the LGAO designation in RFA 2025-201. This misstatement compels disqualification of the 2026 Legacy Village Application. See Madison Point, LLC v. Fla. Housing Finance Corp., (DOAH Case No. 17-3270BID, Fla. Housing Final Order Sept. 22, 2017 FHFC Case No. 2017-031DP; aff'd 277 SO. 3d 215 (Fla. 1st DCA 2019)). Treating the 2025 Legacy Village Application as "qualif[ying]" for purposes of the continuous-support LGAO Goal in the 2026 RFA is inconsistent with Florida Housing's prior final agency action deeming the 2025

Legacy Village Application as not qualifying and would be clearly erroneous, arbitrary, and contrary to the RFA specifications.

25. Awarding funding to the 2026 Legacy Village Application under a goal reserved for applications demonstrating continuous qualifying LGAO support when its prior-year support did not qualify, confers a competitive advantage upon Legacy Village 2 and restricts competition among the applications lawfully competing for the continuous-support Goal selections, contrary to the principles articulated in Tropabest Foods, Inc. v. Fla. Dep't of Gen. Servs., 493 So. 2d 50, 52 (Fla. 1st DCA 1986).

26. Because the 2026 Legacy Village Application does not qualify for funding under the LGAO Goal based on continuous LGAO support since 2025, it should not have been selected for funding under the LGAO Funding Goals. Removing the 2026 Legacy Village Application from the funding range, results in Petitioner's Application moving into the funding range as the second application eligible for funding under the LGAO Goal for continuous support since 2025.

27. In addition to the grounds set forth above, there may be additional grounds for determining that the 2026 Legacy Village Application does not qualify for the LGAO Designation and/or is ineligible for funding. Petitioner reserves the right to identify and raise additional eligibility, scoring and ranking errors based upon information revealed during the protest process.

28. Petitioner is entitled to a formal administrative hearing pursuant to Sections 120.57(1) and 120.57(3), Florida Statutes, to resolve the issues set forth in this Petition.

Disputed Issues of Material Fact and Law

29. Disputed issues of fact and law include, but are not limited to, the following:

- a. Whether the 2025 Legacy Village Application #2026-170C filed in response to RFA 2025-201, qualified for the Local Government Areas of Opportunity Designation set forth in that RFA;
- b. Whether Florida Housing's Board-approved RFA 2025-201 scoring results constitute a final determination that application 2026-170C did not qualify for the LGAO Designation;
- c. Whether the 2026 Legacy Village Application qualifies for funding under the 2026 RFA LGAO Funding Goals based on continuous LGAO support since 2025 or earlier;
- d. Whether Florida Housing's selection of the 2026 Legacy Village Application for funding under the LGAO Goal is inconsistent funding with Florida Housing's own prior practice and final agency action;
- e. Whether the proposed funding award to the 2026 Legacy Village Application is consistent with the provisions in the RFA;
- f. Whether Florida Housing's proposed award of funding to the 2026 Legacy Village Application is clearly erroneous, arbitrary and capricious and/or contrary to competition; and
- g. Whether Petitioner's Application should receive a funding award under the LGAO Funding Goals instead of the 2026 Legacy Village Application.
- h. Such other issues as may be revealed during the protest process.

Concise Statement of Ultimate Facts

30. Petitioner participated in the RFA process to compete for an award of funding with other developers based on the scoring and ranking in the RFA. The 2026 Legacy Village

Application was incorrectly determined to qualify for the LGAO Goal based on continuous LGAO support and was unjustifiably elevated ahead of the Petitioner. Petitioner will be erroneously denied funding if the current proposed award to the 2026 Legacy Village Application allowed to become final.

31. Unless the goal-qualification determination regarding the 2026 Legacy Village Application is corrected and the preliminary allocation is revised, Petitioner will erroneously be excluded from funding.

32. The RFA selection criteria mandate a determination that the 2026 Legacy Village Application does not qualify for the LGAO Goal based on continuous LGAO support since 2025.

33. Petitioner's Application for Murdock Square should be selected for funding.

Reservation to Amend

34. Petitioner reserves the right to amend its Petition based upon discovery prior to hearing.

Statutes and Rules Entitling Relief

35. The statutes and rules which are applicable in this case and that require modification of the proposed funding selections include, but are not limited to, Sections 120.57(3), 120.68(7)(e)3. and Chapter 420, Part V, Florida Statutes, and Chapters 28-110 and 67-60, F.A.C.

Demand for Relief

36. Pursuant to Section 120.57(3), Florida Statutes, and Rule 28-110.004, F.A.C., the Petitioner requests the following relief:

- a. An opportunity to resolve this protest by mutual agreement within seven days of the filing of this Petition as provided by Section 120.57(3)(d)1., Florida Statutes;

- b. If this protest cannot be resolved by mutual agreement, that the matter be referred to the Division of Administrative Hearings for a formal hearing to be conducted before an Administrative Law Judge pursuant to Sections 120.57(1) and (3), Florida Statutes;
- c. Recommended and Final Orders be entered determining that the 2026 Legacy Village Application does not qualify for the Local Government Areas of Opportunity Goal based on continuous Local Government Areas of Opportunity support and that Murdock Square be awarded funding and invited to credit underwriting; and
- d. Such other relief as may be appropriate.

Respectfully submitted this 12th day of October, 2026.

/s/ J. Stephen Menton
J. Stephen Menton
Florida Bar No. 331181
Tana D. Storey
Florida Bar No. 514472
Rutledge Ecenia, P.A.
119 South Monroe Street, Suite 202
Tallahassee, FL 32301
850-681-6788 Telephone
850-681-6515 Facsimile
smenton@rutledge-ecenia.com
tana@rutledge-ecenia.com
Counsel for Petitioner

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I HEREBY CERTIFY that this original has been filed with the Agency Clerk, Florida Housing Finance Corporation, 227 North Bronough Street, Suite 5000, Tallahassee, Florida 32301 via email at: Florida Housing Finance Corporation, Clerk, CorporationClerk@floridahousing.org and a courtesy copy provided to Ethan Katz, ethan.katz@floridahousing.org this 12th day of October, 2026.

/s/ J. Stephen Menton
Attorney

EXHIBIT

A

RFA 2026-201 - Board Approved Preliminary Awards

Total HC for Medium Counties in RFA	21,505,240	Total HC for Small Counties in RFA	1,944,030
Total HC Allocated to Medium Counties	21,385,000	Total HC Allocated to Small Counties	1,938,688
Plus Unallocated Small County funding	5,342.00		
Total HC for Medium Counties Remaining	125,582	Total HC for Small Counties Remaining	-

Application Number	Name of Development	County	County Size	Name of Authorized Principal Representative	Name of Developers	Demo	Total Units	9% HC Request Amount	Priority Level	PHA Area of Opportunity	Limited to one Priority 1 award?	Nickname of group of P1 apps if limit applies	Qualifies for LGAO Goal?	LGAO / continuous support since 2024?	LGAO / continuous support since 2025?	Qualifies for the SunRail Goal?	Level of SunRail Station	Family Dev in Medium County that qualifies for the Geographic Area of Opportunity / SADDA Funding Goal?	NP Goal?	Total Points	LGAO - lower preference?	Development Category Funding Preference	Leveraging Classification	Proximity Funding Preference	Qualifies as a Permit Ready Application?	Permit Ready Tier status	Developer Experience Funding Preference	Florida Job Creation Preference	Lottery Number
--------------------	---------------------	--------	-------------	---	--------------------	------	-------------	----------------------	----------------	-------------------------	----------------------------------	---	--------------------------	---------------------------------------	---------------------------------------	---------------------------------	--------------------------	---	----------	--------------	--------------------------	---	---------------------------	------------------------------	--	--------------------------	---	---------------------------------	----------------

Goal to fund four Medium County Applications that qualify for the Local Government Area of Opportunity Goal

2027-100C	Madison Oaks West II	Marion	M	Patrick E. Law	ARD Madison Oaks West II, LLC; New South Residential, LLC	F	96	\$2,800,000	1	N	N		Y	Y	Y	N	N/A	N	N	10	N	Y	A	N	Y	1	Y	Y	11
2027-122C	Hope @ Debra Heights	Alachua	M	Tim Sittema	CSE Communities Florida LLC; Building Hope, Inc.; Bethlehem Companies, LLC	F	96	\$2,800,000	1	N	Y	N/A	Y	Y	Y	N	N/A	N	N	10	N	Y	A	Y	Y	1	Y	Y	72
2027-084C	Legacy Village 2	Manatee	M	Scott Macdonald	Blue LV2 Developer, LLC ; CASI Developer, LLC	F	72	\$2,565,000	1	N	N		Y	N	Y	N	N/A	Y	N	10	N	Y	A	N	Y	1	Y	Y	4
2027-079C	The Fountains at Hidden Lake Phase II	Citrus	M	Matthew A. Rieger	HTG Hidden Lake Developer II, LLC	E, Non-ALF	67	\$2,290,000	1	N	N		Y	N	Y	N	N/A	N	N	10	N	Y	A	N	Y	1	Y	Y	37

Goal to fund one Application that qualifies for the SunRail Goal

2027-135C	Sanford Station Apartments	Seminole	M	J. David Heller	Sanford Station Developer LLC; WCZ Development LLC	E, Non-ALF	80	\$2,800,000	1	N	Y	N/A	N	N	N	Y	1	N	N	10	N	Y	A	Y	N	2	Y	Y	10
-----------	----------------------------	----------	---	-----------------	--	------------	----	-------------	---	---	---	-----	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	----	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	----

Small County Applications

2027-092C	Cottondale Lofts	Jackson	S	Jeffrey J. Woda	The Woda Group, Inc.	F	54	\$1,938,688	1	N	Y	WODA	N	N	N	N	N/A	N	N	10	N	Y	A	Y	Y	1	Y	Y	2
-----------	------------------	---------	---	-----------------	----------------------	---	----	-------------	---	---	---	------	---	---	---	---	-----	---	---	----	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---

Goal to fund one Medium County Application with a Demographic commitment of Family that selects and qualifies for the Geographic Areas of Opportunity / SADDA Goal

2027-140C	Kirkham Park	Volusia	M	Jonathan L. Wolf	Kirkham Park Developer, LLC	F	80	\$2,800,000	1	N	Y	Wendover	N	N	N	N	N/A	Y	N	10	N	Y	A	Y	Y	1	Y	Y	6
-----------	--------------	---------	---	------------------	-----------------------------	---	----	-------------	---	---	---	----------	---	---	---	---	-----	---	---	----	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---

Up to two Medium County Applications that qualify for either the LGAO Funding Goal OR GAO/ SADDA Goal, with preference for Non-Profit Application if NP Goal not yet met

2027-121C	The Courts at Carver Square	Flagler	M	Joseph Chambers	Flagler Redevelopment Partners, LLC; FCHA Affordable Development, LLC	F	84	\$2,800,000	1	N	N		N	N	N	N	N/A	Y	Y	10	N	Y	A	Y	N	2	Y	Y	3
2027-129C	Robin Village	Brevard	M	Deion R. Lowery	DDER Development, LLC	F	80	\$2,530,000	1	N	N		Y	N	N	N	N/A	Y	N	10	Y	Y	A	Y	Y	1	Y	Y	21

On September 25, 2026, the Board of Directors of Florida Housing Finance Corporation approved the Review Committee's motion and staff recommendation to select the above Applications for funding and invite the Applicants to enter credit underwriting.

Any Applicant may file a notice of protest and a formal written protest in accordance with Section 120.57(3), Fla. Stat., Rule Chapter 28-110, F.A.C., and Rule 67-60.009, F.A.C. Failure to file a protest within the time prescribed in Section 120.57(3), Fla. Stat., shall constitute a waiver of proceedings under Chapter 120, Fla. Stat.

EXHIBIT

B

RFA 2026-201 - Board Approved Scoring Results

Application Number	Name of Development	County	County Size	Name of Authorized Principal Representative	Name of Developers	Demo	Total Units	9% HC Request Amount	Priority Level	PHA Area of Opportunity	Limited to one Priority 1 award?	Nickname of group of P1 Apps if limit applies	Qualifies for LGAO Goal?	LGAO/ continuous support since 2017?	LGAO/ continuous support since 2025?	Qualifies for the SunRail Goal?	Level of SunRail Station	Family Dev in Medium County that qualifies for the Geographic Area of Opportunity / SAODA Funding Goal?	NP Goal?	Total Points	LGAO - lower preference?	Development Category Funding Preference	Development Category	Total Corp Funding Per Set-Aside	Leveraging Classification	Proximity Funding Preference	Qualifies as a Permit Ready Application?	Permit Ready Tier status	Developer Experience Funding Preference	Florida Job Creation Preference	Lottery Number	
Eligible Applications																																
2027-076C	Platform at DeLand	Volusia	M	Christopher L. Eisenzimmer	BRAD Platform at DeLand Developer, LLC	F	86	\$2,800,000	1	N	Y	N/A	N	N	N	Y	1	Y	N	10	N	Y	NC	\$249,069.77	A	Y	N	2	Y	Y	71	
2027-077C	Pinnacle at Moore's Creek	St. Lucie	M	David O. Deutch	Pinnacle Communities II, LLC	F	90	\$2,800,000	1	N	N		Y	N	Y	N	N/A	N	N	10	N	Y	NC	\$216,290.17	A	Y	N	2	Y	Y	2	
2027-078C	Pinnacle on Grand	Pasco	M	David O. Deutch	Pinnacle Communities II, LLC	F	86	\$2,800,000	1	N	N		N	N	N	N	N/A	Y	N	10	N	Y	NC	\$249,069.77	A	Y	N	2	Y	Y	47	
2027-079C	The Fountains at Hidden Lake Phase II	Citrus	M	Matthew A. Rieger	HTG Hidden Lake Developer II, LLC	E, Non-ALF	67	\$2,290,000	1	N	N		Y	N	Y	N	N/A	N	N	10	N	Y	NC	\$254,929.93	A	N	Y	1	Y	Y	37	
2027-080C	White Dove Court	Clay	M	Delon R. Lowery	DDER Development, LLC	F	96	\$2,800,000	1	N	N		N	N	N	N	N/A	Y	N	10	N	Y	NC	\$207,506.25	A	Y	N	2	Y	Y	66	
2027-081C	Murdock Square	Charlotte	M	Matthew A. Rieger	HTG Murdock Developer, LLC	F	88	\$2,799,412	1	N	N		Y	N	Y	N	N/A	Y	N	10	N	Y	NC	\$226,322.92	A	Y	N	2	Y	Y	7	
2027-082C	Pinnacle Station	Osceola	M	David O. Deutch	Pinnacle Communities II, LLC; DDER Development, LLC	E, Non-ALF	90	\$2,800,000	1	N	N		Y	N	N	Y	1	N	N	10	Y	Y	NC	\$207,993.74	A	Y	N	2	Y	Y	59	
2027-083C	Renaissance Hall Senior Living	Collier	M	Steven C. Kirk	Rural Neighborhoods, Incorporated	E, Non-ALF	78	\$2,800,000	1	N	N		Y	N	N	N	N/A	N	Y	10	N	Y	NC	\$253,447.40	A	Y	N	2	Y	Y	44	
2027-084C	Legacy Village 2	Manatee	M	Scott Macdonald	Blue LV2 Developer, LLC ; CASL Developer, LLC	F	72	\$2,565,000	1	N	N		Y	N	Y	N	N/A	Y	N	10	N	Y	NC	\$257,991.20	A	N	Y	1	Y	Y	4	
2027-086C	Leah Gardens	Clay	M	James R. Hoover	TVC Development, Inc.	F	120	\$2,800,000	1	N	N		N	N	N	N	N/A	Y	N	10	N	Y	NC	\$178,500.00	A	Y	N	2	Y	Y	46	
2027-087C	Madison Cove	Volusia	M	Patrick E. Law	ARD Madison Cove, LLC; New South Residential, LLC	E, Non-ALF	84	\$2,800,000	1	N	N		Y	Y	Y	N	N/A	N	N	10	N	Y	NC	\$225,918.31	A	N	Y	2	Y	Y	49	
2027-088C	Royal Park Seniors	Alachua	M	Lindsay Sammons	BDG Royal Park Seniors Developer, LLC	E, Non-ALF	86	\$2,800,000	1	N	N		Y	N	N	N	N/A	N	N	10	N	Y	NC	\$216,760.87	A	Y	Y	1	Y	Y	70	
2027-089C	Legacy Grove	Volusia	M	Brett Green	Legacy Grove Developer, LLC	F	84	\$2,800,000	1	N	N		N	N	N	Y	1	Y	N	10	N	Y	NC	\$255,000.00	A	Y	N	2	Y	Y	73	
2027-090C	Emerald Manor	Seminole	M	Scott Zimmerman	BDG Emerald Manor Developer, LLC	F	79	\$2,800,000	1	N	N		N	N	N	N	N/A	Y	N	10	N	Y	NC	\$244,938.72	A	Y	N	2	Y	Y	43	
2027-091C	Sanford Breeze	Seminole	M	Matthew A. Rieger	HTG Loomis I Developer, LLC; Daytona Beach Housing Development Corporation	F	90	\$2,799,412	1	Y	N		N	N	N	Y	1	N	N	10	N	Y	NC	\$237,445.21	A	Y	N	2	Y	Y	12	
2027-092C	Cottondale Lofts	Jackson	S	Jeffrey J. Woda	The Woda Group, Inc.	F	54	\$1,938,688	1	N	Y	WODA	N	N	N	N	N/A	N	N	10	N	Y	NC	\$274,647.47	A	Y	Y	1	Y	Y	2	
2027-093C	Jupiter Greene	Columbia	S	Jeffrey J. Woda	The Woda Group, Inc.	F	54	\$1,944,030	1	N	Y	WODA	N	N	N	N	N/A	N	N	10	N	Y	NC	\$275,404.25	A	Y	N	2	Y	Y	27	
2027-094C	Saddlewood Crossings	Marion	M	Scott Macdonald	Blue Casa 2 Developer, LLC	F	80	\$2,800,000	1	N	N		N	N	N	N	N/A	Y	N	10	N	Y	NC	\$249,007.50	A	Y	N	2	Y	Y	9	
2027-095C	Remington Lofts	Putnam	S	Jeffrey J. Woda	The Woda Group, Inc.	F	48	\$1,922,884	1	N	Y	WODA	N	N	N	N	N/A	N	N	10	N	Y	NC	\$306,459.64	B	Y	N	2	Y	Y	63	
2027-096C	Sebring Apartments	Highlands	M	Timothy M. Morgan	JIC Florida Development, LLC	F	80	\$2,705,152	1	N	N		N	N	N	N	N/A	Y	N	10	N	Y	NC	\$240,572.55	A	Y	N	2	Y	Y	28	
2027-097C	Palatka Senior	Putnam	S	Timothy M. Morgan	JIC Florida Development, LLC	E, Non-ALF	56	\$1,944,030	1	N	N		N	N	N	N	N/A	N	N	10	N	Y	NC	\$227,590.78	A	Y	N	2	Y	Y	18	
2027-098C	The Preserve at Moon Lake	Pasco	M	Kenneth Naylor	Preserve at Moon Lake Development, LLC	F	96	\$2,800,000	1	N	N		N	N	N	N	N/A	Y	N	10	N	Y	NC	\$232,938.37	A	Y	N	2	Y	Y	22	
2027-099C	Tavares Apartments	Lake	M	Timothy M. Morgan	JIC Florida Development, LLC	F	80	\$2,794,792	1	N	N		N	N	N	N	N/A	Y	N	10	N	Y	NC	\$241,088.02	A	Y	N	2	Y	Y	20	
2027-100C	Madison Oaks West II	Marion	M	Patrick E. Law	ARD Madison Oaks West II, LLC; New South Residential, LLC	F	96	\$2,800,000	1	N	N		Y	Y	Y	N	N/A	N	N	10	N	Y	NC	\$223,125.00	A	N	Y	1	Y	Y	11	
2027-101C	Bradford Villas	Volusia	M	Jonathan L. Wolf	Bradford Villas Developer, LLC	F	80	\$2,800,000	1	N	Y	Wendover	N	N	N	N	N/A	Y	N	10	N	Y	NC	\$249,007.50	A	Y	N	2	Y	Y	65	
2027-102C	Bromley Square	Pasco	M	Jonathan L. Wolf	Bromley Square Developer, LLC	F	80	\$2,800,000	1	N	Y	Wendover	N	N	N	N	N/A	Y	N	10	N	Y	NC	\$249,007.50	A	Y	N	2	Y	Y	48	
2027-104C	Banyan Glades	Brevard	M	Scott Zimmerman	BDG Banyan Glades Developer, LLC	F	84	\$2,800,000	1	N	N		N	N	N	N	N/A	Y	N	10	N	Y	NC	\$237,150.00	A	Y	N	2	Y	Y	39	
2027-105C	Grandview Pointe	Osceola	M	Joseph Chapman	Royal American Properties, LLC; RACB Developer, LLC	F	96	\$2,599,000	1	N	N		N	N	N	N	N/A	Y	N	10	N	Y	NC	\$200,894.58	A	Y	N	2	Y	Y	45	

RFA 2026-201 - Board Approved Scoring Results

Application Number	Name of Development	County	County Size	Name of Authorized Principal Representative	Name of Developers	Demo	Total Units	9% HC Request Amount	Priority Level	PHA Area of Opportunity	Limited to one Priority 1 award?	Nickname of group of P1 Apps if limit applies	Qualifies for LGAO Goal?	LGAO/ continuous support since 2024?	LGAO/ continuous support since 2025?	Qualifies for the SunRail Goal?	Level of SunRail Station	Family Dev in Medium County that qualifies for the Geographic Area of Opportunity / SAODA Funding Goal?	NP Goal?	Total Points	LGAO - lower preference?	Development Category Funding Preference	Development Category	Total Corp Funding Per Set-Aside	Leveraging Classification	Proximity Funding Preference	Qualifies as a Permit Ready Application?	Permit Ready Tier status	Developer Experience Funding Preference	Florida Job Creation Preference	Lottery Number
2027-106C	Talland Park	Seminole	M	Jonathan L. Wolf	Talland Park Developer, LLC; SHA Development, LLC	F	80	\$2,800,000	2	N	Y	N/A	N	N	N	N	N/A	N	N	10	N	Y	NC	\$231,576.98	A	Y	N	2	Y	Y	24
2027-107C	Sandhill Commons Apartments	Sumter	M	Brian Swanton	Gorman & Company, LLC	F	56	\$1,806,000	1	N	N		N	N	N	N	N/A	Y	N	10	N	Y	NC	\$246,712.50	A	Y	N	2	Y	Y	17
2027-109C	Avalon Pointe	Clay	M	Joseph Chapman	Royal American Properties, LLC; RACB Developer, LLC	F	96	\$2,499,000	1	N	N		N	N	N	N	N/A	Y	N	10	N	Y	NC	\$193,164.89	A	Y	N	2	Y	Y	32
2027-110C	The Pointe at Mossy Oak	Walton	S	Joseph Chapman	Royal American Properties, LLC; RACB Developer, LLC	F	72	\$1,939,000	1	N	N		N	N	N	N	N/A	N	N	10	N	Y	NC	\$206,018.75	A	Y	N	2	Y	Y	55
2027-111C	Ekos Melbourne Landings	Brevard	M	Christopher L. Shear	MHP Melbourne Landings Developer, LLC	F	96	\$2,800,000	1	N	N		N	N	N	N	N/A	Y	N	10	N	Y	NC	\$201,281.06	A	Y	N	2	Y	Y	30
2027-112C	Ekos at the Springs	Seminole	M	Christopher L. Shear	MHP Seminole I Developer, LLC	F	97	\$2,800,000	1	N	N		Y	N	N	N	N/A	Y	N	10	N	Y	NC	\$208,561.61	A	Y	N	2	Y	Y	52
2027-113C	Avira at Gainesville	Alachua	M	Daniel F. Acosta	ACRUVA Equitable Housing Developers, LLC	F	72	\$2,800,000	1	N	N		N	N	N	N	N/A	Y	N	10	N	Y	NC	\$297,500.00	B	Y	N	2	Y	Y	74
2027-114C	Avira at Redstone	Okaloosa	M	Daniel F. Acosta	ACRUVA Equitable Housing Developers, LLC	F	72	\$2,800,000	1	N	N		N	N	N	N	N/A	Y	N	10	N	Y	NC	\$297,500.00	B	Y	N	2	Y	Y	15
2027-115C	Bayside Pointe	Okaloosa	M	Brian Kimes	JES Dev Co, Inc.	F	82	\$2,600,000	1	N	N		N	N	N	N	N/A	Y	N	10	N	Y	NC	\$235,284.15	A	Y	N	2	Y	Y	38
2027-116C	Orchard Springs	Columbia	S	Brian Kimes	JES Dev Co, Inc.	F	56	\$1,910,000	1	N	N		N	N	N	N	N/A	N	N	10	N	Y	NC	\$253,092.05	A	Y	N	2	Y	Y	29
2027-117C	High Craft	Citrus	M	Scott Macdonald	Blue Casa Developer, LLC	F	80	\$2,800,000	1	N	N		N	N	N	N	N/A	Y	N	10	N	Y	NC	\$249,007.50	A	Y	N	2	Y	Y	31
2027-118C	Vesta at Osowaw	Hernando	M	Kory Geans	Newstar Development, LLC; Middleburg Communities, LLC	F	90	\$2,800,000	1	N	N		N	N	N	N	N/A	Y	N	10	N	Y	NC	\$250,340.74	A	Y	N	2	Y	Y	36
2027-119C	City Center at St. Cloud	Osceola	M	Kevin A. Wenrich	Atlantic Housing Partners, L.L.P.	F	90	\$2,800,000	1	N	N		N	N	N	N	N/A	Y	N	10	N	Y	NC	\$256,511.11	A	Y	N	2	Y	Y	34
2027-121C	The Courts at Carver Square	Flagler	M	Joseph Chambers	Flagler Redevelopment Partners, LLC; FCHA Affordable Development, LLC	F	84	\$2,800,000	1	N	N		N	N	N	N	N/A	Y	Y	10	N	Y	NC	\$220,549.50	A	Y	N	2	Y	Y	3
2027-122C	Hope @ Debra Heights	Alachua	M	Tim Sittima	CSE Communities Florida LLC; Building Hope, Inc.; Bethlehem Companies, LLC	F	96	\$2,800,000	1	N	Y	N/A	Y	Y	Y	N	N/A	N	N	10	N	Y	NC	\$223,125.00	A	Y	Y	1	Y	Y	72
2027-123C	Grove at Theater Road Phase 2	Hardee	S	Eric C. Miller	NDA Developer, LLC	F	40	\$1,837,379	1	N	N		N	N	N	N	N/A	N	N	10	N	Y	NC	\$326,800.82	B	Y	N	2	Y	Y	41
2027-124C	Osprey Grove Apartments	Marion	M	Brian Swanton	Gorman & Company, LLC	F	72	\$2,322,000	1	N	N		N	N	N	N	N/A	Y	N	10	N	Y	NC	\$246,712.50	A	Y	N	2	Y	Y	42
2027-127C	Ardent Run at Wildwood	Sumter	M	Doak D. Brown	Brownstone Affordable Housing, Ltd.; Mears Development & Construction, Inc.	F	96	\$2,800,000	1	N	Y	Brownstone	N	N	N	N	N/A	Y	N	10	N	Y	NC	\$223,125.00	A	Y	N	2	Y	Y	1
2027-128C	Congress Street Apartments	Pasco	M	C. Hunter Nelson	ECG Pasco II Developer, LLC	F	96	\$2,800,000	1	N	N		Y	N	N	N	N/A	N	N	10	N	Y	NC	\$216,431.25	A	Y	N	2	Y	Y	19
2027-129C	Robin Village	Brevard	M	Deion R. Lowery	ODER Development, LLC	F	80	\$2,530,000	1	N	N		Y	N	N	N	N/A	Y	N	10	Y	Y	NC	\$224,914.81	A	Y	Y	1	Y	Y	21
2027-130C	Tranquility at Blue Angel Crossing	Escambia	M	Brian B. Waterfield	Timshel Hill Tide Developers II, LLC	F	96	\$2,800,000	1	N	N		N	N	N	N	N/A	Y	N	10	N	Y	NC	\$223,125.00	A	Y	N	2	Y	Y	14
2027-131C	Ardent Run	Indian River	M	Doak D. Brown	Brownstone Affordable Housing, Ltd.; Mears Development & Construction, Inc.	F	96	\$2,800,000	1	N	Y	Brownstone	N	N	N	N	N/A	Y	N	10	N	Y	NC	\$223,125.00	A	Y	N	2	Y	Y	64
2027-132C	Tranquility at Five Points	Santa Rosa	M	Brian B. Waterfield	Timshel Hill Tide Developers II, LLC; Nantahala Apartments, LLC	F	96	\$2,800,000	1	N	N		N	N	N	N	N/A	Y	N	10	N	Y	NC	\$223,125.00	A	Y	N	2	Y	Y	35
2027-133C	Tranquility at Lake City	Columbia	S	Brian B. Waterfield	Timshel Hill Tide Developers II, LLC; AHF Development, LLC	E, Non-AUF	60	\$1,944,030	1	N	N		N	N	N	N	N/A	N	N	10	N	Y	NC	\$235,470.63	A	Y	N	2	Y	Y	62

RFA 2026-201 - Board Approved Scoring Results

Application Number	Name of Development	County	County Size	Name of Authorized Principal Representative	Name of Developers	Demo	Total Units	9% HC Request Amount	Priority Level	PHA Area of Opportunity	Limited to one Priority 1 award?	Nickname of group of P1 Apps if limit applies	Qualifies for LGAO Goal?	LGAO/ continuous support since 2024?	LGAO/ continuous support since 2025?	Qualifies for the SunRail Goal?	Level of SunRail Station	Family Dev in Medium County that qualifies for the Geographic Area of Opportunity / SAODA Funding Goal?	NP Goal?	Total Points	LGAO - lower preference?	Development Category Funding Preference	Development Category	Total Corp Funding Per Set-Aside	Leveraging Classification	Proximity Funding Preference	Qualifies as a Permit Ready Application?	Permit Ready Tier status	Developer Experience Funding Preference	Florida Job Creation Preference	Lottery Number
2027-134C	Heron House Apartments	Citrus	M	Brian Swanton	Gorman & Company, LLC	F	64	\$2,054,000	1	N	N		N	N	N	N	N/A	Y	N	10	N	Y	NC	\$246,712.50	A	Y	N	2	Y	Y	60
2027-135C	Sanford Station Apartments	Seminole	M	J. David Heller	Sanford Station Developer LLC; WC2 Development LLC	E, Non-ALF	80	\$2,800,000	1	N	Y	N/A	N	N	N	Y	1	N	N	10	N	Y	NC	\$241,570.82	A	Y	N	2	Y	Y	10
2027-138C	Vesta at Gemini	Volusia	M	Kory Geans	Newstar Development, LLC; Middleburg Communities, LLC	F	71	\$2,400,000	1	N	N		N	N	N	Y	1	Y	N	10	N	Y	NC	\$255,304.74	A	N	N	2	Y	Y	58
2027-139C	Ardent at Gulf Breeze	Santa Rosa	M	Doak D. Brown	Brownstone Affordable Housing, Ltd.; Mears Development & Construction, Inc.	F	90	\$2,720,000	1	N	Y	Brownstone	N	N	N	N	N/A	Y	N	10	N	Y	NC	\$231,200.00	A	Y	N	2	Y	Y	56
2027-140C	Kirkham Park	Volusia	M	Jonathan L. Wolf	Kirkham Park Developer, LLC	F	80	\$2,800,000	1	N	Y	Wendover	N	N	N	N	N/A	Y	N	10	N	Y	NC	\$249,007.50	A	Y	Y	1	Y	Y	6
2027-141C	Suntree Commons	Brevard	M	Benjamin Nayberg	Hope Housing Alliance, Inc.; East Lake Management & Development Corp.	F	82	\$2,800,000	1	N	N		N	N	N	N	N/A	Y	Y	10	N	Y	NC	\$272,546.10	B	Y	N	2	Y	Y	61
2027-142C	Bayou Bend Residences	Pasco	M	Kenneth Naylor	Bayou Bend Residences Development, LLC	F	92	\$2,800,000	1	N	N		N	N	N	N	N/A	Y	N	10	N	Y	NC	\$239,574.67	A	Y	N	2	Y	Y	33
2027-144C	Solara at DeFuniak	Walton	S	Elzie L. Higginbottom	Hope Housing Alliance, Inc.; East Lake Management & Development Corp.	E, Non-ALF	54	\$1,944,030	1	N	N		N	N	N	N	N/A	N	N	10	N	Y	NC	\$278,860.97	A	Y	N	2	Y	Y	67
2027-145C	The Residences at Pleasant Hill	Osceola	M	Kenneth Naylor	The Residences at Pleasant Hill Development, LLC	F	88	\$2,800,000	1	N	N		N	N	N	N	N/A	Y	N	10	N	Y	NC	\$245,867.77	A	Y	N	2	Y	Y	51
2027-146C	Solara at Lake City	Columbia	S	Elzie L. Higginbottom	Hope Housing Alliance, Inc.; East Lake Management & Development Corp.	E, Non-ALF	56	\$1,944,030	1	N	N		N	N	N	N	N/A	N	N	10	N	Y	NC	\$272,312.98	A	Y	N	2	Y	Y	5
2027-147C	Ekos DeBary Station	Volusia	M	Christopher L. Shear	MHP DeBary Station Developer, LLC	F	96	\$2,800,000	1	N	N		N	N	N	Y	1	Y	N	10	N	Y	NC	\$223,125.00	A	N	N	2	Y	Y	68
2027-148C	Sandhill Grove Apartments	Pasco	M	Thom Amdur	Sandhill Grove Developer LLC	F	72	\$2,114,842	1	N	N		N	N	N	N	N/A	N	Y	10	N	Y	NC	\$208,972.83	A	Y	N	2	Y	Y	69
2027-149C	Zephyrhills	Pasco	M	Saki S. Middleton	John Stanley Florida Inc.	F	76	\$625,487	1	N	Y	N/A	N	N	N	N	N/A	N	N	10	N	N	A/R	\$65,537.52	A	Y	N	2	Y	Y	53

Ineligible Applications

2027-085C	Sugg Redevelopment	Manatee	M	Darren Smith	Fortis Sugg Redevelopment Developer, LLC; HACB Developer, LLC	E, Non-ALF	80	\$2,800,000	1	N	N		Y	N	Y	N	N/A	N	N	10	N	Y	NC	\$244,442.36	A	Y	N	2	Y	Y	57
2027-103C	Madison Grove II	Osceola	M	Patrick E. Law	ARD Madison Grove II, LLC; New South Residential, LLC	E, Non-ALF	80	\$2,800,000	1	N	N		N	N	N	N	N/A	N	N	10	N	Y	NC	\$237,214.23	A	N	Y	2	Y	Y	40
2027-108C	WaterVue at Lake Geneva	Lake	M	Kevin A. Wenrich	Atlantic Housing Partners, L.L.P.	F	92	\$2,800,000	1	N	N		Y	N	N	N	N/A	Y	N	10	N	Y	NC	\$255,000.00	A	N	Y	1	Y	Y	8
2027-120C	Westside Phase II	Volusia	M	Darren Smith	NSRHDC Developer, LLC; Westside Phase II Fortis Developer, LLC	F	72	\$2,800,000	1	N	N		Y	N	Y	N	N/A	Y	Y	10	N	Y	NC	\$257,307.75	A	Y	N	2	Y	Y	54
2027-125C	Warrington Senior Living	Escambia	M	Michael Ruane	Heartland Development Group LLC; CORE Warrington Senior Developer LLC	E, Non-ALF	96	\$2,800,000	1	N	N		Y	N	Y	N	N/A	N	Y	10	N	Y	NC	\$212,463.34	A	Y	N	2	Y	Y	13
2027-126C	Magnolia Senior	Leon	M	Carmen Chubb	New Affordable Housing Partners, LLC; Tallahassee Housing Economic Corporation	E, Non-ALF	120	\$2,800,000	1	N	N		Y	Y	Y	N	N/A	N	N	10	N	Y	NC	\$192,750.25	A	Y	N	2	Y	Y	26

RFA 2026-201 - Board Approved Scoring Results

Page 4 of 4

Application Number	Name of Development	County	County Size	Name of Authorized Principal Representative	Name of Developers	Demo	Total Units	9% HC Request Amount	Priority Level	PHA Area of Opportunity	Limited to one Priority 1 award?	Nickname of group of P1 Apps if limit applies	Qualifies for LGAO Goal?	LGAO/ continuous support since 2024?	LGAO/ continuous support since 2025?	Qualifies for the SunRail Goal?	Level of SunRail Station	Family Dev in Medium County that qualifies for the Geographic Area of Opportunity / SADDA Funding Goal?	NP Goal?	Total Points	LGAO - lower preference?	Development Category Funding Preference	Development Category	Total Corp Funding Per Set-Aside	Leveraging Classification	Proximity Funding Preference	Qualifies as a Permit Ready Application?	Permit Ready Tier status	Developer Experience Funding Preference	Florida Job Creation Preference	Lottery Number
2027-136C	Lang Milian Phase I	Monroe	S	Darren Smith	SHAG Lang Milian Developer, LLC; Key West Housing Development Corporation	F	48	\$1,944,030	1	N	N		N	N	N	N	N/A	N	N	10	N	Y	NC	\$265,949.58	A	Y	N	2	Y	Y	25
2027-137C	Lakeshore Ridge	Leon	M	Michael Ruane	Heartland Development Group LLC; CORE Lakeshore Ridge Developer LLC	F	84	\$2,690,000	1	N	N		N	N	N	N	N/A	Y	Y	10	N	Y	NC	\$230,352.82	A	Y	N	2	Y	Y	16
2027-143C	Cypress Grove	Alachua	M	Michael Ruane	Heartland Development Group LLC; CORE Cypress Grove Developer LLC	F	84	\$2,650,000	1	N	N		N	N	N	N	N/A	Y	Y	10	N	Y	NC	\$223,471.75	A	Y	N	2	Y	Y	50

EXHIBIT

C

September 30, 2026

Sent Via E-mail

Ms. Ana McGlamory

Corporation Clerk

CorporationClerk@floridahousing.org

Florida Housing Finance Corporation

227 North Bronough, Suite 5000

Tallahassee, FL 32301

RECEIVED

SEP 30 2026 9:17 AM

FLORIDA HOUSING
FINANCE CORPORATION

RE: Notice of Intent to Protest, Request for Applications (RFA) 2026-201 Proposed Funding Selections

Dear Corporation Clerk:

On behalf of Applicant HTG Murdock, LLC, for its proposed development Murdock Square (Application No. 2027-081C), we hereby give notice of the intent to protest the Preliminary Awards Notice and Scoring and Ranking of applications for RFA 2026-201 posted by Florida Housing Finance Corporation on September 25, 2026, at 10:15 am concerning Housing Credit Financing For Affordable Housing Developments Located In Small and Medium Counties.

— [Board Approved Preliminary Awards/Notice of Intended Decision \(posted September 25, 2026 at 10:15 a.m.\)](#)

- ▶ [RFA 2026-201 Received Applications](#)
- ▶ [RFA 2026-201 Applications Selected](#)
- ▶ [RFA 2026-201 Ranked Waiting List](#)

A formal written petition will be submitted within ten (10) days of this Notice as required by law.

Respectfully Submitted


Rodrigo Paredes

Cc: Ethan Katz, FHFC Counsel

EXHIBIT

D

Application Number	Name of Development	County	County Size	Name of Authorized Principal Representative	Name of Developers	Demo	Total Units	Competitive HC Request Amount	Eligible For Funding?	Priority Level	PHA Area of Opportunity	Qualifies for LGAO Goal?	LGAO/ continuous support since 2023?	LGAO/ continuous support since 2024?	LGAO - lower preference?	Qualifies for the SunRail Goal?	Level of SunRail Station	Qualifies for Permit Ready Goal?	Family Dev in Medium County that qualifies for the Geographic Area of Opportunity / SADDA Funding Goal?	Total Points	Development Category Funding Preference	Development Category	Total Corp Funding Per Set-Aside	Leveraging Classification	Proximity Funding Preference	Permit Ready Tier status	Florida Job Creation Preference	Lottery Number	
Eligible Applications																													
2026-171C	Sunset Ridge	Leon	M	Michael Ruane	CORE Sunset Ridge Developer LLC	F	84	\$2,700,000	Y	1	N	N	N	N	N	N	N/A	N	Y	10	Y	NC	\$246,466.61	A	Y	2	Y	43	
2026-172C	Parkside Village	Volusia	M	Michael Ruane	CORE Parkside Village Developer LLC	F	96	\$2,800,000	Y	1	N	N	N	N	N	Y	1	N	Y	10	Y	NC	\$230,562.50	A	Y	2	Y	48	
2026-173C	Pinnacle on Grand	Pasco	M	David O. Deutch	Pinnacle Communities II, LLC	F	90	\$2,800,000	Y	1	N	N	N	N	N	N	N/A	N	Y	10	Y	NC	\$264,444.44	A	Y	2	Y	64	
2026-174C	Palatka Senior	Putnam	S	Timothy M. Morgan	JIC Florida Development, LLC	E, Non-ALF	56	\$1,936,294	Y	1	N	N	N	N	N	N	N/A	N	N	10	Y	NC	\$273,328.64	A	Y	2	Y	67	
2026-175C	Sebring Apartments	Highlands	M	Timothy M. Morgan	JIC Florida Development, LLC	F	80	\$2,718,050	Y	1	N	N	N	N	N	N	N/A	N	Y	10	Y	NC	\$268,577.32	A	Y	2	Y	28	
2026-176C	The Fountains at Hidden Lake Phase II	Citrus	M	Matthew A. Rieger	HTG Hidden Lake Developer II, LLC	E, Non-ALF	67	\$2,180,013	Y	1	N	Y	N	N	N	N	N/A	Y	N	10	Y	NC	\$268,271.75	A	N	1	Y	3	
2026-177C	Brook Meadows	Alachua	M	J. David Page	Southport Development, Inc., a WA corporation doing business in FL as Southport Development Services, Inc.	F	96	\$2,700,000	Y	1	N	N	N	N	N	N	N/A	N	Y	10	Y	NC	\$239,062.50	A	Y	2	Y	15	
2026-178C	Spring Meadows	Sarasota	M	J. David Page	Southport Development, Inc., a WA corporation doing business in FL as Southport Development Services, Inc.	F	120	\$2,800,000	Y	1	N	N	N	N	N	N	N/A	N	Y	10	Y	NC	\$198,333.33	A	Y	2	Y	1	
2026-179C	Pinnacle Station	Osceola	M	David O. Deutch	Pinnacle Communities II, LLC; DDER Development, LLC	E, Non-ALF	90	\$2,800,000	Y	1	N	N	N	N	N	Y	1	N	N	10	Y	NC	\$238,555.33	A	Y	2	Y	32	
2026-180C	Poinciana Parc Phase II	Osceola	M	Oscar Sol	Poinciana Parc 2 Dev, LLC	E, Non-ALF	70	\$2,400,000	Y	1	N	N	N	N	N	Y	2	N	N	10	Y	NC	\$282,685.71	B	Y	2	Y	41	
2026-181C	Robin Village	Brevard	M	Deion R. Lowery	DDER Development, LLC	F	84	\$2,800,000	Y	1	N	N	N	N	N	N	N/A	N	Y	10	Y	NC	\$263,500.00	A	Y	2	Y	29	
2026-182C	Remington Lofts	Washington	S	Jeffrey J. Woda	The Woda Group, Inc.	F	56	\$1,753,989	Y	1	N	N	N	N	N	N	N/A	N	N	10	Y	NC	\$266,230.47	A	Y	2	Y	18	
2026-183C	Merrillwood Apartments	Alachua	M	Darren Smith	Alachua Housing Developer, LLC; SHAG Merrillwood Developer, LLC	F	80	\$2,800,000	Y	1	N	Y	N	N	N	N	N/A	N	N	10	Y	NC	\$276,675.00	A	Y	2	Y	62	
2026-184C	Westside Phase II	Volusia	M	Darren Smith	NSBHDC Developer, LLC; Westside Phase II Fortis Developer, LLC	F	80	\$2,800,000	Y	1	N	Y	Y	Y	N	N	N/A	N	Y	10	Y	NC	\$257,307.75	A	Y	2	Y	54	
2026-185C	Vesta at Osowaw	Hernando	M	Kory Geans	Newstar Development, LLC; Middleburg Communities, LLC	F	90	\$2,750,000	Y	1	N	N	N	N	N	N	N/A	N	Y	10	Y	NC	\$259,722.22	A	Y	2	Y	63	
2026-186C	Rockledge Apartments	Brevard	M	C. Hunter Nelson	ECG Rockledge Developer, LLC	F	84	\$2,200,000	Y	1	N	Y	N	N	Y	N	N/A	N	Y	10	Y	NC	\$222,619.05	A	Y	2	Y	20	
2026-187C	Cottondale Lofts	Jackson	S	Jeffrey J. Woda	The Woda Group, Inc.	F	55	\$1,789,430	Y	1	N	N	N	N	N	N	N/A	N	N	10	Y	NC	\$276,548.27	A	Y	2	Y	55	
2026-188C	The Palms at Spring Hill	Hernando	M	Renee Sandell	Paces Preservation Partners, LLC	F	96	\$2,750,000	Y	1	N	Y	N	N	N	N	N/A	N	Y	10	Y	NC	\$243,489.58	A	Y	2	Y	11	
2026-189C	Jupiter Greene	Columbia	S	Jeffrey J. Woda	The Woda Group, Inc.	F	54	\$1,745,920	Y	1	N	N	N	N	N	N	N/A	N	N	10	Y	NC	\$274,820.74	A	Y	2	Y	35	
2026-190C	Zephyrhills	Pasco	M	Saki S. Middleton	John Stanley Florida Inc.	F	76	\$1,102,257	Y	1	N	N	N	N	N	N	N/A	N	N	10	Y	A/R	\$123,278.74	A	Y	2	Y	46	
2026-191C	Murdock Square	Charlotte	M	Matthew A. Rieger	HTG Murdock Developer, LLC	F	88	\$2,799,990	Y	1	N	Y	N	N	Y	N	N/A	N	Y	10	Y	NC	\$251,521.83	A	Y	2	Y	31	
2026-192C	Vista Pointe at St. Joe	Gulf	S	Renee Sandell	Paces Preservation Partners, LLC	F	50	\$1,770,000	Y	1	N	N	N	N	N	N	N/A	N	N	10	Y	NC	\$279,837.00	A	Y	2	Y	7	
2026-193C	Hope @ Debra Heights	Alachua	M	Timothy B Sittema	CSE Communities Florida LLC; Building Hope, Inc.; Bethlehem Companies, LLC	F	96	\$2,700,000	Y	1	N	Y	N	Y	N	N	N/A	N	N	10	Y	NC	\$239,062.50	A	Y	2	Y	50	
2026-194C	Royal Park Seniors	Alachua	M	Lindsay Sammons	BDG Royal Park Seniors Developer, LLC	E, Non-ALF	86	\$2,800,000	Y	1	N	N	N	N	N	N	N/A	N	N	10	Y	NC	\$249,650.93	A	Y	2	Y	44	
2026-195C	Emerald Manor	Seminole	M	Scott Zimmerman	BDG Emerald Manor Developer, LLC	F	79	\$2,800,000	Y	1	N	N	N	N	N	N	N/A	N	Y	10	Y	NC	\$271,771.90	A	Y	2	Y	71	
2026-196C	Longwood Gardens	Citrus	M	Oscar Sol	Longwood Gardens Dev, LLC	E, Non-ALF	96	\$2,800,000	Y	1	N	Y	Y	Y	N	N	N/A	Y	N	10	Y	NC	\$247,916.67	A	Y	1	Y	70	
2026-197C	Bayou Bend Residences	Pasco	M	Kenneth Naylor	Bayou Bend Residences Development, LLC	F	112	\$2,800,000	Y	1	N	N	N	N	N	N	N/A	N	Y	10	Y	NC	\$212,500.00	A	Y	2	Y	27	
2026-198C	Sugg Redevelopment	Manatee	M	Darren Smith	Fortis Sugg Redevelopment Developer, LLC; HACB Developer, LLC	E, Non-ALF	84	\$2,800,000	Y	1	N	Y	N	N	N	N	N/A	N	N	10	Y	NC	\$245,055.00	A	Y	2	Y	25	
2026-199C	Magnolia Senior	Leon	M	Carmen Chubb	New Affordable Housing Partners, LLC; Tallahassee Housing Economic Corporation	E, Non-ALF	120	\$2,800,000	Y	1	N	Y	Y	Y	N	N	N/A	N	N	10	Y	NC	\$204,944.44	A	Y	2	Y	33	
2026-201C	Renaissance Hall Senior Living	Collier	M	Steven C Kirk	Rural Neighborhoods, Incorporated	E, Non-ALF	90	\$2,800,000	Y	1	N	Y	N	Y	N	N	N/A	N	N	10	Y	NC	\$238,555.33	A	Y	2	Y	40	
2026-202C	Banyan Crossings	Volusia	M	Scott Zimmerman	BDG Banyan Crossings Developer, LLC	F	84	\$2,800,000	Y	1	N	N	N	N	N	Y	1	N	Y	10	Y	NC	\$263,500.00	A	N	2	Y	21	
2026-203C	Sunray Station	Volusia	M	Matthew A. Rieger	HTG Sunray Developer, LLC	F	86	\$2,714,279	Y	1	N	N	N	N	N	Y	1	N	Y	10	Y	NC	\$268,271.76	A	Y	2	Y	5	
2026-204C	Jacob Heights	Okaloosa	M	James R. Hoover	TVC Development, Inc.	F	90	\$2,800,000	Y	1	N	N	N	N	N	N	N/A	N	Y	10	Y	NC	\$264,444.44	A	Y	2	Y	56	
2026-205C	Vista at Poinciana	Manatee	M	Kenneth Naylor	Vista at Poinciana Development, LLC	F	108	\$2,790,000	Y	1	N	N	N	N	N	N	N/A	N	Y	10	Y	NC	\$219,583.33	A	Y	2	Y	2	
2026-206C	Madison Oaks East	Marion	M	Patrick E. Law	ARD Madison Oaks East, LLC; New South Residential, LLC	E, Non-ALF	102	\$2,800,000	Y	1	N	Y	Y	Y	N	N	N/A	Y	N	10	Y	NC	\$226,333.33	A	N	1	Y	69	

Application Number	Name of Development	County	County Size	Name of Authorized Principal Representative	Name of Developers	Demo	Total Units	Competitive HC Request Amount	Eligible For Funding?	Priority Level	PHA Area of Opportunity	Qualifies for LGAO Goal?	LGAO/ continuous support since 2023?	LGAO/ continuous support since 2024?	LGAO - lower preference?	Qualifies for the SunRail Goal?	Level of SunRail Station	Qualifies for Permit Ready Goal?	Family Dev in Medium County that qualifies for the Geographic Area of Opportunity / SADDA Funding Goal?	Total Points	Development Category Funding Preference	Development Category	Total Corp Funding Per Set-Aside	Leveraging Classification	Proximity Funding Preference	Permit Ready Tier status	Florida Job Creation Preference	Lottery Number
2026-207C	Madison Oaks West II	Marion	M	Patrick E. Law	ARD Madison Oaks West II, LLC; New South Residential, LLC	F	96	\$2,750,000	Y	1	N	Y	N	Y	N	N	N/A	Y	N	10	Y	NC	\$243,489.58	A	N	2	Y	65
2026-208C	Madison Cove	Volusia	M	Patrick E. Law	ARD Madison Cove, LLC; New South Residential, LLC	E, Non-ALF	88	\$2,800,000	Y	1	N	Y	Y	Y	N	N	N/A	Y	N	10	Y	NC	\$238,946.59	A	Y	2	Y	22
2026-209C	Solara at Lake City	Columbia	S	Janet M Stringfellow	L&E Community, LLC; VOAF Ortus Developer, LLC	E, Non-ALF	60	\$1,939,330	Y	1	N	N	N	N	N	N	N/A	N	N	10	Y	NC	\$274,738.42	A	Y	2	Y	10
2026-210C	Suntree Commons	Brevard	M	Janet M Stringfellow	L&E Community, LLC; VOAF Ortus Developer, LLC	F	88	\$2,800,000	Y	1	N	N	N	N	N	N	N/A	N	Y	10	Y	NC	\$251,522.73	A	Y	2	Y	24
2026-211C	The Preserve at Moon Lake	Pasco	M	Kenneth Naylor	Preserve at Moon Lake Development, LLC	F	112	\$2,800,000	Y	1	N	N	N	N	N	N	N/A	N	Y	10	Y	NC	\$212,500.00	A	Y	2	Y	51
2026-212C	Ekos at the Springs	Seminole	M	Christopher L. Shear	MHP Seminole I Developer, LLC	F	92	\$2,800,000	Y	1	N	N	N	N	N	N	N/A	N	Y	10	Y	NC	\$233,369.35	A	Y	2	Y	57
2026-213C	Pecan Creek	Jackson	S	Clifton E. Phillips	Roundstone Development, LLC	E, Non-ALF	76	\$1,925,360	Y	1	N	N	N	N	N	N	N/A	N	N	10	Y	NC	\$200,262.77	A	Y	2	Y	9
2026-214C	Cypress Point Estates	Marion	M	Clifton E. Phillips	Roundstone Development, LLC	F	72	\$2,178,350	Y	1	N	N	N	N	N	N	N/A	N	Y	10	Y	NC	\$239,164.68	A	Y	2	Y	19
2026-215C	DeLand Station	Volusia	M	J. David Heller	DeLand Station Developer LLC; WCZ Development, LLC	F	80	\$2,700,000	Y	1	N	N	N	N	N	Y	1	N	Y	10	Y	NC	\$278,268.75	A	Y	2	Y	66
2026-216C	County Line Lofts	Hernando	M	J. David Heller	County Line Lofts Developer LLC; WCZ Development, LLC	F	90	\$2,800,000	Y	1	N	N	N	N	N	N	N/A	N	Y	10	Y	NC	\$256,511.11	A	Y	2	Y	47
2026-217C	Pinnacle at Moore's Creek	St. Lucie	M	David O. Deutch	Pinnacle Communities II, LLC	F	90	\$2,800,000	Y	1	N	Y	N	N	N	N	N/A	N	N	10	Y	NC	\$238,555.33	A	Y	2	Y	39
2026-218C	Kelli Grove	Clay	M	James R. Hoover	TVC Development, Inc.	E, Non-ALF	90	\$2,600,000	Y	1	N	Y	Y	Y	N	N	N/A	Y	N	10	Y	NC	\$245,555.56	A	N	1	Y	53
2026-219C	Ekos at Moon Lake	Pasco	M	Christopher L. Shear	MHP Pasco IV Developer, LLC	F	100	\$2,800,000	Y	1	N	N	N	N	N	N	N/A	N	Y	10	Y	NC	\$214,699.80	A	Y	2	Y	72
2026-220C	Labelle Acres	Hendry	S	J. David Page	Southport Development, Inc., a WA corporation doing business in FL as Southport Development Services, Inc.	F	56	\$1,939,330	Y	1	N	N	N	N	N	N	N/A	N	N	10	Y	NC	\$294,362.59	B	Y	2	Y	61
2026-221C	Warrington Senior Living	Escambia	M	Michael Ruane	CORE Warrington Senior Developer LLC	E, Non-ALF	96	\$2,800,000	Y	1	N	Y	N	N	N	N	N/A	N	N	10	Y	NC	\$223,645.63	A	Y	2	Y	8
2026-222C	Corbridge Park	Volusia	M	Jonathan L. Wolf	Corbridge Park Developer, LLC	F	70	\$2,800,000	Y	1	N	N	N	N	N	N	N/A	N	Y	10	Y	NC	\$316,200.00	B	Y	2	Y	16
2026-223C	Bayside Gardens	Okaloosa	M	Carol Gardner	25 Robinwood Developers, LLC; Bayside Development of Fort Walton, LLC	F	72	\$2,350,000	Y	1	N	Y	Y	Y	N	N	N/A	N	N	10	Y	NC	\$258,010.42	A	Y	2	Y	12
2026-224C	Westbury Commons	Brevard	M	Jonathan L. Wolf	Westbury Commons Property Developer, LLC	F	70	\$2,800,000	Y	1	N	N	N	N	N	N	N/A	N	Y	10	Y	NC	\$316,200.00	B	Y	2	Y	34
2026-225C	The Courts at Carver Square	Flagler	M	Joseph Chambers	Flagler Redevelopment Partners, LLC; FCHA Affordable Development, LLC	F	72	\$2,625,000	Y	1	N	N	N	N	N	N	N/A	N	Y	10	Y	NC	\$268,028.91	A	Y	2	Y	49
2026-226C	Ardent at West Melbourne	Brevard	M	Doak D. Brown	Brownstone Affordable Housing, Ltd.; Mears Development & Construction, Inc.	F	108	\$2,800,000	Y	1	N	N	N	N	N	N	N/A	N	Y	10	Y	NC	\$238,000.00	A	Y	2	Y	45
2026-227C	Talland Park	Seminole	M	Jonathan L. Wolf	Talland Park Developer, LLC; SHA Development, LLC	F	80	\$2,790,000	Y	1	N	N	N	N	N	N	N/A	N	N	10	Y	NC	\$256,388.79	A	Y	2	Y	42
2026-228C	Ardent Blu Apartments	Bay	M	Doak D. Brown	Brownstone Affordable Housing, Ltd.; Mears Development & Construction, Inc.	F	99	\$2,800,000	Y	1	N	N	N	N	N	N	N/A	N	Y	10	Y	NC	\$242,857.14	A	Y	2	Y	37
2026-229C	Ardent Run	Escambia	M	Doak D. Brown	Brownstone Affordable Housing, Ltd.; Mears Development & Construction, Inc.	F	96	\$2,730,000	Y	1	N	N	N	N	N	N	N/A	N	Y	10	Y	NC	\$249,516.13	A	Y	2	Y	6
2026-230C	The Beacon at Bayside	Okaloosa	M	Carol Gardner	25 Robinwood Developers, LLC; Bayside Development of Fort Walton, LLC	E, Non-ALF	80	\$2,800,000	Y	1	N	Y	Y	Y	N	N	N/A	N	N	10	Y	NC	\$268,374.75	A	Y	2	Y	30
2026-231C	Ekos Melbourne Landings	Brevard	M	Christopher L. Shear	MHP Brevard II Developer, LLC	F	96	\$2,800,000	Y	1	N	N	N	N	N	N	N/A	N	Y	10	Y	NC	\$223,645.63	A	Y	2	Y	17
2026-232C	The Pointe at Mossy Oak	Wakulla	S	Joseph Chapman	Royal American Properties, LLC; RACB Developer, LLC	F	64	\$1,845,000	Y	1	N	N	N	N	N	N	N/A	N	N	5	Y	NC	\$245,039.06	A	Y	2	Y	14
2026-233C	Grandview Pointe	Osceola	M	Joseph Chapman	Royal American Properties, LLC; RACB Developer, LLC	F	90	\$2,789,000	Y	1	N	N	N	N	N	N	N/A	N	Y	5	Y	NC	\$255,503.39	A	Y	2	Y	58
2026-234C	Keystone Place	Escambia	M	Oscar Sol	Keystone Place Dev, LLC	E, Non-ALF	80	\$2,450,000	Y	1	N	N	N	N	N	N	N/A	N	N	10	Y	NC	\$252,503.13	A	Y	2	Y	60
2026-235C	Arbours at Poinciana	Osceola	M	Sam Johnston	Arbour Valley Development, LLC	F	96	\$2,800,000	Y	1	N	N	N	N	N	N	N/A	N	N	10	Y	NC	\$247,916.67	A	Y	2	Y	38
2026-236C	Arbours at Punta Gorda	Charlotte	M	Sam Johnston	Arbour Valley Development, LLC	E, Non-ALF	86	\$2,800,000	Y	1	N	N	N	N	N	N	N/A	N	N	10	Y	NC	\$276,744.19	A	Y	2	Y	68
2026-237C	FBC Affordable P1C	Brevard	M	Daniel Coakley	FBC Affordable P1C Developer, LLC	E, Non-ALF	100	\$2,800,000	Y	1	N	Y	N	N	N	N	N/A	N	N	10	Y	NC	\$230,860.00	A	Y	2	Y	4

Application Number	Name of Development	County	County Size	Name of Authorized Principal Representative	Name of Developers	Demo	Total Units	Competitive HC Request Amount	Eligible For Funding?	Priority Level	PHA Area of Opportunity	Qualifies for LGAO Goal?	LGAO/ continuous support since 2023?	LGAO/ continuous support since 2024?	LGAO - lower preference?	Qualifies for the SunRail Goal?	Level of SunRail Station	Qualifies for Permit Ready Goal?	Family Dev in Medium County that qualifies for the Geographic Area of Opportunity / SADDA Funding Goal?	Total Points	Development Category Funding Preference	Development Category	Total Corp Funding Per Set-Aside	Leveraging Classification	Proximity Funding Preference	Permit Ready Tier status	Florida Job Creation Preference	Lottery Number
2026-238C	Autumn Palms North Port	Sarasota	M	Michael Allan	ReVital Development Group, LLC; DDER Development, LLC	F	84	\$1,950,000	Y	1	N	Y	N	N	N	N	N/A	N	Y	10	Y	NC	\$183,508.93	A	N	2	Y	59
2026-239C	Clermont Ridge Senior Villas II	Lake	M	Scott Macdonald	Blue CR2 Developer, LLC ; PROVIDENT HOUSING SOLUTIONS, INCORPORATED	E, Non-ALF	84	\$2,800,000	Y	1	N	Y	Y	Y	N	N	N/A	N	N	10	Y	NC	\$263,500.00	A	Y	2	Y	52
2026-241C	Vesta at Gemini	Volusia	M	Kory Geans	Newstar Development, LLC; Middleburg Communities, LLC	F	71	\$2,300,000	Y	1	N	N	N	N	N	Y	1	N	Y	10	Y	NC	\$270,698.27	A	N	2	Y	26
Ineligible Applications																												
2026-170C	Legacy Village 2	Manatee	M	Scott Macdonald	Blue LV2 Developer, LLC ; CASL Developer, LLC	F	72	\$2,650,000	N	1	N	N	N	N	N	N	N/A	N	Y	10	Y	NC	\$282,219.48	B	N	2	Y	36
2026-200C	Cardinal Oaks Phase Two	Citrus	M	Paula Rhodes	InVictus Development, LLC; Urban Affordable Development, LLC; ADC Communities II, LLC	F	80	\$2,800,000	N	2	N	N	N	N	N	N	N/A	N	N	10	Y	NC	\$276,675.00	A	Y	2	Y	13
2026-240C	Eagle Village	Manatee	M	Larry Rush	Buckeye Community Hope Foundation; Wings of Tomorrow	E, Non-ALF	100	\$2,800,000	N	1	N	N	N	N	N	N	N/A	N	N	10	Y	NC	\$230,860.00	A	Y	2	Y	23

On January 30, 2026, the Board of Directors of Florida Housing Finance Corporation approved the Review Committee's motion to adopt the scoring results above.

Any Applicant may file a notice of protest and a formal written protest in accordance with Section 120.57(3), Fla. Stat., Rule Chapter 28-110, F.A.C., and Rule 67-60.009, F.A.C. Failure to file a protest within the time prescribed in Section 120.57(3), Fla. Stat., shall constitute a waiver of proceedings under Chapter 120, Fla. Stat.

EXHIBIT

E

Scoring Items	Contributor/ Reporter	2026-170C	2026-171C	2026-172C
Development Name		Legacy Village 2	Sunset Ridge	Parkside Village
Points Items				
Bookmarking Attachments prior to submission (Section Three, A.2.b.) (5 points)	Bryan	5	5	5
3.c.(2) Submission of Principal Disclosure Form that is stamped "Received" by the Corporation at least 14 Calendar Days prior to the Application Deadline AND stamped "Approved" prior to the Application Deadline (5 points)	Mandy D	5	5	5
Total Points (maximum of 10)		10	10	10
Eligibility Requirements				
Submission Requirements met (section Three, A.)	Bryan	Y	Y	Y
2. Demographic Commitment selected		Y	Y	Y
3.a.(1) Name of Applicant provided	Mandy D	Y	Y	Y
3.a.(2) Evidence Applicant is a legally formed entity provided		Y	Y	Y
3.b.(1) Name of Each Developer provided		Y	Y	Y
3.b.(2) Evidence that each Developer entity is a legally formed entity provided		Y	Y	Y
3.b.(3)(a) Developer Experience Requirement met		Y	Y	Y
3.c.(1) Principals for Applicant and Developer(s) Disclosure Form provided and meets requirements		Y	Y	Y
3.d.(1) Management Company contact information provided		Y	Y	Y
3.d.(2) Prior Management Company Experience requirement met		Y	Y	Y
3.e.(1) Authorized Principal Representative provided and meets requirements		Y	Y	Y
4.a. Name of Proposed Development provided		Bryan	Y	Y
4.b.(1) Development Category selected	Y		Y	Y
4.b.(2) Development Category Qualifying Conditions met	Y		Y	Y
4.c. Development Type provided	Y		Y	Y
4.d. Unit Characteristic Chart reflecting the breakdown of number of units associated with each Development Type, Development Category and ESS/Non-ESS provided	Y		Y	Y
5.a. County identified	Y		Y	Y
5.b. Address of Development Site provided	Y		Y	Y
5.c. Question whether a Scattered Sites Development answered	Y		Y	Y
5.d.(1) Development Location Point provided	Y		Y	Y
5.d.(2) Latitude and Longitude Coordinates for any Scattered Sites provided, if applicable	Y		Y	Y
5.e. Minimum Total Proximity Score met		N	Y	Y
5.f. Mandatory Distance Requirement met	Jean	Y	Y	Y

Scoring Items	Contributor/ Reporter	2026-170C	2026-171C	2026-172C
Development Name		Legacy Village 2	Sunset Ridge	Parkside Village
5.g. LDA Development Conditions met, if applicable	Bryan	Y	Y	Y
5.h. RECAP conditions met, if applicable		Y	Y	Y
6.a. Total Number of Units provided and within limits		Y	Y	Y
6.b. Occupancy status of any existing units provided, if Rehabilitation		Y	Y	Y
6.c.(1) Minimum Set-Aside election provided		Y	Y	Y
6.c.(2) Total Set-Aside Breakdown Chart properly completed		Y	Y	Y
6.d. Unit Mix provided and meets requirements		Y	Y	Y
6.e. Number of residential buildings provided		Y	Y	Y
7.a. Evidence of Site Control provided		Y	Y	Y
8.d. Green Building Certification or minimum additional Green Building Features selected, as applicable		Y	Y	Y
9. Minimum Resident Programs selected		Y	Y	Y
10.a.(1) Applicant's Housing Credit Request Amount provided	Tracy	Y	Y	Y
10.c. Development Cost Pro Forma provided reflecting that sources equal or exceed uses		Y	Y	Y
C. Applicant Certification and Acknowledgement signed by Authorized Principal Representative	Bryan	Y	Y	Y
Verification of no prior acceptance to an invitation to enter credit underwriting for the same Development (Section Five, A.1.)	Liz T	Y	Y	Y
Verification of no recent de-obligations (Section Five, A.1.)		Y	Y	Y
Financial Arrearage Requirement met (Section Five, A.1.)	Kenny	Y	Y	Y
All Eligibility Requirements Met?	Yes or No	N	Y	Y
Tie-Breakers				
4.b.(4) Development Category Funding Preference	Bryan	Y	Y	Y
5.e. Proximity Funding Preference		N	Y	Y
Florida Job Creation Preference (Item 3 of Exhibit C)	Tracy	Y	Y	Y
Goals				
11.a. Does the Application qualify for the Local Government Area of Opportunity Funding Goal?	Tracy	N	N	N
11.b.(1) If the Application qualifies for the Local Government Areas of Opportunity Funding Goal , does the Application demonstrate continuous Local Government Area of Opportunity support since 2023 or earlier?		N	N	N
11.b.(2) If the Application qualifies for the Local Government Areas of Opportunity Funding Goal , does the Application demonstrate continuous Local Government Area of Opportunity support since 2024 or earlier?		N	N	N
11.c. If the Application qualifies for the Local Government Areas of Opportunity Funding Goal, will the Application receive lower preference when selecting Applications for the goal?		N	N	N

Scoring Items	Contributor/ Reporter	2026-170C	2026-171C	2026-172C
Development Name		Legacy Village 2	Sunset Ridge	Parkside Village
5.e.(5) Does the Application qualify for the SunRail Goal?	Bryan	N	N	Y
5.e.(5) If the Application qualifies for the SunRail Goal, what is the Level of the SunRail Station?		N/A	N/A	1
7.c. Does the Application qualify for the Permit Ready Goal?		N	N	N
10.a.(1)(d) If proposed Development will serve the Family Demographic in a Medium County, does the Application qualify for the Geographic Area of Opportunity / HUD-designated SADDA Funding Goal?	Tracy	Y	Y	Y
Other				
3.c.(3) Does the Application qualify as a Priority 1 or 2 Application?	Liz T	1	1	1
Did any Application qualify for the PHA Areas of Opportunity boost? A maximum of one of such Applications will be selected in funding selection process. (Section Four, A.10.a.(1)(c)(vii))	Tracy	N	N	N
Permit Ready Tier Status				
3.a.(3)(b) Did the Application state that it was a Priority 1, Tier 1 Permit Ready Application?	Mandy D	N	N	N
Does the Application qualify as a Priority 1 Application?		Y	Y	Y
Does the Application qualify as a Permit Ready Application?		N	N	N
3.a.(3)(b) Does the Application meet the maximum number of Tier 1 Permit Ready Application submissions?	Liz T	N	N	N
If all answers are Yes, the Applicant qualifies as a Tier 1 Permit Ready Application. If any are No, the Applicant qualifies as a Tier 2 Application.		2	2	2

Scoring Items	2026-173C	2026-174C	2026-175C	2026-176C	2026-177C
Development Name	Pinnacle on Grand	Palatka Senior	Sebring Apartments	The Fountains at Hidden Lake Phase II	Brook Meadows
Points Items					
Bookmarking Attachments prior to submission (Section Three, A.2.b.) (5 points)	5	5	5	5	5
3.c.(2) Submission of Principal Disclosure Form that is stamped "Received" by the Corporation at least 14 Calendar Days prior to the Application Deadline AND stamped "Approved" prior to the Application Deadline (5 points)	5	5	5	5	5
Total Points (maximum of 10)	10	10	10	10	10
Eligibility Requirements					
Submission Requirements met (section Three, A.)	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
2. Demographic Commitment selected	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
3.a.(1) Name of Applicant provided	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
3.a.(2) Evidence Applicant is a legally formed entity provided	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
3.b.(1) Name of Each Developer provided	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
3.b.(2) Evidence that each Developer entity is a legally formed entity provided	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
3.b.(3)(a) Developer Experience Requirement met	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
3.c.(1) Principals for Applicant and Developer(s) Disclosure Form provided and meets requirements	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
3.d.(1) Management Company contact information provided	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
3.d.(2) Prior Management Company Experience requirement met	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
3.e.(1) Authorized Principal Representative provided and meets requirements	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
4.a. Name of Proposed Development provided	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
4.b.(1) Development Category selected	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
4.b.(2) Development Category Qualifying Conditions met	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
4.c. Development Type provided	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
4.d. Unit Characteristic Chart reflecting the breakdown of number of units associated with each Development Type, Development Category and ESS/Non-ESS provided	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
5.a. County identified	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
5.b. Address of Development Site provided	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
5.c. Question whether a Scattered Sites Development answered	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
5.d.(1) Development Location Point provided	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
5.d.(2) Latitude and Longitude Coordinates for any Scattered Sites provided, if applicable	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
5.e. Minimum Total Proximity Score met	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
5.f. Mandatory Distance Requirement met	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y

Scoring Items	2026-173C	2026-174C	2026-175C	2026-176C	2026-177C
Development Name	Pinnacle on Grand	Palatka Senior	Sebring Apartments	The Fountains at Hidden Lake Phase II	Brook Meadows
5.g. LDA Development Conditions met, if applicable	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
5.h. RECAP conditions met, if applicable	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
6.a. Total Number of Units provided and within limits	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
6.b. Occupancy status of any existing units provided, if Rehabilitation	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
6.c.(1) Minimum Set-Aside election provided	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
6.c.(2) Total Set-Aside Breakdown Chart properly completed	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
6.d. Unit Mix provided and meets requirements	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
6.e. Number of residential buildings provided	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
7.a. Evidence of Site Control provided	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
8.d. Green Building Certification or minimum additional Green Building Features selected, as applicable	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
9. Minimum Resident Programs selected	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
10.a.(1) Applicant's Housing Credit Request Amount provided	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
10.c. Development Cost Pro Forma provided reflecting that sources equal or exceed uses	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
C. Applicant Certification and Acknowledgement signed by Authorized Principal Representative	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
Verification of no prior acceptance to an invitation to enter credit underwriting for the same Development (Section Five, A.1.)	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
Verification of no recent de-obligations (Section Five, A.1.)	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
Financial Arrearage Requirement met (Section Five, A.1.)	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
All Eligibility Requirements Met?	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
Tie-Breakers					
4.b.(4) Development Category Funding Preference	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
5.e. Proximity Funding Preference	Y	Y	Y	N	Y
Florida Job Creation Preference (Item 3 of Exhibit C)	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
Goals					
11.a. Does the Application qualify for the Local Government Area of Opportunity Funding Goal?	N	N	N	Y	N
11.b.(1) If the Application qualifies for the Local Government Areas of Opportunity Funding Goal , does the Application demonstrate continuous Local Government Area of Opportunity support since 2023 or earlier?	N	N	N	N	N
11.b.(2) If the Application qualifies for the Local Government Areas of Opportunity Funding Goal , does the Application demonstrate continuous Local Government Area of Opportunity support since 2024 or earlier?	N	N	N	N	N
11.c. If the Application qualifies for the Local Government Areas of Opportunity Funding Goal, will the Application receive lower preference when selecting Applications for the goal?	N	N	N	N	N

Scoring Items	2026-173C	2026-174C	2026-175C	2026-176C	2026-177C
Development Name	Pinnacle on Grand	Palatka Senior	Sebring Apartments	The Fountains at Hidden Lake Phase II	Brook Meadows
5.e.(5) Does the Application qualify for the SunRail Goal?	N	N	N	N	N
5.e.(5) If the Application qualifies for the SunRail Goal, what is the Level of the SunRail Station?	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
7.c. Does the Application qualify for the Permit Ready Goal?	N	N	N	Y	N
10.a.(1)(d) If proposed Development will serve the Family Demographic in a Medium County, does the Application qualify for the Geographic Area of Opportunity / HUD-designated SADDA Funding Goal?	Y	N	Y	N	Y
Other					
3.c.(3) Does the Application qualify as a Priority 1 or 2 Application?	1	1	1	1	1
Did any Application qualify for the PHA Areas of Opportunity boost? A maximum of one of such Applications will be selected in funding selection process. (Section Four, A.10.a.(1)(c)(vii))	N	N	N	N	N
Permit Ready Tier Status					
3.a.(3)(b) Did the Application state that it was a Priority 1, Tier 1 Permit Ready Application?	N	Y	Y	Y	N
Does the Application qualify as a Priority 1 Application?	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
Does the Application qualify as a Permit Ready Application?	N	N	N	Y	N
3.a.(3)(b) Does the Application meet the maximum number of Tier 1 Permit Ready Application submissions?	N	N	N	Y	N
If all answers are Yes, the Applicant qualifies as a Tier 1 Permit Ready Application. If any are No, the Applicant qualifies as a Tier 2 Application.	2	2	2	1	2

Scoring Items	2026-178C	2026-179C	2026-180C	2026-181C	2026-182C
Development Name	Spring Meadows	Pinnacle Station	Poinciana Parc Phase II	Robin Village	Remington Lofts
Points Items					
Bookmarking Attachments prior to submission (Section Three, A.2.b.) (5 points)	5	5	5	5	5
3.c.(2) Submission of Principal Disclosure Form that is stamped "Received" by the Corporation at least 14 Calendar Days prior to the Application Deadline AND stamped "Approved" prior to the Application Deadline (5 points)	5	5	5	5	5
Total Points (maximum of 10)	10	10	10	10	10
Eligibility Requirements					
Submission Requirements met (section Three, A.)	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
2. Demographic Commitment selected	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
3.a.(1) Name of Applicant provided	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
3.a.(2) Evidence Applicant is a legally formed entity provided	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
3.b.(1) Name of Each Developer provided	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
3.b.(2) Evidence that each Developer entity is a legally formed entity provided	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
3.b.(3)(a) Developer Experience Requirement met	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
3.c.(1) Principals for Applicant and Developer(s) Disclosure Form provided and meets requirements	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
3.d.(1) Management Company contact information provided	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
3.d.(2) Prior Management Company Experience requirement met	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
3.e.(1) Authorized Principal Representative provided and meets requirements	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
4.a. Name of Proposed Development provided	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
4.b.(1) Development Category selected	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
4.b.(2) Development Category Qualifying Conditions met	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
4.c. Development Type provided	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
4.d. Unit Characteristic Chart reflecting the breakdown of number of units associated with each Development Type, Development Category and ESS/Non-ESS provided	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
5.a. County identified	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
5.b. Address of Development Site provided	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
5.c. Question whether a Scattered Sites Development answered	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
5.d.(1) Development Location Point provided	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
5.d.(2) Latitude and Longitude Coordinates for any Scattered Sites provided, if applicable	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
5.e. Minimum Total Proximity Score met	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
5.f. Mandatory Distance Requirement met	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y

Scoring Items	2026-178C	2026-179C	2026-180C	2026-181C	2026-182C
Development Name	Spring Meadows	Pinnacle Station	Poinciana Parc Phase II	Robin Village	Remington Lofts
5.g. LDA Development Conditions met, if applicable	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
5.h. RECAP conditions met, if applicable	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
6.a. Total Number of Units provided and within limits	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
6.b. Occupancy status of any existing units provided, if Rehabilitation	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
6.c.(1) Minimum Set-Aside election provided	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
6.c.(2) Total Set-Aside Breakdown Chart properly completed	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
6.d. Unit Mix provided and meets requirements	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
6.e. Number of residential buildings provided	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
7.a. Evidence of Site Control provided	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
8.d. Green Building Certification or minimum additional Green Building Features selected, as applicable	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
9. Minimum Resident Programs selected	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
10.a.(1) Applicant's Housing Credit Request Amount provided	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
10.c. Development Cost Pro Forma provided reflecting that sources equal or exceed uses	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
C. Applicant Certification and Acknowledgement signed by Authorized Principal Representative	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
Verification of no prior acceptance to an invitation to enter credit underwriting for the same Development (Section Five, A.1.)	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
Verification of no recent de-obligations (Section Five, A.1.)	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
Financial Arrearage Requirement met (Section Five, A.1.)	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
All Eligibility Requirements Met?	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
Tie-Breakers					
4.b.(4) Development Category Funding Preference	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
5.e. Proximity Funding Preference	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
Florida Job Creation Preference (Item 3 of Exhibit C)	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
Goals					
11.a. Does the Application qualify for the Local Government Area of Opportunity Funding Goal?	N	N	N	N	N
11.b.(1) If the Application qualifies for the Local Government Areas of Opportunity Funding Goal , does the Application demonstrate continuous Local Government Area of Opportunity support since 2023 or earlier?	N	N	N	N	N
11.b.(2) If the Application qualifies for the Local Government Areas of Opportunity Funding Goal , does the Application demonstrate continuous Local Government Area of Opportunity support since 2024 or earlier?	N	N	N	N	N
11.c. If the Application qualifies for the Local Government Areas of Opportunity Funding Goal, will the Application receive lower preference when selecting Applications for the goal?	N	N	N	N	N

Scoring Items	2026-178C	2026-179C	2026-180C	2026-181C	2026-182C
Development Name	Spring Meadows	Pinnacle Station	Poinciana Parc Phase II	Robin Village	Remington Lofts
5.e.(5) Does the Application qualify for the SunRail Goal?	N	Y	Y	N	N
5.e.(5) If the Application qualifies for the SunRail Goal, what is the Level of the SunRail Station?	N/A	1	2	N/A	N/A
7.c. Does the Application qualify for the Permit Ready Goal?	N	N	N	N	N
10.a.(1)(d) If proposed Development will serve the Family Demographic in a Medium County, does the Application qualify for the Geographic Area of Opportunity / HUD-designated SADDA Funding Goal?	Y	N	N	Y	N
Other					
3.c.(3) Does the Application qualify as a Priority 1 or 2 Application?	1	1	1	1	1
Did any Application qualify for the PHA Areas of Opportunity boost? A maximum of one of such Applications will be selected in funding selection process. (Section Four, A.10.a.(1)(c)(vii))	N	N	N	N	N
Permit Ready Tier Status					
3.a.(3)(b) Did the Application state that it was a Priority 1, Tier 1 Permit Ready Application?	N	N	N	N	N
Does the Application qualify as a Priority 1 Application?	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
Does the Application qualify as a Permit Ready Application?	N	N	N	N	N
3.a.(3)(b) Does the Application meet the maximum number of Tier 1 Permit Ready Application submissions?	N	N	N	N	N
If all answers are Yes, the Applicant qualifies as a Tier 1 Permit Ready Application. If any are No, the Applicant qualifies as a Tier 2 Application.	2	2	2	2	2

Scoring Items	2026-183C	2026-184C	2026-185C	2026-186C	2026-187C
Development Name	Merrillwood Apartments	Westside Phase II	Vesta at Osowaw	Rockledge Apartments	Cottdendale Lofts
Points Items					
Bookmarking Attachments prior to submission (Section Three, A.2.b.) (5 points)	5	5	5	5	5
3.c.(2) Submission of Principal Disclosure Form that is stamped "Received" by the Corporation at least 14 Calendar Days prior to the Application Deadline AND stamped "Approved" prior to the Application Deadline (5 points)	5	5	5	5	5
Total Points (maximum of 10)	10	10	10	10	10
Eligibility Requirements					
Submission Requirements met (section Three, A.)	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
2. Demographic Commitment selected	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
3.a.(1) Name of Applicant provided	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
3.a.(2) Evidence Applicant is a legally formed entity provided	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
3.b.(1) Name of Each Developer provided	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
3.b.(2) Evidence that each Developer entity is a legally formed entity provided	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
3.b.(3)(a) Developer Experience Requirement met	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
3.c.(1) Principals for Applicant and Developer(s) Disclosure Form provided and meets requirements	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
3.d.(1) Management Company contact information provided	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
3.d.(2) Prior Management Company Experience requirement met	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
3.e.(1) Authorized Principal Representative provided and meets requirements	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
4.a. Name of Proposed Development provided	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
4.b.(1) Development Category selected	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
4.b.(2) Development Category Qualifying Conditions met	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
4.c. Development Type provided	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
4.d. Unit Characteristic Chart reflecting the breakdown of number of units associated with each Development Type, Development Category and ESS/Non-ESS provided	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
5.a. County identified	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
5.b. Address of Development Site provided	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
5.c. Question whether a Scattered Sites Development answered	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
5.d.(1) Development Location Point provided	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
5.d.(2) Latitude and Longitude Coordinates for any Scattered Sites provided, if applicable	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
5.e. Minimum Total Proximity Score met	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
5.f. Mandatory Distance Requirement met	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y

Scoring Items	2026-183C	2026-184C	2026-185C	2026-186C	2026-187C
Development Name	Merrillwood Apartments	Westside Phase II	Vesta at Osowaw	Rockledge Apartments	Cottondale Lofts
5.g. LDA Development Conditions met, if applicable	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
5.h. RECAP conditions met, if applicable	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
6.a. Total Number of Units provided and within limits	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
6.b. Occupancy status of any existing units provided, if Rehabilitation	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
6.c.(1) Minimum Set-Aside election provided	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
6.c.(2) Total Set-Aside Breakdown Chart properly completed	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
6.d. Unit Mix provided and meets requirements	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
6.e. Number of residential buildings provided	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
7.a. Evidence of Site Control provided	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
8.d. Green Building Certification or minimum additional Green Building Features selected, as applicable	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
9. Minimum Resident Programs selected	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
10.a.(1) Applicant's Housing Credit Request Amount provided	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
10.c. Development Cost Pro Forma provided reflecting that sources equal or exceed uses	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
C. Applicant Certification and Acknowledgement signed by Authorized Principal Representative	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
Verification of no prior acceptance to an invitation to enter credit underwriting for the same Development (Section Five, A.1.)	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
Verification of no recent de-obligations (Section Five, A.1.)	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
Financial Arrearage Requirement met (Section Five, A.1.)	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
All Eligibility Requirements Met?	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
Tie-Breakers					
4.b.(4) Development Category Funding Preference	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
5.e. Proximity Funding Preference	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
Florida Job Creation Preference (Item 3 of Exhibit C)	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
Goals					
11.a. Does the Application qualify for the Local Government Area of Opportunity Funding Goal?	Y	Y	N	Y	N
11.b.(1) If the Application qualifies for the Local Government Areas of Opportunity Funding Goal, does the Application demonstrate continuous Local Government Area of Opportunity support since 2023 or earlier?	N	Y	N	N	N
11.b.(2) If the Application qualifies for the Local Government Areas of Opportunity Funding Goal, does the Application demonstrate continuous Local Government Area of Opportunity support since 2024 or earlier?	N	Y	N	N	N
11.c. If the Application qualifies for the Local Government Areas of Opportunity Funding Goal, will the Application receive lower preference when selecting Applications for the goal?	N	N	N	Y	N

Scoring Items	2026-183C	2026-184C	2026-185C	2026-186C	2026-187C
Development Name	Merrillwood Apartments	Westside Phase II	Vesta at Osowaw	Rockledge Apartments	Cottondale Lofts
5.e.(5) Does the Application qualify for the SunRail Goal?	N	N	N	N	N
5.e.(5) If the Application qualifies for the SunRail Goal, what is the Level of the SunRail Station?	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
7.c. Does the Application qualify for the Permit Ready Goal?	N	N	N	N	N
10.a.(1)(d) If proposed Development will serve the Family Demographic in a Medium County, does the Application qualify for the Geographic Area of Opportunity / HUD-designated SADDAs Funding Goal?	N	Y	Y	Y	N
Other					
3.c.(3) Does the Application qualify as a Priority 1 or 2 Application?	1	1	1	1	1
Did any Application qualify for the PHA Areas of Opportunity boost? A maximum of one of such Applications will be selected in funding selection process. (Section Four, A.10.a.(1)(c)(vii))	N	N	N	N	N
Permit Ready Tier Status					
3.a.(3)(b) Did the Application state that it was a Priority 1, Tier 1 Permit Ready Application?	N	N	N	N	N
Does the Application qualify as a Priority 1 Application?	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
Does the Application qualify as a Permit Ready Application?	N	N	N	N	N
3.a.(3)(b) Does the Application meet the maximum number of Tier 1 Permit Ready Application submissions?	N	N	N	N	N
If all answers are Yes, the Applicant qualifies as a Tier 1 Permit Ready Application. If any are No, the Applicant qualifies as a Tier 2 Application.	2	2	2	2	2

Scoring Items	2026-188C	2026-189C	2026-190C	2026-191C	2026-192C
Development Name	The Palms at Spring Hill	Jupiter Greene	Zephyrhills	Murdock Square	Vista Pointe at St. Joe
Points Items					
Bookmarking Attachments prior to submission (Section Three, A.2.b.) (5 points)	5	5	5	5	5
3.c.(2) Submission of Principal Disclosure Form that is stamped "Received" by the Corporation at least 14 Calendar Days prior to the Application Deadline AND stamped "Approved" prior to the Application Deadline (5 points)	5	5	5	5	5
Total Points (maximum of 10)	10	10	10	10	10
Eligibility Requirements					
Submission Requirements met (section Three, A.)	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
2. Demographic Commitment selected	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
3.a.(1) Name of Applicant provided	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
3.a.(2) Evidence Applicant is a legally formed entity provided	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
3.b.(1) Name of Each Developer provided	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
3.b.(2) Evidence that each Developer entity is a legally formed entity provided	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
3.b.(3)(a) Developer Experience Requirement met	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
3.c.(1) Principals for Applicant and Developer(s) Disclosure Form provided and meets requirements	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
3.d.(1) Management Company contact information provided	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
3.d.(2) Prior Management Company Experience requirement met	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
3.e.(1) Authorized Principal Representative provided and meets requirements	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
4.a. Name of Proposed Development provided	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
4.b.(1) Development Category selected	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
4.b.(2) Development Category Qualifying Conditions met	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
4.c. Development Type provided	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
4.d. Unit Characteristic Chart reflecting the breakdown of number of units associated with each Development Type, Development Category and ESS/Non-ESS provided	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
5.a. County identified	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
5.b. Address of Development Site provided	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
5.c. Question whether a Scattered Sites Development answered	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
5.d.(1) Development Location Point provided	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
5.d.(2) Latitude and Longitude Coordinates for any Scattered Sites provided, if applicable	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
5.e. Minimum Total Proximity Score met	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
5.f. Mandatory Distance Requirement met	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y

Scoring Items	2026-188C	2026-189C	2026-190C	2026-191C	2026-192C
Development Name	The Palms at Spring Hill	Jupiter Greene	Zephyrhills	Murdock Square	Vista Pointe at St. Joe
5.g. LDA Development Conditions met, if applicable	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
5.h. RECAP conditions met, if applicable	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
6.a. Total Number of Units provided and within limits	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
6.b. Occupancy status of any existing units provided, if Rehabilitation	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
6.c.(1) Minimum Set-Aside election provided	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
6.c.(2) Total Set-Aside Breakdown Chart properly completed	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
6.d. Unit Mix provided and meets requirements	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
6.e. Number of residential buildings provided	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
7.a. Evidence of Site Control provided	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
8.d. Green Building Certification or minimum additional Green Building Features selected, as applicable	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
9. Minimum Resident Programs selected	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
10.a.(1) Applicant's Housing Credit Request Amount provided	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
10.c. Development Cost Pro Forma provided reflecting that sources equal or exceed uses	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
C. Applicant Certification and Acknowledgement signed by Authorized Principal Representative	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
Verification of no prior acceptance to an invitation to enter credit underwriting for the same Development (Section Five, A.1.)	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
Verification of no recent de-obligations (Section Five, A.1.)	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
Financial Arrearage Requirement met (Section Five, A.1.)	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
All Eligibility Requirements Met?	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
Tie-Breakers					
4.b.(4) Development Category Funding Preference	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
5.e. Proximity Funding Preference	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
Florida Job Creation Preference (Item 3 of Exhibit C)	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
Goals					
11.a. Does the Application qualify for the Local Government Area of Opportunity Funding Goal?	Y	N	N	Y	N
11.b.(1) If the Application qualifies for the Local Government Areas of Opportunity Funding Goal, does the Application demonstrate continuous Local Government Area of Opportunity support since 2023 or earlier?	N	N	N	N	N
11.b.(2) If the Application qualifies for the Local Government Areas of Opportunity Funding Goal, does the Application demonstrate continuous Local Government Area of Opportunity support since 2024 or earlier?	N	N	N	N	N
11.c. If the Application qualifies for the Local Government Areas of Opportunity Funding Goal, will the Application receive lower preference when selecting Applications for the goal?	N	N	N	Y	N

Scoring Items	2026-188C	2026-189C	2026-190C	2026-191C	2026-192C
Development Name	The Palms at Spring Hill	Jupiter Greene	Zephyrhills	Murdock Square	Vista Pointe at St. Joe
5.e.(5) Does the Application qualify for the SunRail Goal?	N	N	N	N	N
5.e.(5) If the Application qualifies for the SunRail Goal, what is the Level of the SunRail Station?	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
7.c. Does the Application qualify for the Permit Ready Goal?	N	N	N	N	N
10.a.(1)(d) If proposed Development will serve the Family Demographic in a Medium County, does the Application qualify for the Geographic Area of Opportunity / HUD-designated SADDA Funding Goal?	Y	N	N	Y	N
Other					
3.c.(3) Does the Application qualify as a Priority 1 or 2 Application?	1	1	1	1	1
Did any Application qualify for the PHA Areas of Opportunity boost? A maximum of one of such Applications will be selected in funding selection process. (Section Four, A.10.a.(1)(c)(vii))	N	N	N	N	N
Permit Ready Tier Status					
3.a.(3)(b) Did the Application state that it was a Priority 1, Tier 1 Permit Ready Application?	N	N	N	N	N
Does the Application qualify as a Priority 1 Application?	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
Does the Application qualify as a Permit Ready Application?	N	N	N	N	N
3.a.(3)(b) Does the Application meet the maximum number of Tier 1 Permit Ready Application submissions?	N	N	N	N	N
If all answers are Yes, the Applicant qualifies as a Tier 1 Permit Ready Application. If any are No, the Applicant qualifies as a Tier 2 Application.	2	2	2	2	2

Scoring Items	2026-193C	2026-194C	2026-195C	2026-196C	2026-197C
Development Name	Hope @ Debra Heights	Royal Park Seniors	Emerald Manor	Longwood Gardens	Bayou Bend Residences
Points Items					
Bookmarking Attachments prior to submission (Section Three, A.2.b.) (5 points)	5	5	5	5	5
3.c.(2) Submission of Principal Disclosure Form that is stamped "Received" by the Corporation at least 14 Calendar Days prior to the Application Deadline AND stamped "Approved" prior to the Application Deadline (5 points)	5	5	5	5	5
Total Points (maximum of 10)	10	10	10	10	10
Eligibility Requirements					
Submission Requirements met (section Three, A.)	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
2. Demographic Commitment selected	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
3.a.(1) Name of Applicant provided	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
3.a.(2) Evidence Applicant is a legally formed entity provided	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
3.b.(1) Name of Each Developer provided	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
3.b.(2) Evidence that each Developer entity is a legally formed entity provided	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
3.b.(3)(a) Developer Experience Requirement met	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
3.c.(1) Principals for Applicant and Developer(s) Disclosure Form provided and meets requirements	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
3.d.(1) Management Company contact information provided	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
3.d.(2) Prior Management Company Experience requirement met	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
3.e.(1) Authorized Principal Representative provided and meets requirements	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
4.a. Name of Proposed Development provided	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
4.b.(1) Development Category selected	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
4.b.(2) Development Category Qualifying Conditions met	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
4.c. Development Type provided	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
4.d. Unit Characteristic Chart reflecting the breakdown of number of units associated with each Development Type, Development Category and ESS/Non-ESS provided	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
5.a. County identified	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
5.b. Address of Development Site provided	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
5.c. Question whether a Scattered Sites Development answered	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
5.d.(1) Development Location Point provided	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
5.d.(2) Latitude and Longitude Coordinates for any Scattered Sites provided, if applicable	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
5.e. Minimum Total Proximity Score met	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
5.f. Mandatory Distance Requirement met	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y

Scoring Items	2026-193C	2026-194C	2026-195C	2026-196C	2026-197C
Development Name	Hope @ Debra Heights	Royal Park Seniors	Emerald Manor	Longwood Gardens	Bayou Bend Residences
5.g. LDA Development Conditions met, if applicable	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
5.h. RECAP conditions met, if applicable	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
6.a. Total Number of Units provided and within limits	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
6.b. Occupancy status of any existing units provided, if Rehabilitation	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
6.c.(1) Minimum Set-Aside election provided	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
6.c.(2) Total Set-Aside Breakdown Chart properly completed	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
6.d. Unit Mix provided and meets requirements	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
6.e. Number of residential buildings provided	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
7.a. Evidence of Site Control provided	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
8.d. Green Building Certification or minimum additional Green Building Features selected, as applicable	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
9. Minimum Resident Programs selected	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
10.a.(1) Applicant's Housing Credit Request Amount provided	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
10.c. Development Cost Pro Forma provided reflecting that sources equal or exceed uses	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
C. Applicant Certification and Acknowledgement signed by Authorized Principal Representative	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
Verification of no prior acceptance to an invitation to enter credit underwriting for the same Development (Section Five, A.1.)	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
Verification of no recent de-obligations (Section Five, A.1.)	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
Financial Arrearage Requirement met (Section Five, A.1.)	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
All Eligibility Requirements Met?	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
Tie-Breakers					
4.b.(4) Development Category Funding Preference	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
5.e. Proximity Funding Preference	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
Florida Job Creation Preference (Item 3 of Exhibit C)	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
Goals					
11.a. Does the Application qualify for the Local Government Area of Opportunity Funding Goal?	Y	N	N	Y	N
11.b.(1) If the Application qualifies for the Local Government Areas of Opportunity Funding Goal , does the Application demonstrate continuous Local Government Area of Opportunity support since 2023 or earlier?	N	N	N	Y	N
11.b.(2) If the Application qualifies for the Local Government Areas of Opportunity Funding Goal , does the Application demonstrate continuous Local Government Area of Opportunity support since 2024 or earlier?	Y	N	N	Y	N
11.c. If the Application qualifies for the Local Government Areas of Opportunity Funding Goal, will the Application receive lower preference when selecting Applications for the goal?	N	N	N	N	N

Scoring Items	2026-193C	2026-194C	2026-195C	2026-196C	2026-197C
Development Name	Hope @ Debra Heights	Royal Park Seniors	Emerald Manor	Longwood Gardens	Bayou Bend Residences
5.e.(5) Does the Application qualify for the SunRail Goal?	N	N	N	N	N
5.e.(5) If the Application qualifies for the SunRail Goal, what is the Level of the SunRail Station?	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
7.c. Does the Application qualify for the Permit Ready Goal?	N	N	N	Y	N
10.a.(1)(d) If proposed Development will serve the Family Demographic in a Medium County, does the Application qualify for the Geographic Area of Opportunity / HUD-designated SADDA Funding Goal?	N	N	Y	N	Y
Other					
3.c.(3) Does the Application qualify as a Priority 1 or 2 Application?	1	1	1	1	1
Did any Application qualify for the PHA Areas of Opportunity boost? A maximum of one of such Applications will be selected in funding selection process. (Section Four, A.10.a.(1)(c)(vii))	N	N	N	N	N
Permit Ready Tier Status					
3.a.(3)(b) Did the Application state that it was a Priority 1, Tier 1 Permit Ready Application?	N	N	N	Y	N
Does the Application qualify as a Priority 1 Application?	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
Does the Application qualify as a Permit Ready Application?	N	N	N	Y	N
3.a.(3)(b) Does the Application meet the maximum number of Tier 1 Permit Ready Application submissions?	N	N	N	Y	N
If all answers are Yes, the Applicant qualifies as a Tier 1 Permit Ready Application. If any are No, the Applicant qualifies as a Tier 2 Application.	2	2	2	1	2

Scoring Items	2026-198C	2026-199C	2026-200C	2026-201C	2026-202C
Development Name	Sugg Redevelopme nt	Magnolia Senior	Cardinal Oaks Phase Two	Renaissance Hall Senior Living	Banyan Crossings
Points Items					
Bookmarking Attachments prior to submission (Section Three, A.2.b.) (5 points)	5	5	5	5	5
3.c.(2) Submission of Principal Disclosure Form that is stamped "Received" by the Corporation at least 14 Calendar Days prior to the Application Deadline AND stamped "Approved" prior to the Application Deadline (5 points)	5	5	5	5	5
Total Points (maximum of 10)	10	10	10	10	10
Eligibility Requirements					
Submission Requirements met (section Three, A.)	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
2. Demographic Commitment selected	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
3.a.(1) Name of Applicant provided	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
3.a.(2) Evidence Applicant is a legally formed entity provided	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
3.b.(1) Name of Each Developer provided	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
3.b.(2) Evidence that each Developer entity is a legally formed entity provided	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
3.b.(3)(a) Developer Experience Requirement met	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
3.c.(1) Principals for Applicant and Developer(s) Disclosure Form provided and meets requirements	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
3.d.(1) Management Company contact information provided	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
3.d.(2) Prior Management Company Experience requirement met	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
3.e.(1) Authorized Principal Representative provided and meets requirements	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
4.a. Name of Proposed Development provided	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
4.b.(1) Development Category selected	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
4.b.(2) Development Category Qualifying Conditions met	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
4.c. Development Type provided	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
4.d. Unit Characteristic Chart reflecting the breakdown of number of units associated with each Development Type, Development Category and ESS/Non-ESS provided	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
5.a. County identified	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
5.b. Address of Development Site provided	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
5.c. Question whether a Scattered Sites Development answered	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
5.d.(1) Development Location Point provided	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
5.d.(2) Latitude and Longitude Coordinates for any Scattered Sites provided, if applicable	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
5.e. Minimum Total Proximity Score met	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
5.f. Mandatory Distance Requirement met	Y	Y	N	Y	Y

Scoring Items	2026-198C	2026-199C	2026-200C	2026-201C	2026-202C
Development Name	Sugg Redevelopme nt	Magnolia Senior	Cardinal Oaks Phase Two	Renaissance Hall Senior Living	Banyan Crossings
5.g. LDA Development Conditions met, if applicable	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
5.h. RECAP conditions met, if applicable	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
6.a. Total Number of Units provided and within limits	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
6.b. Occupancy status of any existing units provided, if Rehabilitation	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
6.c.(1) Minimum Set-Aside election provided	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
6.c.(2) Total Set-Aside Breakdown Chart properly completed	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
6.d. Unit Mix provided and meets requirements	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
6.e. Number of residential buildings provided	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
7.a. Evidence of Site Control provided	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
8.d. Green Building Certification or minimum additional Green Building Features selected, as applicable	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
9. Minimum Resident Programs selected	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
10.a.(1) Applicant's Housing Credit Request Amount provided	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
10.c. Development Cost Pro Forma provided reflecting that sources equal or exceed uses	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
C. Applicant Certification and Acknowledgement signed by Authorized Principal Representative	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
Verification of no prior acceptance to an invitation to enter credit underwriting for the same Development (Section Five, A.1.)	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
Verification of no recent de-obligations (Section Five, A.1.)	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
Financial Arrearage Requirement met (Section Five, A.1.)	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
All Eligibility Requirements Met?	Y	Y	N	Y	Y
Tie-Breakers					
4.b.(4) Development Category Funding Preference	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
5.e. Proximity Funding Preference	Y	Y	Y	Y	N
Florida Job Creation Preference (Item 3 of Exhibit C)	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
Goals					
11.a. Does the Application qualify for the Local Government Area of Opportunity Funding Goal?	Y	Y	N	Y	N
11.b.(1) If the Application qualifies for the Local Government Areas of Opportunity Funding Goal , does the Application demonstrate continuous Local Government Area of Opportunity support since 2023 or earlier?	N	Y	N	N	N
11.b.(2) If the Application qualifies for the Local Government Areas of Opportunity Funding Goal , does the Application demonstrate continuous Local Government Area of Opportunity support since 2024 or earlier?	N	Y	N	Y	N
11.c. If the Application qualifies for the Local Government Areas of Opportunity Funding Goal, will the Application receive lower preference when selecting Applications for the goal?	N	N	N	N	N

Scoring Items	2026-198C	2026-199C	2026-200C	2026-201C	2026-202C
Development Name	Sugg Redevelopme nt	Magnolia Senior	Cardinal Oaks Phase Two	Renaissance Hall Senior Living	Banyan Crossings
5.e.(5) Does the Application qualify for the SunRail Goal?	N	N	N	N	Y
5.e.(5) If the Application qualifies for the SunRail Goal, what is the Level of the SunRail Station?	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	1
7.c. Does the Application qualify for the Permit Ready Goal?	N	N	N	N	N
10.a.(1)(d) If proposed Development will serve the Family Demographic in a Medium County, does the Application qualify for the Geographic Area of Opportunity / HUD-designated SADDA Funding Goal?	N	N	N	N	Y
Other					
3.c.(3) Does the Application qualify as a Priority 1 or 2 Application?	1	1	2	1	1
Did any Application qualify for the PHA Areas of Opportunity boost? A maximum of one of such Applications will be selected in funding selection process. (Section Four, A.10.a.(1)(c)(vii))	N	N	N	N	N
Permit Ready Tier Status					
3.a.(3)(b) Did the Application state that it was a Priority 1, Tier 1 Permit Ready Application?	N	N	N	Y	N
Does the Application qualify as a Priority 1 Application?	Y	Y	N	Y	Y
Does the Application qualify as a Permit Ready Application?	N	N	N	N	N
3.a.(3)(b) Does the Application meet the maximum number of Tier 1 Permit Ready Application submissions?	N	N	N	Y	N
If all answers are Yes, the Applicant qualifies as a Tier 1 Permit Ready Application. If any are No, the Applicant qualifies as a Tier 2 Application.	2	2	2	2	2

Scoring Items	2026-203C	2026-204C	2026-205C	2026-206C	2026-207C
Development Name	Sunray Station	Jacob Heights	Vista at Poinciana	Madison Oaks East	Madison Oaks West II
Points Items					
Bookmarking Attachments prior to submission (Section Three, A.2.b.) (5 points)	5	5	5	5	5
3.c.(2) Submission of Principal Disclosure Form that is stamped "Received" by the Corporation at least 14 Calendar Days prior to the Application Deadline AND stamped "Approved" prior to the Application Deadline (5 points)	5	5	5	5	5
Total Points (maximum of 10)	10	10	10	10	10
Eligibility Requirements					
Submission Requirements met (section Three, A.)	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
2. Demographic Commitment selected	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
3.a.(1) Name of Applicant provided	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
3.a.(2) Evidence Applicant is a legally formed entity provided	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
3.b.(1) Name of Each Developer provided	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
3.b.(2) Evidence that each Developer entity is a legally formed entity provided	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
3.b.(3)(a) Developer Experience Requirement met	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
3.c.(1) Principals for Applicant and Developer(s) Disclosure Form provided and meets requirements	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
3.d.(1) Management Company contact information provided	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
3.d.(2) Prior Management Company Experience requirement met	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
3.e.(1) Authorized Principal Representative provided and meets requirements	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
4.a. Name of Proposed Development provided	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
4.b.(1) Development Category selected	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
4.b.(2) Development Category Qualifying Conditions met	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
4.c. Development Type provided	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
4.d. Unit Characteristic Chart reflecting the breakdown of number of units associated with each Development Type, Development Category and ESS/Non-ESS provided	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
5.a. County identified	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
5.b. Address of Development Site provided	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
5.c. Question whether a Scattered Sites Development answered	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
5.d.(1) Development Location Point provided	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
5.d.(2) Latitude and Longitude Coordinates for any Scattered Sites provided, if applicable	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
5.e. Minimum Total Proximity Score met	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
5.f. Mandatory Distance Requirement met	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y

Scoring Items	2026-203C	2026-204C	2026-205C	2026-206C	2026-207C
Development Name	Sunray Station	Jacob Heights	Vista at Poinciana	Madison Oaks East	Madison Oaks West II
5.g. LDA Development Conditions met, if applicable	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
5.h. RECAP conditions met, if applicable	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
6.a. Total Number of Units provided and within limits	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
6.b. Occupancy status of any existing units provided, if Rehabilitation	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
6.c.(1) Minimum Set-Aside election provided	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
6.c.(2) Total Set-Aside Breakdown Chart properly completed	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
6.d. Unit Mix provided and meets requirements	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
6.e. Number of residential buildings provided	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
7.a. Evidence of Site Control provided	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
8.d. Green Building Certification or minimum additional Green Building Features selected, as applicable	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
9. Minimum Resident Programs selected	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
10.a.(1) Applicant's Housing Credit Request Amount provided	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
10.c. Development Cost Pro Forma provided reflecting that sources equal or exceed uses	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
C. Applicant Certification and Acknowledgement signed by Authorized Principal Representative	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
Verification of no prior acceptance to an invitation to enter credit underwriting for the same Development (Section Five, A.1.)	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
Verification of no recent de-obligations (Section Five, A.1.)	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
Financial Arrearage Requirement met (Section Five, A.1.)	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
All Eligibility Requirements Met?	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
Tie-Breakers					
4.b.(4) Development Category Funding Preference	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
5.e. Proximity Funding Preference	Y	Y	Y	N	N
Florida Job Creation Preference (Item 3 of Exhibit C)	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
Goals					
11.a. Does the Application qualify for the Local Government Area of Opportunity Funding Goal?	N	N	N	Y	Y
11.b.(1) If the Application qualifies for the Local Government Areas of Opportunity Funding Goal , does the Application demonstrate continuous Local Government Area of Opportunity support since 2023 or earlier?	N	N	N	Y	N
11.b.(2) If the Application qualifies for the Local Government Areas of Opportunity Funding Goal , does the Application demonstrate continuous Local Government Area of Opportunity support since 2024 or earlier?	N	N	N	Y	Y
11.c. If the Application qualifies for the Local Government Areas of Opportunity Funding Goal, will the Application receive lower preference when selecting Applications for the goal?	N	N	N	N	N

Scoring Items	2026-203C	2026-204C	2026-205C	2026-206C	2026-207C
Development Name	Sunray Station	Jacob Heights	Vista at Poinciana	Madison Oaks East	Madison Oaks West II
5.e.(5) Does the Application qualify for the SunRail Goal?	Y	N	N	N	N
5.e.(5) If the Application qualifies for the SunRail Goal, what is the Level of the SunRail Station?	1	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
7.c. Does the Application qualify for the Permit Ready Goal?	N	N	N	Y	Y
10.a.(1)(d) If proposed Development will serve the Family Demographic in a Medium County, does the Application qualify for the Geographic Area of Opportunity / HUD-designated SADDA Funding Goal?	Y	Y	Y	N	N
Other					
3.c.(3) Does the Application qualify as a Priority 1 or 2 Application?	1	1	1	1	1
Did any Application qualify for the PHA Areas of Opportunity boost? A maximum of one of such Applications will be selected in funding selection process. (Section Four, A.10.a.(1)(c)(vii))	N	N	N	N	N
Permit Ready Tier Status					
3.a.(3)(b) Did the Application state that it was a Priority 1, Tier 1 Permit Ready Application?	N	N	N	Y	N
Does the Application qualify as a Priority 1 Application?	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
Does the Application qualify as a Permit Ready Application?	N	N	N	Y	Y
3.a.(3)(b) Does the Application meet the maximum number of Tier 1 Permit Ready Application submissions?	N	N	N	Y	N
If all answers are Yes, the Applicant qualifies as a Tier 1 Permit Ready Application. If any are No, the Applicant qualifies as a Tier 2 Application.	2	2	2	1	2

Scoring Items	2026-208C	2026-209C	2026-210C	2026-211C	2026-212C
Development Name	Madison Cove	Solara at Lake City	Suntree Commons	The Preserve at Moon Lake	Ekos at the Springs
Points Items					
Bookmarking Attachments prior to submission (Section Three, A.2.b.) (5 points)	5	5	5	5	5
3.c.(2) Submission of Principal Disclosure Form that is stamped "Received" by the Corporation at least 14 Calendar Days prior to the Application Deadline AND stamped "Approved" prior to the Application Deadline (5 points)	5	5	5	5	5
Total Points (maximum of 10)	10	10	10	10	10
Eligibility Requirements					
Submission Requirements met (section Three, A.)	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
2. Demographic Commitment selected	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
3.a.(1) Name of Applicant provided	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
3.a.(2) Evidence Applicant is a legally formed entity provided	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
3.b.(1) Name of Each Developer provided	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
3.b.(2) Evidence that each Developer entity is a legally formed entity provided	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
3.b.(3)(a) Developer Experience Requirement met	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
3.c.(1) Principals for Applicant and Developer(s) Disclosure Form provided and meets requirements	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
3.d.(1) Management Company contact information provided	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
3.d.(2) Prior Management Company Experience requirement met	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
3.e.(1) Authorized Principal Representative provided and meets requirements	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
4.a. Name of Proposed Development provided	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
4.b.(1) Development Category selected	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
4.b.(2) Development Category Qualifying Conditions met	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
4.c. Development Type provided	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
4.d. Unit Characteristic Chart reflecting the breakdown of number of units associated with each Development Type, Development Category and ESS/Non-ESS provided	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
5.a. County identified	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
5.b. Address of Development Site provided	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
5.c. Question whether a Scattered Sites Development answered	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
5.d.(1) Development Location Point provided	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
5.d.(2) Latitude and Longitude Coordinates for any Scattered Sites provided, if applicable	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
5.e. Minimum Total Proximity Score met	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
5.f. Mandatory Distance Requirement met	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y

Scoring Items	2026-208C	2026-209C	2026-210C	2026-211C	2026-212C
Development Name	Madison Cove	Solara at Lake City	Suntree Commons	The Preserve at Moon Lake	Ekos at the Springs
5.g. LDA Development Conditions met, if applicable	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
5.h. RECAP conditions met, if applicable	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
6.a. Total Number of Units provided and within limits	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
6.b. Occupancy status of any existing units provided, if Rehabilitation	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
6.c.(1) Minimum Set-Aside election provided	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
6.c.(2) Total Set-Aside Breakdown Chart properly completed	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
6.d. Unit Mix provided and meets requirements	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
6.e. Number of residential buildings provided	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
7.a. Evidence of Site Control provided	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
8.d. Green Building Certification or minimum additional Green Building Features selected, as applicable	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
9. Minimum Resident Programs selected	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
10.a.(1) Applicant's Housing Credit Request Amount provided	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
10.c. Development Cost Pro Forma provided reflecting that sources equal or exceed uses	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
C. Applicant Certification and Acknowledgement signed by Authorized Principal Representative	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
Verification of no prior acceptance to an invitation to enter credit underwriting for the same Development (Section Five, A.1.)	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
Verification of no recent de-obligations (Section Five, A.1.)	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
Financial Arrearage Requirement met (Section Five, A.1.)	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
All Eligibility Requirements Met?	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
Tie-Breakers					
4.b.(4) Development Category Funding Preference	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
5.e. Proximity Funding Preference	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
Florida Job Creation Preference (Item 3 of Exhibit C)	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
Goals					
11.a. Does the Application qualify for the Local Government Area of Opportunity Funding Goal?	Y	N	N	N	N
11.b.(1) If the Application qualifies for the Local Government Areas of Opportunity Funding Goal , does the Application demonstrate continuous Local Government Area of Opportunity support since 2023 or earlier?	Y	N	N	N	N
11.b.(2) If the Application qualifies for the Local Government Areas of Opportunity Funding Goal , does the Application demonstrate continuous Local Government Area of Opportunity support since 2024 or earlier?	Y	N	N	N	N
11.c. If the Application qualifies for the Local Government Areas of Opportunity Funding Goal, will the Application receive lower preference when selecting Applications for the goal?	N	N	N	N	N

Scoring Items	2026-208C	2026-209C	2026-210C	2026-211C	2026-212C
Development Name	Madison Cove	Solara at Lake City	Suntree Commons	The Preserve at Moon Lake	Ekos at the Springs
5.e.(5) Does the Application qualify for the SunRail Goal?	N	N	N	N	N
5.e.(5) If the Application qualifies for the SunRail Goal, what is the Level of the SunRail Station?	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
7.c. Does the Application qualify for the Permit Ready Goal?	Y	N	N	N	N
10.a.(1)(d) If proposed Development will serve the Family Demographic in a Medium County, does the Application qualify for the Geographic Area of Opportunity / HUD-designated SADDA Funding Goal?	N	N	Y	Y	Y
Other					
3.c.(3) Does the Application qualify as a Priority 1 or 2 Application?	1	1	1	1	1
Did any Application qualify for the PHA Areas of Opportunity boost? A maximum of one of such Applications will be selected in funding selection process. (Section Four, A.10.a.(1)(c)(vii))	N	N	N	N	N
Permit Ready Tier Status					
3.a.(3)(b) Did the Application state that it was a Priority 1, Tier 1 Permit Ready Application?	N	N	N	N	N
Does the Application qualify as a Priority 1 Application?	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
Does the Application qualify as a Permit Ready Application?	Y	N	N	N	N
3.a.(3)(b) Does the Application meet the maximum number of Tier 1 Permit Ready Application submissions?	N	N	N	N	N
If all answers are Yes, the Applicant qualifies as a Tier 1 Permit Ready Application. If any are No, the Applicant qualifies as a Tier 2 Application.	2	2	2	2	2

Scoring Items	2026-213C	2026-214C	2026-215C	2026-216C	2026-217C
Development Name	Pecan Creek	Cypress Point Estates	DeLand Station	County Line Lofts	Pinnacle at Moore's Creek
Points Items					
Bookmarking Attachments prior to submission (Section Three, A.2.b.) (5 points)	5	5	5	5	5
3.c.(2) Submission of Principal Disclosure Form that is stamped "Received" by the Corporation at least 14 Calendar Days prior to the Application Deadline AND stamped "Approved" prior to the Application Deadline (5 points)	5	5	5	5	5
Total Points (maximum of 10)	10	10	10	10	10
Eligibility Requirements					
Submission Requirements met (section Three, A.)	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
2. Demographic Commitment selected	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
3.a.(1) Name of Applicant provided	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
3.a.(2) Evidence Applicant is a legally formed entity provided	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
3.b.(1) Name of Each Developer provided	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
3.b.(2) Evidence that each Developer entity is a legally formed entity provided	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
3.b.(3)(a) Developer Experience Requirement met	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
3.c.(1) Principals for Applicant and Developer(s) Disclosure Form provided and meets requirements	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
3.d.(1) Management Company contact information provided	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
3.d.(2) Prior Management Company Experience requirement met	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
3.e.(1) Authorized Principal Representative provided and meets requirements	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
4.a. Name of Proposed Development provided	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
4.b.(1) Development Category selected	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
4.b.(2) Development Category Qualifying Conditions met	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
4.c. Development Type provided	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
4.d. Unit Characteristic Chart reflecting the breakdown of number of units associated with each Development Type, Development Category and ESS/Non-ESS provided	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
5.a. County identified	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
5.b. Address of Development Site provided	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
5.c. Question whether a Scattered Sites Development answered	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
5.d.(1) Development Location Point provided	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
5.d.(2) Latitude and Longitude Coordinates for any Scattered Sites provided, if applicable	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
5.e. Minimum Total Proximity Score met	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
5.f. Mandatory Distance Requirement met	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y

Scoring Items	2026-213C	2026-214C	2026-215C	2026-216C	2026-217C
Development Name	Pecan Creek	Cypress Point Estates	DeLand Station	County Line Lofts	Pinnacle at Moore's Creek
5.g. LDA Development Conditions met, if applicable	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
5.h. RECAP conditions met, if applicable	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
6.a. Total Number of Units provided and within limits	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
6.b. Occupancy status of any existing units provided, if Rehabilitation	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
6.c.(1) Minimum Set-Aside election provided	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
6.c.(2) Total Set-Aside Breakdown Chart properly completed	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
6.d. Unit Mix provided and meets requirements	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
6.e. Number of residential buildings provided	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
7.a. Evidence of Site Control provided	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
8.d. Green Building Certification or minimum additional Green Building Features selected, as applicable	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
9. Minimum Resident Programs selected	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
10.a.(1) Applicant's Housing Credit Request Amount provided	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
10.c. Development Cost Pro Forma provided reflecting that sources equal or exceed uses	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
C. Applicant Certification and Acknowledgement signed by Authorized Principal Representative	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
Verification of no prior acceptance to an invitation to enter credit underwriting for the same Development (Section Five, A.1.)	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
Verification of no recent de-obligations (Section Five, A.1.)	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
Financial Arrearage Requirement met (Section Five, A.1.)	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
All Eligibility Requirements Met?	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
Tie-Breakers					
4.b.(4) Development Category Funding Preference	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
5.e. Proximity Funding Preference	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
Florida Job Creation Preference (Item 3 of Exhibit C)	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
Goals					
11.a. Does the Application qualify for the Local Government Area of Opportunity Funding Goal?	N	N	N	N	Y
11.b.(1) If the Application qualifies for the Local Government Areas of Opportunity Funding Goal , does the Application demonstrate continuous Local Government Area of Opportunity support since 2023 or earlier?	N	N	N	N	N
11.b.(2) If the Application qualifies for the Local Government Areas of Opportunity Funding Goal , does the Application demonstrate continuous Local Government Area of Opportunity support since 2024 or earlier?	N	N	N	N	N
11.c. If the Application qualifies for the Local Government Areas of Opportunity Funding Goal, will the Application receive lower preference when selecting Applications for the goal?	N	N	N	N	N

Scoring Items	2026-213C	2026-214C	2026-215C	2026-216C	2026-217C
Development Name	Pecan Creek	Cypress Point Estates	DeLand Station	County Line Lofts	Pinnacle at Moore's Creek
5.e.(5) Does the Application qualify for the SunRail Goal?	N	N	Y	N	N
5.e.(5) If the Application qualifies for the SunRail Goal, what is the Level of the SunRail Station?	N/A	N/A	1	N/A	N/A
7.c. Does the Application qualify for the Permit Ready Goal?	N	N	N	N	N
10.a.(1)(d) If proposed Development will serve the Family Demographic in a Medium County, does the Application qualify for the Geographic Area of Opportunity / HUD-designated SADDA Funding Goal?	N	Y	Y	Y	N
Other					
3.c.(3) Does the Application qualify as a Priority 1 or 2 Application?	1	1	1	1	1
Did any Application qualify for the PHA Areas of Opportunity boost? A maximum of one of such Applications will be selected in funding selection process. (Section Four, A.10.a.(1)(c)(vii))	N	N	N	N	N
Permit Ready Tier Status					
3.a.(3)(b) Did the Application state that it was a Priority 1, Tier 1 Permit Ready Application?	N	N	N	N	N
Does the Application qualify as a Priority 1 Application?	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
Does the Application qualify as a Permit Ready Application?	N	N	N	N	N
3.a.(3)(b) Does the Application meet the maximum number of Tier 1 Permit Ready Application submissions?	N	N	N	N	N
If all answers are Yes, the Applicant qualifies as a Tier 1 Permit Ready Application. If any are No, the Applicant qualifies as a Tier 2 Application.	2	2	2	2	2

Scoring Items	2026-218C	2026-219C	2026-220C	2026-221C	2026-222C
Development Name	Kelli Grove	Ekos at Moon Lake	Labelle Acres	Warrington Senior Living	Corbridge Park
Points Items					
Bookmarking Attachments prior to submission (Section Three, A.2.b.) (5 points)	5	5	5	5	5
3.c.(2) Submission of Principal Disclosure Form that is stamped "Received" by the Corporation at least 14 Calendar Days prior to the Application Deadline AND stamped "Approved" prior to the Application Deadline (5 points)	5	5	5	5	5
Total Points (maximum of 10)	10	10	10	10	10
Eligibility Requirements					
Submission Requirements met (section Three, A.)	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
2. Demographic Commitment selected	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
3.a.(1) Name of Applicant provided	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
3.a.(2) Evidence Applicant is a legally formed entity provided	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
3.b.(1) Name of Each Developer provided	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
3.b.(2) Evidence that each Developer entity is a legally formed entity provided	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
3.b.(3)(a) Developer Experience Requirement met	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
3.c.(1) Principals for Applicant and Developer(s) Disclosure Form provided and meets requirements	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
3.d.(1) Management Company contact information provided	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
3.d.(2) Prior Management Company Experience requirement met	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
3.e.(1) Authorized Principal Representative provided and meets requirements	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
4.a. Name of Proposed Development provided	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
4.b.(1) Development Category selected	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
4.b.(2) Development Category Qualifying Conditions met	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
4.c. Development Type provided	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
4.d. Unit Characteristic Chart reflecting the breakdown of number of units associated with each Development Type, Development Category and ESS/Non-ESS provided	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
5.a. County identified	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
5.b. Address of Development Site provided	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
5.c. Question whether a Scattered Sites Development answered	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
5.d.(1) Development Location Point provided	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
5.d.(2) Latitude and Longitude Coordinates for any Scattered Sites provided, if applicable	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
5.e. Minimum Total Proximity Score met	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
5.f. Mandatory Distance Requirement met	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y

Scoring Items	2026-218C	2026-219C	2026-220C	2026-221C	2026-222C
Development Name	Kelli Grove	Ekos at Moon Lake	Labelle Acres	Warrington Senior Living	Corbridge Park
5.g. LDA Development Conditions met, if applicable	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
5.h. RECAP conditions met, if applicable	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
6.a. Total Number of Units provided and within limits	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
6.b. Occupancy status of any existing units provided, if Rehabilitation	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
6.c.(1) Minimum Set-Aside election provided	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
6.c.(2) Total Set-Aside Breakdown Chart properly completed	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
6.d. Unit Mix provided and meets requirements	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
6.e. Number of residential buildings provided	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
7.a. Evidence of Site Control provided	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
8.d. Green Building Certification or minimum additional Green Building Features selected, as applicable	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
9. Minimum Resident Programs selected	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
10.a.(1) Applicant's Housing Credit Request Amount provided	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
10.c. Development Cost Pro Forma provided reflecting that sources equal or exceed uses	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
C. Applicant Certification and Acknowledgement signed by Authorized Principal Representative	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
Verification of no prior acceptance to an invitation to enter credit underwriting for the same Development (Section Five, A.1.)	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
Verification of no recent de-obligations (Section Five, A.1.)	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
Financial Arrearage Requirement met (Section Five, A.1.)	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
All Eligibility Requirements Met?	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
Tie-Breakers					
4.b.(4) Development Category Funding Preference	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
5.e. Proximity Funding Preference	N	Y	Y	Y	Y
Florida Job Creation Preference (Item 3 of Exhibit C)	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
Goals					
11.a. Does the Application qualify for the Local Government Area of Opportunity Funding Goal?	Y	N	N	Y	N
11.b.(1) If the Application qualifies for the Local Government Areas of Opportunity Funding Goal , does the Application demonstrate continuous Local Government Area of Opportunity support since 2023 or earlier?	Y	N	N	N	N
11.b.(2) If the Application qualifies for the Local Government Areas of Opportunity Funding Goal , does the Application demonstrate continuous Local Government Area of Opportunity support since 2024 or earlier?	Y	N	N	N	N
11.c. If the Application qualifies for the Local Government Areas of Opportunity Funding Goal, will the Application receive lower preference when selecting Applications for the goal?	N	N	N	N	N

Scoring Items	2026-218C	2026-219C	2026-220C	2026-221C	2026-222C
Development Name	Kelli Grove	Ekos at Moon Lake	Labelle Acres	Warrington Senior Living	Corbridge Park
5.e.(5) Does the Application qualify for the SunRail Goal?	N	N	N	N	N
5.e.(5) If the Application qualifies for the SunRail Goal, what is the Level of the SunRail Station?	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
7.c. Does the Application qualify for the Permit Ready Goal?	Y	N	N	N	N
10.a.(1)(d) If proposed Development will serve the Family Demographic in a Medium County, does the Application qualify for the Geographic Area of Opportunity / HUD-designated SADDA Funding Goal?	N	Y	N	N	Y
Other					
3.c.(3) Does the Application qualify as a Priority 1 or 2 Application?	1	1	1	1	1
Did any Application qualify for the PHA Areas of Opportunity boost? A maximum of one of such Applications will be selected in funding selection process. (Section Four, A.10.a.(1)(c)(vii))	N	N	N	N	N
Permit Ready Tier Status					
3.a.(3)(b) Did the Application state that it was a Priority 1, Tier 1 Permit Ready Application?	Y	N	N	N	N
Does the Application qualify as a Priority 1 Application?	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
Does the Application qualify as a Permit Ready Application?	Y	N	N	N	N
3.a.(3)(b) Does the Application meet the maximum number of Tier 1 Permit Ready Application submissions?	Y	N	N	N	N
If all answers are Yes, the Applicant qualifies as a Tier 1 Permit Ready Application. If any are No, the Applicant qualifies as a Tier 2 Application.	1	2	2	2	2

Scoring Items	2026-223C	2026-224C	2026-225C	2026-226C	2026-227C
Development Name	Bayside Gardens	Westbury Commons	The Courts at Carver Square	Ardent at West Melbourne	Talland Park
Points Items					
Bookmarking Attachments prior to submission (Section Three, A.2.b.) (5 points)	5	5	5	5	5
3.c.(2) Submission of Principal Disclosure Form that is stamped "Received" by the Corporation at least 14 Calendar Days prior to the Application Deadline AND stamped "Approved" prior to the Application Deadline (5 points)	5	5	5	5	5
Total Points (maximum of 10)	10	10	10	10	10
Eligibility Requirements					
Submission Requirements met (section Three, A.)	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
2. Demographic Commitment selected	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
3.a.(1) Name of Applicant provided	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
3.a.(2) Evidence Applicant is a legally formed entity provided	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
3.b.(1) Name of Each Developer provided	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
3.b.(2) Evidence that each Developer entity is a legally formed entity provided	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
3.b.(3)(a) Developer Experience Requirement met	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
3.c.(1) Principals for Applicant and Developer(s) Disclosure Form provided and meets requirements	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
3.d.(1) Management Company contact information provided	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
3.d.(2) Prior Management Company Experience requirement met	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
3.e.(1) Authorized Principal Representative provided and meets requirements	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
4.a. Name of Proposed Development provided	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
4.b.(1) Development Category selected	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
4.b.(2) Development Category Qualifying Conditions met	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
4.c. Development Type provided	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
4.d. Unit Characteristic Chart reflecting the breakdown of number of units associated with each Development Type, Development Category and ESS/Non-ESS provided	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
5.a. County identified	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
5.b. Address of Development Site provided	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
5.c. Question whether a Scattered Sites Development answered	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
5.d.(1) Development Location Point provided	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
5.d.(2) Latitude and Longitude Coordinates for any Scattered Sites provided, if applicable	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
5.e. Minimum Total Proximity Score met	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
5.f. Mandatory Distance Requirement met	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y

Scoring Items	2026-223C	2026-224C	2026-225C	2026-226C	2026-227C
Development Name	Bayside Gardens	Westbury Commons	The Courts at Carver Square	Ardent at West Melbourne	Talland Park
5.g. LDA Development Conditions met, if applicable	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
5.h. RECAP conditions met, if applicable	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
6.a. Total Number of Units provided and within limits	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
6.b. Occupancy status of any existing units provided, if Rehabilitation	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
6.c.(1) Minimum Set-Aside election provided	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
6.c.(2) Total Set-Aside Breakdown Chart properly completed	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
6.d. Unit Mix provided and meets requirements	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
6.e. Number of residential buildings provided	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
7.a. Evidence of Site Control provided	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
8.d. Green Building Certification or minimum additional Green Building Features selected, as applicable	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
9. Minimum Resident Programs selected	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
10.a.(1) Applicant's Housing Credit Request Amount provided	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
10.c. Development Cost Pro Forma provided reflecting that sources equal or exceed uses	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
C. Applicant Certification and Acknowledgement signed by Authorized Principal Representative	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
Verification of no prior acceptance to an invitation to enter credit underwriting for the same Development (Section Five, A.1.)	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
Verification of no recent de-obligations (Section Five, A.1.)	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
Financial Arrearage Requirement met (Section Five, A.1.)	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
All Eligibility Requirements Met?	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
Tie-Breakers					
4.b.(4) Development Category Funding Preference	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
5.e. Proximity Funding Preference	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
Florida Job Creation Preference (Item 3 of Exhibit C)	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
Goals					
11.a. Does the Application qualify for the Local Government Area of Opportunity Funding Goal?	Y	N	N	N	N
11.b.(1) If the Application qualifies for the Local Government Areas of Opportunity Funding Goal , does the Application demonstrate continuous Local Government Area of Opportunity support since 2023 or earlier?	Y	N	N	N	N
11.b.(2) If the Application qualifies for the Local Government Areas of Opportunity Funding Goal , does the Application demonstrate continuous Local Government Area of Opportunity support since 2024 or earlier?	Y	N	N	N	N
11.c. If the Application qualifies for the Local Government Areas of Opportunity Funding Goal, will the Application receive lower preference when selecting Applications for the goal?	N	N	N	N	N

Scoring Items	2026-223C	2026-224C	2026-225C	2026-226C	2026-227C
Development Name	Bayside Gardens	Westbury Commons	The Courts at Carver Square	Ardent at West Melbourne	Talland Park
5.e.(5) Does the Application qualify for the SunRail Goal?	N	N	N	N	N
5.e.(5) If the Application qualifies for the SunRail Goal, what is the Level of the SunRail Station?	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
7.c. Does the Application qualify for the Permit Ready Goal?	N	N	N	N	N
10.a.(1)(d) If proposed Development will serve the Family Demographic in a Medium County, does the Application qualify for the Geographic Area of Opportunity / HUD-designated SADDA Funding Goal?	N	Y	Y	Y	N
Other					
3.c.(3) Does the Application qualify as a Priority 1 or 2 Application?	1	1	1	1	1
Did any Application qualify for the PHA Areas of Opportunity boost? A maximum of one of such Applications will be selected in funding selection process. (Section Four, A.10.a.(1)(c)(vii))	N	N	N	N	N
Permit Ready Tier Status					
3.a.(3)(b) Did the Application state that it was a Priority 1, Tier 1 Permit Ready Application?	N	N	N	N	N
Does the Application qualify as a Priority 1 Application?	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
Does the Application qualify as a Permit Ready Application?	N	N	N	N	N
3.a.(3)(b) Does the Application meet the maximum number of Tier 1 Permit Ready Application submissions?	N	N	N	N	N
If all answers are Yes, the Applicant qualifies as a Tier 1 Permit Ready Application. If any are No, the Applicant qualifies as a Tier 2 Application.	2	2	2	2	2

Scoring Items	2026-228C	2026-229C	2026-230C	2026-231C	2026-232C
Development Name	Ardent Blu Apartments	Ardent Run	The Beacon at Bayside	Ekos Melbourne Landings	The Pointe at Mossy Oak
Points Items					
Bookmarking Attachments prior to submission (Section Three, A.2.b.) (5 points)	5	5	5	5	5
3.c.(2) Submission of Principal Disclosure Form that is stamped "Received" by the Corporation at least 14 Calendar Days prior to the Application Deadline AND stamped "Approved" prior to the Application Deadline (5 points)	5	5	5	5	0
Total Points (maximum of 10)	10	10	10	10	5
Eligibility Requirements					
Submission Requirements met (section Three, A.)	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
2. Demographic Commitment selected	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
3.a.(1) Name of Applicant provided	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
3.a.(2) Evidence Applicant is a legally formed entity provided	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
3.b.(1) Name of Each Developer provided	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
3.b.(2) Evidence that each Developer entity is a legally formed entity provided	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
3.b.(3)(a) Developer Experience Requirement met	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
3.c.(1) Principals for Applicant and Developer(s) Disclosure Form provided and meets requirements	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
3.d.(1) Management Company contact information provided	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
3.d.(2) Prior Management Company Experience requirement met	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
3.e.(1) Authorized Principal Representative provided and meets requirements	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
4.a. Name of Proposed Development provided	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
4.b.(1) Development Category selected	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
4.b.(2) Development Category Qualifying Conditions met	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
4.c. Development Type provided	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
4.d. Unit Characteristic Chart reflecting the breakdown of number of units associated with each Development Type, Development Category and ESS/Non-ESS provided	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
5.a. County identified	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
5.b. Address of Development Site provided	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
5.c. Question whether a Scattered Sites Development answered	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
5.d.(1) Development Location Point provided	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
5.d.(2) Latitude and Longitude Coordinates for any Scattered Sites provided, if applicable	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
5.e. Minimum Total Proximity Score met	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
5.f. Mandatory Distance Requirement met	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y

Scoring Items	2026-228C	2026-229C	2026-230C	2026-231C	2026-232C
Development Name	Ardent Blu Apartments	Ardent Run	The Beacon at Bayside	Ekos Melbourne Landings	The Pointe at Mossy Oak
5.g. LDA Development Conditions met, if applicable	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
5.h. RECAP conditions met, if applicable	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
6.a. Total Number of Units provided and within limits	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
6.b. Occupancy status of any existing units provided, if Rehabilitation	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
6.c.(1) Minimum Set-Aside election provided	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
6.c.(2) Total Set-Aside Breakdown Chart properly completed	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
6.d. Unit Mix provided and meets requirements	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
6.e. Number of residential buildings provided	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
7.a. Evidence of Site Control provided	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
8.d. Green Building Certification or minimum additional Green Building Features selected, as applicable	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
9. Minimum Resident Programs selected	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
10.a.(1) Applicant's Housing Credit Request Amount provided	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
10.c. Development Cost Pro Forma provided reflecting that sources equal or exceed uses	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
C. Applicant Certification and Acknowledgement signed by Authorized Principal Representative	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
Verification of no prior acceptance to an invitation to enter credit underwriting for the same Development (Section Five, A.1.)	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
Verification of no recent de-obligations (Section Five, A.1.)	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
Financial Arrearage Requirement met (Section Five, A.1.)	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
All Eligibility Requirements Met?	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
Tie-Breakers					
4.b.(4) Development Category Funding Preference	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
5.e. Proximity Funding Preference	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
Florida Job Creation Preference (Item 3 of Exhibit C)	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
Goals					
11.a. Does the Application qualify for the Local Government Area of Opportunity Funding Goal?	N	N	Y	N	N
11.b.(1) If the Application qualifies for the Local Government Areas of Opportunity Funding Goal , does the Application demonstrate continuous Local Government Area of Opportunity support since 2023 or earlier?	N	N	Y	N	N
11.b.(2) If the Application qualifies for the Local Government Areas of Opportunity Funding Goal , does the Application demonstrate continuous Local Government Area of Opportunity support since 2024 or earlier?	N	N	Y	N	N
11.c. If the Application qualifies for the Local Government Areas of Opportunity Funding Goal, will the Application receive lower preference when selecting Applications for the goal?	N	N	N	N	N

Scoring Items	2026-228C	2026-229C	2026-230C	2026-231C	2026-232C
Development Name	Ardent Blu Apartments	Ardent Run	The Beacon at Bayside	Ekos Melbourne Landings	The Pointe at Mossy Oak
5.e.(5) Does the Application qualify for the SunRail Goal?	N	N	N	N	N
5.e.(5) If the Application qualifies for the SunRail Goal, what is the Level of the SunRail Station?	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
7.c. Does the Application qualify for the Permit Ready Goal?	N	N	N	N	N
10.a.(1)(d) If proposed Development will serve the Family Demographic in a Medium County, does the Application qualify for the Geographic Area of Opportunity / HUD-designated SADDA Funding Goal?	Y	Y	N	Y	N
Other					
3.c.(3) Does the Application qualify as a Priority 1 or 2 Application?	1	1	1	1	1
Did any Application qualify for the PHA Areas of Opportunity boost? A maximum of one of such Applications will be selected in funding selection process. (Section Four, A.10.a.(1)(c)(vii))	N	N	N	N	N
Permit Ready Tier Status					
3.a.(3)(b) Did the Application state that it was a Priority 1, Tier 1 Permit Ready Application?	N	N	N	N	N
Does the Application qualify as a Priority 1 Application?	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
Does the Application qualify as a Permit Ready Application?	N	N	N	N	N
3.a.(3)(b) Does the Application meet the maximum number of Tier 1 Permit Ready Application submissions?	N	N	N	N	N
If all answers are Yes, the Applicant qualifies as a Tier 1 Permit Ready Application. If any are No, the Applicant qualifies as a Tier 2 Application.	2	2	2	2	2

Scoring Items	2026-233C	2026-234C	2026-235C	2026-236C	2026-237C
Development Name	Grandview Pointe	Keystone Place	Arbours at Poinciana	Arbours at Punta Gorda	FBC Affordable P1C
Points Items					
Bookmarking Attachments prior to submission (Section Three, A.2.b.) (5 points)	5	5	5	5	5
3.c.(2) Submission of Principal Disclosure Form that is stamped "Received" by the Corporation at least 14 Calendar Days prior to the Application Deadline AND stamped "Approved" prior to the Application Deadline (5 points)	0	5	5	5	5
Total Points (maximum of 10)	5	10	10	10	10
Eligibility Requirements					
Submission Requirements met (section Three, A.)	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
2. Demographic Commitment selected	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
3.a.(1) Name of Applicant provided	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
3.a.(2) Evidence Applicant is a legally formed entity provided	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
3.b.(1) Name of Each Developer provided	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
3.b.(2) Evidence that each Developer entity is a legally formed entity provided	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
3.b.(3)(a) Developer Experience Requirement met	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
3.c.(1) Principals for Applicant and Developer(s) Disclosure Form provided and meets requirements	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
3.d.(1) Management Company contact information provided	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
3.d.(2) Prior Management Company Experience requirement met	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
3.e.(1) Authorized Principal Representative provided and meets requirements	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
4.a. Name of Proposed Development provided	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
4.b.(1) Development Category selected	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
4.b.(2) Development Category Qualifying Conditions met	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
4.c. Development Type provided	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
4.d. Unit Characteristic Chart reflecting the breakdown of number of units associated with each Development Type, Development Category and ESS/Non-ESS provided	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
5.a. County identified	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
5.b. Address of Development Site provided	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
5.c. Question whether a Scattered Sites Development answered	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
5.d.(1) Development Location Point provided	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
5.d.(2) Latitude and Longitude Coordinates for any Scattered Sites provided, if applicable	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
5.e. Minimum Total Proximity Score met	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
5.f. Mandatory Distance Requirement met	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y

Scoring Items	2026-233C	2026-234C	2026-235C	2026-236C	2026-237C
Development Name	Grandview Pointe	Keystone Place	Arbours at Poinciana	Arbours at Punta Gorda	FBC Affordable P1C
5.g. LDA Development Conditions met, if applicable	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
5.h. RECAP conditions met, if applicable	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
6.a. Total Number of Units provided and within limits	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
6.b. Occupancy status of any existing units provided, if Rehabilitation	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
6.c.(1) Minimum Set-Aside election provided	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
6.c.(2) Total Set-Aside Breakdown Chart properly completed	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
6.d. Unit Mix provided and meets requirements	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
6.e. Number of residential buildings provided	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
7.a. Evidence of Site Control provided	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
8.d. Green Building Certification or minimum additional Green Building Features selected, as applicable	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
9. Minimum Resident Programs selected	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
10.a.(1) Applicant's Housing Credit Request Amount provided	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
10.c. Development Cost Pro Forma provided reflecting that sources equal or exceed uses	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
C. Applicant Certification and Acknowledgement signed by Authorized Principal Representative	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
Verification of no prior acceptance to an invitation to enter credit underwriting for the same Development (Section Five, A.1.)	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
Verification of no recent de-obligations (Section Five, A.1.)	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
Financial Arrearage Requirement met (Section Five, A.1.)	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
All Eligibility Requirements Met?	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
Tie-Breakers					
4.b.(4) Development Category Funding Preference	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
5.e. Proximity Funding Preference	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
Florida Job Creation Preference (Item 3 of Exhibit C)	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
Goals					
11.a. Does the Application qualify for the Local Government Area of Opportunity Funding Goal?	N	N	N	N	Y
11.b.(1) If the Application qualifies for the Local Government Areas of Opportunity Funding Goal , does the Application demonstrate continuous Local Government Area of Opportunity support since 2023 or earlier?	N	N	N	N	N
11.b.(2) If the Application qualifies for the Local Government Areas of Opportunity Funding Goal , does the Application demonstrate continuous Local Government Area of Opportunity support since 2024 or earlier?	N	N	N	N	N
11.c. If the Application qualifies for the Local Government Areas of Opportunity Funding Goal, will the Application receive lower preference when selecting Applications for the goal?	N	N	N	N	N

Scoring Items	2026-233C	2026-234C	2026-235C	2026-236C	2026-237C
Development Name	Grandview Pointe	Keystone Place	Arbours at Poinciana	Arbours at Punta Gorda	FBC Affordable P1C
5.e.(5) Does the Application qualify for the SunRail Goal?	N	N	N	N	N
5.e.(5) If the Application qualifies for the SunRail Goal, what is the Level of the SunRail Station?	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
7.c. Does the Application qualify for the Permit Ready Goal?	N	N	N	N	N
10.a.(1)(d) If proposed Development will serve the Family Demographic in a Medium County, does the Application qualify for the Geographic Area of Opportunity / HUD-designated SADDA Funding Goal?	Y	N	N	N	N
Other					
3.c.(3) Does the Application qualify as a Priority 1 or 2 Application?	1	1	1	1	1
Did any Application qualify for the PHA Areas of Opportunity boost? A maximum of one of such Applications will be selected in funding selection process. (Section Four, A.10.a.(1)(c)(vii))	N	N	N	N	N
Permit Ready Tier Status					
3.a.(3)(b) Did the Application state that it was a Priority 1, Tier 1 Permit Ready Application?	N	N	N	N	N
Does the Application qualify as a Priority 1 Application?	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
Does the Application qualify as a Permit Ready Application?	N	N	N	N	N
3.a.(3)(b) Does the Application meet the maximum number of Tier 1 Permit Ready Application submissions?	N	N	N	N	N
If all answers are Yes, the Applicant qualifies as a Tier 1 Permit Ready Application. If any are No, the Applicant qualifies as a Tier 2 Application.	2	2	2	2	2

Scoring Items	2026-238C	2026-239C	2026-240C	2026-241C
Development Name	Autumn Palms North Port	Clermont Ridge Senior Villas II	Eagle Village	Vesta at Gemini
Points Items				
Bookmarking Attachments prior to submission (Section Three, A.2.b.) (5 points)	5	5	5	5
3.c.(2) Submission of Principal Disclosure Form that is stamped "Received" by the Corporation at least 14 Calendar Days prior to the Application Deadline AND stamped "Approved" prior to the Application Deadline (5 points)	5	5	5	5
Total Points (maximum of 10)	10	10	10	10
Eligibility Requirements				
Submission Requirements met (section Three, A.)	Y	Y	Y	Y
2. Demographic Commitment selected	Y	Y	Y	Y
3.a.(1) Name of Applicant provided	Y	Y	Y	Y
3.a.(2) Evidence Applicant is a legally formed entity provided	Y	Y	Y	Y
3.b.(1) Name of Each Developer provided	Y	Y	Y	Y
3.b.(2) Evidence that each Developer entity is a legally formed entity provided	Y	Y	Y	Y
3.b.(3)(a) Developer Experience Requirement met	Y	Y	Y	Y
3.c.(1) Principals for Applicant and Developer(s) Disclosure Form provided and meets requirements	Y	Y	Y	Y
3.d.(1) Management Company contact information provided	Y	Y	Y	Y
3.d.(2) Prior Management Company Experience requirement met	Y	Y	Y	Y
3.e.(1) Authorized Principal Representative provided and meets requirements	Y	Y	Y	Y
4.a. Name of Proposed Development provided	Y	Y	Y	Y
4.b.(1) Development Category selected	Y	Y	Y	Y
4.b.(2) Development Category Qualifying Conditions met	Y	Y	Y	Y
4.c. Development Type provided	Y	Y	Y	Y
4.d. Unit Characteristic Chart reflecting the breakdown of number of units associated with each Development Type, Development Category and ESS/Non-ESS provided	Y	Y	Y	Y
5.a. County identified	Y	Y	Y	Y
5.b. Address of Development Site provided	Y	Y	Y	Y
5.c. Question whether a Scattered Sites Development answered	Y	Y	Y	Y
5.d.(1) Development Location Point provided	Y	Y	Y	Y
5.d.(2) Latitude and Longitude Coordinates for any Scattered Sites provided, if applicable	Y	Y	Y	Y
5.e. Minimum Total Proximity Score met	Y	Y	Y	Y
5.f. Mandatory Distance Requirement met	Y	Y	Y	Y

Scoring Items	2026-238C	2026-239C	2026-240C	2026-241C
Development Name	Autumn Palms North Port	Clermont Ridge Senior Villas II	Eagle Village	Vesta at Gemini
5.g. LDA Development Conditions met, if applicable	Y	Y	Y	Y
5.h. RECAP conditions met, if applicable	Y	Y	Y	Y
6.a. Total Number of Units provided and within limits	Y	Y	Y	Y
6.b. Occupancy status of any existing units provided, if Rehabilitation	Y	Y	Y	Y
6.c.(1) Minimum Set-Aside election provided	Y	Y	Y	Y
6.c.(2) Total Set-Aside Breakdown Chart properly completed	Y	Y	Y	Y
6.d. Unit Mix provided and meets requirements	Y	Y	Y	Y
6.e. Number of residential buildings provided	Y	Y	N	Y
7.a. Evidence of Site Control provided	Y	Y	Y	Y
8.d. Green Building Certification or minimum additional Green Building Features selected, as applicable	Y	Y	Y	Y
9. Minimum Resident Programs selected	Y	Y	Y	Y
10.a.(1) Applicant's Housing Credit Request Amount provided	Y	Y	Y	Y
10.c. Development Cost Pro Forma provided reflecting that sources equal or exceed uses	Y	Y	Y	Y
C. Applicant Certification and Acknowledgement signed by Authorized Principal Representative	Y	Y	Y	Y
Verification of no prior acceptance to an invitation to enter credit underwriting for the same Development (Section Five, A.1.)	Y	Y	Y	Y
Verification of no recent de-obligations (Section Five, A.1.)	Y	Y	Y	Y
Financial Arrearage Requirement met (Section Five, A.1.)	Y	Y	Y	Y
All Eligibility Requirements Met?	Y	Y	N	Y
Tie-Breakers				
4.b.(4) Development Category Funding Preference	Y	Y	Y	Y
5.e. Proximity Funding Preference	N	Y	Y	N
Florida Job Creation Preference (Item 3 of Exhibit C)	Y	Y	Y	Y
Goals				
11.a. Does the Application qualify for the Local Government Area of Opportunity Funding Goal?	Y	Y	N	N
11.b.(1) If the Application qualifies for the Local Government Areas of Opportunity Funding Goal , does the Application demonstrate continuous Local Government Area of Opportunity support since 2023 or earlier?	N	Y	N	N
11.b.(2) If the Application qualifies for the Local Government Areas of Opportunity Funding Goal , does the Application demonstrate continuous Local Government Area of Opportunity support since 2024 or earlier?	N	Y	N	N
11.c. If the Application qualifies for the Local Government Areas of Opportunity Funding Goal, will the Application receive lower preference when selecting Applications for the goal?	N	N	N	N

Scoring Items	2026-238C	2026-239C	2026-240C	2026-241C
Development Name	Autumn Palms North Port	Clermont Ridge Senior Villas II	Eagle Village	Vesta at Gemini
5.e.(5) Does the Application qualify for the SunRail Goal?	N	N	N	Y
5.e.(5) If the Application qualifies for the SunRail Goal, what is the Level of the SunRail Station?	N/A	N/A	N/A	1
7.c. Does the Application qualify for the Permit Ready Goal?	N	N	N	N
10.a.(1)(d) If proposed Development will serve the Family Demographic in a Medium County, does the Application qualify for the Geographic Area of Opportunity / HUD-designated SADDA Funding Goal?	Y	N	N	Y
Other				
3.c.(3) Does the Application qualify as a Priority 1 or 2 Application?	1	1	1	1
Did any Application qualify for the PHA Areas of Opportunity boost? A maximum of one of such Applications will be selected in funding selection process. (Section Four, A.10.a.(1)(c)(vii))	N	N	N	N
Permit Ready Tier Status				
3.a.(3)(b) Did the Application state that it was a Priority 1, Tier 1 Permit Ready Application?	N	N	Y	N
Does the Application qualify as a Priority 1 Application?	Y	Y	Y	Y
Does the Application qualify as a Permit Ready Application?	N	N	N	N
3.a.(3)(b) Does the Application meet the maximum number of Tier 1 Permit Ready Application submissions?	N	N	Y	N
If all answers are Yes, the Applicant qualifies as a Tier 1 Permit Ready Application. If any are No, the Applicant qualifies as a Tier 2 Application.	2	2	2	2