

RECEIVED

OCT 12 2026 11:38 AM

FLORIDA HOUSING
FINANCE CORPORATION

STATE OF FLORIDA
FLORIDA HOUSING FINANCE CORPORATION

LOOMIS I, LTD.,

Petitioner,

v.

CASE NO. 2026-057BP

Application # 2027-091C

FLORIDA HOUSING FINANCE
CORPORATION,

Respondent.

**FORMAL WRITTEN PROTEST
AND PETITION FOR ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING**

LOOMIS I, LTD. ("Petitioner") files this Formal Written Protest and Petition for Administrative Hearing ("Petition") pursuant to sections 120.57(1) and (3), Florida Statutes, and Rules 67-60 and 28-110.004, Florida Administrative Code ("F.A.C."), challenging the eligibility and goal qualification determinations, evaluation and proposed allocations set forth in the Notice of Intended Decision posted on September 25, 2026, by Respondent, Florida Housing Finance Corporation ("Florida Housing" and/or "FHFC"), with respect to Request for Applications 2026-201, Housing Credit Financing for Affordable Housing Developments Located in Small and Medium Counties (the "RFA").

Parties

1. Petitioner is a Florida limited partnership engaged in the business of providing affordable housing. Petitioner's address is 3225 Aviation Avenue, 6th Floor, Miami, Florida 33133. Petitioner filed a response to the RFA for its proposed 90 unit affordable housing project Sanford Breeze located in Seminole County, which was assigned application number #2027-091C ("Petitioner's Application"). For purposes of this proceeding, Petitioner's address, telephone number and email address are those of its undersigned counsel.

2. Florida Housing is the affected agency. Florida Housing's address is 227 North Bronough Street, Suite 5000, Tallahassee, FL 32301. Florida Housing is a public corporation created by Section 420.504, Florida Statutes, to administer the governmental function of financing or refinancing affordable housing and related facilities in Florida. Florida Housing's file number for Petitioner's Application is #2027-091C.

Notice

3. Petitioner received notice of Florida Housing's intended decision to award funding pursuant to the RFA on September 25, 2026, when Florida Housing posted the RFA 2026-201 Board Approved Preliminary Awards/Notice of Intended Decision (Exhibit A) and the Board Approved Scoring Results (Exhibit B) on its website. Petitioner's Application was deemed eligible but was not included in the applications selected for a preliminary award. Petitioner contends that its Application should have been selected for funding for the reasons set forth below.

4. Petitioner timely filed its Notice of Intent to Protest Florida Housing's intended award decisions on September 30, 2026. See Exhibit C.

Background

5. Florida Housing administers various programs aimed at assisting developers in building affordable housing in the state in an effort to protect financially marginalized citizens from excessive housing costs. A portion of the units constructed with funding from these programs must be set aside for residents at or below a specified percentage of area median income.

6. Florida Housing is the designated entity in Florida responsible for allocating federal low-income housing tax credits to assist in financing the construction or substantial rehabilitation of affordable housing.

7. Chapter 67-60, F.A.C., establishes "the procedures by which Florida Housing administers the competitive solicitation funding process for its programs. See Rule 67-60.001(3), F.A.C.

The RFA

8. On July 16, 2026, Florida Housing issued the RFA seeking applications proposing the development of affordable, multifamily housing located in the Small and Medium Counties listed in Section Four A.5.a. of the RFA. The RFA proposals to allocate an estimated \$21,505,240 of Housing Credits available to finance developments located in Medium Counties and an estimated \$1,944,030 of Housing Credits to finance developments located in Small Counties. See RFA, p. 2.

9. Applications in response to the RFA were due August 14, 2026 (the "Application Deadline").

10. A Review Committee comprised of Florida Housing staff conducted the initial evaluation and scoring of the RFA responses on September 8, 2026. The preliminary rankings and allocations were presented to and approved by the Florida Housing Board of Directors on September 25, 2026. See Exhibits A and B.

11. Seventy-four applications were received in response to the RFA, including Petitioner's Application and application number 2027-135C submitted by Sanford Station Apartments, LLC ("Sanford Station"). The Sanford Station Application sought funding for a proposed 80- unit development in Seminole County.

12. The RFA sets forth the information required to be provided by an applicant, delineates the funding selection criteria, and provides that only applications that meet all of the

Eligibility Items will be eligible for funding. All applicants must meet the requirements set forth in the RFA and applicable rules.

13. The RFA identified several funding goals including a SunRail Goal set forth in Section Four, A.5.e.(5) of the RFA which states: "The Corporation has a goal to fund one Application that qualifies for the SunRail Goal, with a preference that it be a Level 1 SunRail Station." To qualify for the Goal, the Application must be 100% new construction, must be a Priority 1 Application, and the Development Location Point must be within 0.5 miles of a SunRail Station.

14. The RFA outlines the applicable selection process for each of the funding goals including an application sorting order. Pages 97-99 of the RFA further outline a funding test and county tally which are also applicable in determining the final funding rankings.

15. Section Five, B.6.b. of the RFA sets forth how selection for the SunRail Goal is to be made. If none of the Applications selected to meet the Local Government Areas of Opportunity Goal also qualify for the SunRail Goal, "the next Application selected for funding will be the highest ranking eligible unfunded Priority 1 Application that qualifies for the Level 1 SunRail Goal, subject to the Funding Test and the County Award Tally." See RFA, pp. 100-101.

16. As set forth below, Florida Housing's Notice of Intended Decision sets forth the preliminary selection of Sanford Station to satisfy the Level 1 SunRail Goal. See Exhibit A. Through this Petition, Petitioner challenges the preliminary funding selection of Sanford Station.

17. The RFA and applicable rules provide an opportunity for applicants to file administrative challenges to the scoring and rankings set forth in the preliminary selections. After resolution of the administrative challenges, results will be presented to the Florida Housing Board

for final approval prior to issuing invitations to the applicants in the funding range to enter the credit underwriting process.

18. A correct determination of the developments eligible for funding under the RFA has not been made. As a result of errors in the eligibility and goal qualification determinations, and the resulting ranking process, the Sanford Station application was included in the rankings and preliminarily selected for the SunRail Goal even though that application should have been deemed ineligible.

19. Petitioner timely submitted its Application in response to the RFA for its affordable housing project Sanford Breeze, a Priority 1 Family development with a Development Location Point within 0.5 miles (0.28 miles) of a SunRail Station that would be 100% new construction and qualifies for the Level 1 SunRail Goal. Petitioner's Application satisfied all of the required elements of the RFA and was deemed eligible for funding but was erroneously not selected for funding.

20. The eligibility determination and preliminary ranking and selection of Sanford Station failed to take into account Sanford Station's failure to meet certain mandatory Eligibility Items set forth in the RFA and applicable Rules. Specifically, Sanford Station failed to disclose required anticipated costs in its Development Cost Pro Forma, and those undisclosed costs cause a funding shortfall that renders the Sanford Station Application ineligible under the express terms of the RFA.

21. Because none of the applications selected to meet the Local Government Areas of Opportunity Goal also met the qualifications for the SunRail Goal, the second paragraph of Section Five, B.6.b. of the RFA controls the selection of an application to meet the SunRail Goal.

22. Sanford Station and Petitioner's Application hold identical sorting positions on every Section Five criterion applicable to the SunRail Goal selection. The preliminary selection between them was based on lottery numbers: Sanford Station holds lottery number 10 and Petitioner holds lottery number 12. As set forth below, Sanford Station should be deemed ineligible. A correct determination that Sanford Station is ineligible results Petitioner's Application, being the highest ranking eligible unfunded Priority 1 Application qualifying for the Level 1 SunRail Goal. Consequently, Petitioner's Application should be selected for funding.

Substantial Interests Affected

23. Petitioner's substantial interests are affected because erroneously deeming Sanford Station eligible for funding results in Petitioner's Application not receiving the requested funding. See Madison Highlands, LLC v. Fla. Housing Finance Corp., 220 So. 3d 467, 474 (Fla. 1st DCA 2017).

Errors in the Preliminary Award and Determination of Eligibility

A. Sanford Station Failed to Disclose Anticipated FHFC Costs and Fees, Causing a Funding Shortfall.

24. Section Four, A.10.c. of the RFA requires each applicant to complete the Development Cost Pro Forma and provides in pertinent part:

All Applicants must complete the Development Cost Pro Forma listing the anticipated costs The sources must equal or exceed the uses. . . . **If it is demonstrated that an Applicant failed to disclose anticipated costs, the Applicant will be deemed ineligible if those undisclosed costs cause a funding shortfall.**

The Development Cost Pro Forma must include all anticipated costs of the Development construction, rehabilitation and, if applicable, acquisition, including the Developer Fee and General Contractor fee, as outlined below. . . [Emphasis added.]

See RFA, p. 81.

25. The RFA expressly identifies FHFC Costs & Fees as a General Development Costs line item on the Development Cost Pro Forma: "FHFC Costs & Fees may include the Corporation's fees such as Administrative Fee, Application Fee, Compliance Fee and PRL/Credit Underwriting Fees." See RFA, p. 82.

26. Exhibit C, Item 5 of the RFA specifies the Corporation's fees including an administrative fee that is a fixed percentage of the requested tax credit allocation. Item 5.c. provides "each For-Profit Applicant *shall submit* to the Corporation a non-refundable administrative fee in the amount of 9% of the annual Housing Credit Allocation amount stated in the Preliminary Allocation." This administrative fee is not optional or variable. Section Four, A.10.c. mandates that the Development Cost Pro Forma "must include all anticipated costs." While the RFA allows estimation of the credit underwriting fees and the initial compliance monitoring fee (Items 5.b and 5.c), for purposes of completing the Development Cost Pro-Forma in the Application, the administrative fee in Item 5.c is fixed. The RFA specifies that the fixed percentage administrative fee "shall" be submitted to the Corporation. See RFA, p. 151.

27. Sanford Station requested an annual Housing Credit allocation of \$2,800,000 for its proposed 80-unit development. Thus, Sanford Station's administrative fee fixed is at \$252,000 ($\$2,800,000 \times 9\%$). Using the numbers/calculations required by the RFA to estimate the Development Cost Pro Forma as applied to the Sanford Station Application, the calculations yield anticipated FHFC Costs & Fees of \$287,041: \$252,000 administrative fee, \$3,000 application fee, \$15,500 credit underwriting initial fee, \$1,911 Preliminary Recommendation Letter fee, and \$14,630 initial compliance monitoring fee ($((\$12.21 \times 80) + \$3,900) \times 3$).

28. Sanford Station's Development Cost Pro Forma on page 26 of its application discloses only \$123,800 on the FHFC Costs & Fees line. The disclosed amount does not cover

even half of the mandatory administrative fee and understates the anticipated FHFC Costs & Fees by \$163,241 resulting in a clear funding short fall.

29. Under Section Four, A.10.c. of the RFA, a funding shortfall may be offset only "by increasing the deferred Developer Fee up to the maximum eligible amount." See RFA, p. 81. Sanford Station's Construction/Rehab Analysis, however, shows only \$67,530 of remaining deferrable Developer Fee. See Sanford Station Application, p. 28. Correcting the FHFC Costs & Fees line to the RFA-mandated amounts and applying the entire remaining deferrable Developer Fee leaves a construction funding shortfall of \$95,711 (\$163,241 - \$67,530).¹

30. The RFA is explicit as to the consequence: "If it is demonstrated that an Applicant failed to disclose anticipated costs, the Applicant will be deemed ineligible if those undisclosed costs cause a funding shortfall." See RFA, p. 81. The determination that Sanford Station is ineligible is further compelled by Rule 67-60.006(1), F.A.C., which provides that "the failure of an applicant to supply required information in connection with any competitive solicitation pursuant to this rule chapter shall be grounds for a determination of nonresponsiveness."

31. There are multiple Florida Housing precedents directly on point. In MJHS FL South Parcel, Ltd. v. Fla. Housing Finance Corp., DOAH Case No. 23-0903BID (DOAH Rec. Order May 31, 2023; *adopted in toto*, FHFC Final Order, July 21, 2023), the Administrative Law Judge ("ALJ") held that the failure of an applicant to include anticipated impact fees in its Development Cost Pro Forma "failed to meet an essential requirement of the RFA," and that the omission "cannot be waived as a minor irregularity because it results in the omission of material information, creates uncertainty that the terms and requirements of the competitive solicitation have been met, and

¹ The fixed mandatory administrative fee alone produces a shortfall of \$60,670 (\$252,000 - \$123,800 = \$128,200; \$128,200 - \$67,530 = \$60,670).

provides a competitive advantage or benefit not enjoyed by other applicants." *Id.* RO @¶¶ 44, 127-28. Florida Housing argued that it was not proven that there were impact fees and, regardless, there was no funding shortfall in that case because any shortfall could be offset by the deferred developer fee. RO @ ¶¶128-29. The ALJ expressly rejected Florida Housing's argument on that point determining:

130. The undersigned does not find this argument convincing. Even if the inclusion of the Hernando County impact fees would not result in a funding shortfall, LDG omitted material information from its Cost Pro Forma. The amount listed for an applicant's impact fees directly affects the calculations of whether the applicant's equity sources cover its uses. Moreover, the only known amount is the County impact fees, not the additional impact fees that would be assessed by the City of Brooksville.

131. LDG argues that "[n]ot listing an amount for impact fees within an applicant's Development Cost Pro Forma does not affect Florida Housing's review or eligibility determination unless the Development Cost Pro Forma demonstrates a funding shortfall." But, Florida Housing cannot determine whether or not a funding shortfall exists absent the inclusion of all anticipated costs, including the impact fees.

See Id.

32. Here, the omitted amounts are the Corporation's own fees, which are fixed by the required formula set forth in the RFA. The deferred Developer Fee cushion cannot absorb the omission, so the undisclosed costs cause a funding shortfall which, under the RFA's express terms, compels a determination that Sanford Station is ineligible.

33. In HTG Oak Valley, LLC v. Fla. Housing Finance Corp., DOAH Case Nos. 19-2275BID and 19-2276BID (DOAH Rec. Order July 16, 2019; *adopted with modifications*, FHFC Final Order Case No. 2019-032BP, Aug. 2, 2019), the exclusion of an improperly counted funding source "results in a funding shortfall, at least on paper, which is all that matters at this juncture. The nominal funding shortfall, in turn, renders Fountains' application ineligible for selection," and "[s]ince the decision on funding sources is binary and one option has been eliminated, there is no

room for discretion in the re-scoring." *Id.* RO at para. 78.² In its Final Order, Florida Housing's Board adopted a modified Conclusion of Law 60 **stating that Rule 67-60.008, F.A.C., makes clear** that "a material ambiguity in a response cannot be waived as a minor irregularity unless the uncertainty can be reasonably eliminated by looking elsewhere in the application." FO at p. 10. In the current case, based on information and belief, no other portion of the Sanford Station Application discloses or accounts for the Corporation's mandatory fees.

34. Sanford Station is bound by the four corners of its application as of the Application Deadline. Section 120.57(3)(f), Florida Statutes, prohibits consideration of "submissions made after the bid or proposal opening which amend or supplement the bid or proposal." Correcting the FHFC Costs & Fees line is not a computation or typographical error; it is the omission of \$163,241 of mandatory and clearly identifiable fixed fees. The omission results in an incurable funding shortfall that renders the application ineligible under the RFA's express terms.

35. In addition to the grounds set forth above, there may be additional grounds for determining the above-listed application is ineligible. Petitioner reserves the right to identify and raise additional scoring and ranking errors based upon information revealed during discovery prior to hearing.

² In endnote 9 of the HTG Oak Valley Recommended Order the ALJ stated: "The undersigned does not find, or need to find, that, if selected, Fountains would not, in fact, have enough money to construct the proposed development. In the real-world event, the applicant most likely would have sufficient funding. In a competitive procurement, however, reality often takes a backseat to the description of reality contained in the proposal or application. While this can lead, as here, to regrettable results in individual cases, which is obviously undesirable, the alternative——inevitably, a fact-finding hearing conducted after the agency has announced its intended decision, to clarify or supplement the unartfully drafted application——would be far worse, and at any rate is prohibited under section 120.57(3)(f) and rule 67-60.009(4)(" No submissions made after the Application deadline which amend or supplement the Application shall be considered.").

36. Petitioner is entitled to a formal administrative hearing pursuant to Sections 120.57(1) and 120.57(3), Florida Statutes, to resolve the issues set forth in this Petition.

Disputed Issues of Material Fact and Law

Disputed issues of fact and law include, but are not limited to the following:

- a. Whether Sanford Station failed to accurately disclose in its Development Cost Pro Forma mandatory fixed FHFC Costs & Fees as required by the RFA;
- b. Whether the undisclosed costs in the Sanford Station Application cause a funding shortfall within the meaning of Section Four, A.10.c. of the RFA, after application of the maximum eligible deferred Developer Fee;
- c. Whether Sanford Station is eligible for funding under the RFA;
- d. Whether Sanford Station satisfied all the mandatory eligibility requirements;
- e. Whether the proposed award to Sanford Station is consistent with the RFA, the applicable rules and prior Florida Housing precedents;
- f. Whether the proposed award to Sanford Station is based on a correct determination of the eligibility of the applicant;
- g. Whether Florida Housing's proposed award of funding to Sanford Station is clearly erroneous, arbitrary and capricious and/or contrary to competition;
- h. Whether Florida Housing's determination that Sanford Station is an eligible Applicant is erroneous, arbitrary and capricious and/or contrary to competition; and
- i. Such other issues as may be revealed during the protest process.

Concise Statement of Ultimate Facts

37. Petitioner participated in the RFA process to compete for an award of funding with other developers based on the scoring and ranking criteria in the RFA. Sanford Station was

incorrectly deemed eligible and erroneously selected for the Level 1 SunRail Goal ahead of the Petitioner. Petitioner may be erroneously denied funding if the current proposed award is allowed to become final.

38. Unless the eligibility determination regarding Sanford Station is corrected and the preliminary allocation is revised, Petitioner may be excluded from funding, and another developer may be awarded housing credits contrary to the provisions of the RFA and Florida Housing's governing statutes and rules.

39. The process set forth in the RFA for determining eligible projects supports a determination that Sanford Station should be determined ineligible for funding based on the failure to meet the requisite mandatory items for funding eligibility, including the express funding shortfall ineligibility provision of Section Four, A.10.c.

40. Petitioner's Application for Sanford Breeze should be selected for funding as the highest ranking eligible unfunded Priority 1 Application qualifying for the Level 1 SunRail Goal.

Reservation to Amend

41. Petitioner reserves the right to amend its Petition based upon information.

Statutes and Rules Entitling Relief

42. The statutes and rules which are applicable in this case and that require modification of the proposed allocations include, but are not limited to, Section 120.57(3) and Chapter 420, Part V, Florida Statutes, and Chapters 28-110 and 67-60, F.A.C.

Demand for Relief

43. Pursuant to Section 120.57(3), Florida Statutes, and Rule 28-110.004, F.A.C., the Petitioner requests the following relief:

- a. An opportunity to resolve this protest by mutual agreement within seven days of the filing of this Petition as provided by Section 120.57(3)(d)1., Florida Statutes.
- b. If this protest cannot be resolved by mutual agreement, that the matter be referred to the Division of Administrative Hearings for a formal hearing to be conducted before an Administrative Law Judge pursuant to Sections 120.57(1) and (3), Florida Statutes.
- c. Recommended and Final Orders be entered determining that Sanford Station (Application #2027-135C) is ineligible for an award of funding pursuant to RFA 2026-201 and that Petitioner's Sanford Breeze Application be awarded funding under the Level 1 SunRail Goal and invited to credit underwriting.

Respectfully submitted this 12th day of October, 2026.

/s/ J. Stephen Menton
J. Stephen Menton
Florida Bar No. 331181
Tana D. Storey
Florida Bar No. 514472
Rutledge Ecenia, P.A.
119 South Monroe Street, Suite 202
Tallahassee, FL 32301
850-681-6788 Telephone
850-681-6515 Facsimile
smenton@rutledge-ecenia.com
tana@rutledge-ecenia.com

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I HEREBY CERTIFY that this original has been filed with the Agency Clerk, Florida Housing Finance Corporation, 227 North Bronough Street, Suite 5000, Tallahassee, Florida 32301 via email at: Florida Housing Finance Corporation, Clerk, CorporationClerk@floridahousing.org and a courtesy copy provided to Ethan Katz, ethan.katz@floridahousing.org this 12th day of October, 2026.

/s/ J. Stephen Menton
Attorney

EXHIBIT

A

RFA 2026-201 - Board Approved Preliminary Awards

Total HC for Medium Counties in RFA	21,505,240	Total HC for Small Counties in RFA	1,944,030
Total HC Allocated to Medium Counties	21,385,000	Total HC Allocated to Small Counties	1,938,688
Plus Unallocated Small County funding	5,342.00		
Total HC for Medium Counties Remaining	125,582	Total HC for Small Counties Remaining	-

Application Number	Name of Development	County	County Size	Name of Authorized Principal Representative	Name of Developers	Demo	Total Units	9% HC Request Amount	Priority Level	PHA Area of Opportunity	Limited to one Priority 1 award?	Nickname of group of P1 apps if limit applies	Qualifies for LGAO Goal?	LGAO / continuous support since 2024?	LGAO / continuous support since 2025?	Qualifies for the SunRail Goal?	Level of SunRail Station	Family Dev in Medium County that qualifies for the Geographic Area of Opportunity / SADDA Funding Goal?	NP Goal?	Total Points	LGAO - lower preference?	Development Category Funding Preference	Leveraging Classification	Proximity Funding Preference	Qualifies as a Permit Ready Application?	Permit Ready Tier status	Developer Experience Funding Preference	Florida Job Creation Preference	Lottery Number
--------------------	---------------------	--------	-------------	---	--------------------	------	-------------	----------------------	----------------	-------------------------	----------------------------------	---	--------------------------	---------------------------------------	---------------------------------------	---------------------------------	--------------------------	---	----------	--------------	--------------------------	---	---------------------------	------------------------------	--	--------------------------	---	---------------------------------	----------------

Goal to fund four Medium County Applications that qualify for the Local Government Area of Opportunity Goal

2027-100C	Madison Oaks West II	Marion	M	Patrick E. Law	ARD Madison Oaks West II, LLC; New South Residential, LLC	F	96	\$2,800,000	1	N	N		Y	Y	Y	N	N/A	N	N	10	N	Y	A	N	Y	1	Y	Y	11
2027-122C	Hope @ Debra Heights	Alachua	M	Tim Sittema	CSE Communities Florida LLC; Building Hope, Inc.; Bethlehem Companies, LLC	F	96	\$2,800,000	1	N	Y	N/A	Y	Y	Y	N	N/A	N	N	10	N	Y	A	Y	Y	1	Y	Y	72
2027-084C	Legacy Village 2	Manatee	M	Scott Macdonald	Blue LV2 Developer, LLC ; CASI Developer, LLC	F	72	\$2,565,000	1	N	N		Y	N	Y	N	N/A	Y	N	10	N	Y	A	N	Y	1	Y	Y	4
2027-079C	The Fountains at Hidden Lake Phase II	Citrus	M	Matthew A. Rieger	HTG Hidden Lake Developer II, LLC	E, Non-ALF	67	\$2,290,000	1	N	N		Y	N	Y	N	N/A	N	N	10	N	Y	A	N	Y	1	Y	Y	37

Goal to fund one Application that qualifies for the SunRail Goal

2027-135C	Sanford Station Apartments	Seminole	M	J. David Heller	Sanford Station Developer LLC; WCZ Development LLC	E, Non-ALF	80	\$2,800,000	1	N	Y	N/A	N	N	N	Y	1	N	N	10	N	Y	A	Y	N	2	Y	Y	10
-----------	----------------------------	----------	---	-----------------	--	------------	----	-------------	---	---	---	-----	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	----	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	----

Small County Applications

2027-092C	Cottondale Lofts	Jackson	S	Jeffrey J. Woda	The Woda Group, Inc.	F	54	\$1,938,688	1	N	Y	WODA	N	N	N	N	N/A	N	N	10	N	Y	A	Y	Y	1	Y	Y	2
-----------	------------------	---------	---	-----------------	----------------------	---	----	-------------	---	---	---	------	---	---	---	---	-----	---	---	----	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---

Goal to fund one Medium County Application with a Demographic commitment of Family that selects and qualifies for the Geographic Areas of Opportunity / SADDA Goal

2027-140C	Kirkham Park	Volusia	M	Jonathan L. Wolf	Kirkham Park Developer, LLC	F	80	\$2,800,000	1	N	Y	Wendover	N	N	N	N	N/A	Y	N	10	N	Y	A	Y	Y	1	Y	Y	6
-----------	--------------	---------	---	------------------	-----------------------------	---	----	-------------	---	---	---	----------	---	---	---	---	-----	---	---	----	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---

Up to two Medium County Applications that qualify for either the LGAO Funding Goal OR GAO/ SADDA Goal, with preference for Non-Profit Application if NP Goal not yet met

2027-121C	The Courts at Carver Square	Flagler	M	Joseph Chambers	Flagler Redevelopment Partners, LLC; FCHA Affordable Development, LLC	F	84	\$2,800,000	1	N	N		N	N	N	N	N/A	Y	Y	10	N	Y	A	Y	N	2	Y	Y	3
2027-129C	Robin Village	Brevard	M	Deion R. Lowery	DDER Development, LLC	F	80	\$2,530,000	1	N	N		Y	N	N	N	N/A	Y	N	10	Y	Y	A	Y	Y	1	Y	Y	21

On September 25, 2026, the Board of Directors of Florida Housing Finance Corporation approved the Review Committee's motion and staff recommendation to select the above Applications for funding and invite the Applicants to enter credit underwriting.

Any Applicant may file a notice of protest and a formal written protest in accordance with Section 120.57(3), Fla. Stat., Rule Chapter 28-110, F.A.C., and Rule 67-60.009, F.A.C. Failure to file a protest within the time prescribed in Section 120.57(3), Fla. Stat., shall constitute a waiver of proceedings under Chapter 120, Fla. Stat.

EXHIBIT

B

RFA 2026-201 - Board Approved Scoring Results

Page 1 of 4

Application Number	Name of Development	County	County Size	Name of Authorized Principal Representative	Name of Developers	Demo	Total Units	9% HC Request Amount	Priority Level	PHA Area of Opportunity	Limited to one Priority 1 award?	Nickname of group of P1 Apps if limit applies	Qualifies for LGAO Goal?	LGAO/ continuous support since 2017?	LGAO/ continuous support since 2025?	Qualifies for the SunRail Goal?	Level of SunRail Station	Family Dev in Medium County that qualifies for the Geographic Area of Opportunity / SAODA Funding Goal?	NP Goal?	Total Points	LGAO - lower preference?	Development Category Funding Preference	Development Category	Total Corp Funding Per Set-Aside	Leveraging Classification	Proximity Funding Preference	Qualifies as a Permit Ready Application?	Permit Ready Tier status	Developer Experience Funding Preference	Florida Job Creation Preference	Lottery Number	
Eligible Applications																																
2027-076C	Platform at DeLand	Volusia	M	Christopher L. Eisenzimmer	BRAD Platform at DeLand Developer, LLC	F	86	\$2,800,000	1	N	Y	N/A	N	N	N	Y	1	Y	N	10	N	Y	NC	\$249,069.77	A	Y	N	2	Y	Y	71	
2027-077C	Pinnacle at Moore's Creek	St. Lucie	M	David O. Deutch	Pinnacle Communities II, LLC	F	90	\$2,800,000	1	N	N		Y	N	Y	N	N/A	N	N	10	N	Y	NC	\$216,290.17	A	Y	N	2	Y	Y	23	
2027-078C	Pinnacle on Grand	Pasco	M	David O. Deutch	Pinnacle Communities II, LLC	F	86	\$2,800,000	1	N	N		N	N	N	N	N/A	Y	N	10	N	Y	NC	\$249,069.77	A	Y	N	2	Y	Y	47	
2027-079C	The Fountains at Hidden Lake Phase II	Citrus	M	Matthew A. Rieger	HTG Hidden Lake Developer II, LLC	E, Non-ALF	67	\$2,290,000	1	N	N		Y	N	Y	N	N/A	N	N	10	N	Y	NC	\$254,929.93	A	N	Y	1	Y	Y	37	
2027-080C	White Dove Court	Clay	M	Delon R. Lowery	DDER Development, LLC	F	96	\$2,800,000	1	N	N		N	N	N	N	N/A	Y	N	10	N	Y	NC	\$207,506.25	A	Y	N	2	Y	Y	66	
2027-081C	Murdock Square	Charlotte	M	Matthew A. Rieger	HTG Murdock Developer, LLC	F	88	\$2,799,412	1	N	N		Y	N	Y	N	N/A	Y	N	10	N	Y	NC	\$226,322.92	A	Y	N	2	Y	Y	7	
2027-082C	Pinnacle Station	Osceola	M	David O. Deutch	Pinnacle Communities II, LLC; DDER Development, LLC	E, Non-ALF	90	\$2,800,000	1	N	N		Y	N	N	Y	1	N	N	10	Y	Y	NC	\$207,993.74	A	Y	N	2	Y	Y	59	
2027-083C	Renaissance Hall Senior Living	Collier	M	Steven C. Kirk	Rural Neighborhoods, Incorporated	E, Non-ALF	78	\$2,800,000	1	N	N		Y	N	N	N	N/A	N	Y	10	N	Y	NC	\$253,447.40	A	Y	N	2	Y	Y	44	
2027-084C	Legacy Village 2	Manatee	M	Scott Macdonald	Blue LV2 Developer, LLC ; CASL Developer, LLC	F	72	\$2,565,000	1	N	N		Y	N	Y	N	N/A	Y	N	10	N	Y	NC	\$257,991.20	A	N	Y	1	Y	Y	4	
2027-086C	Leah Gardens	Clay	M	James R. Hoover	TVC Development, Inc.	F	120	\$2,800,000	1	N	N		N	N	N	N	N/A	Y	N	10	N	Y	NC	\$178,500.00	A	Y	N	2	Y	Y	46	
2027-087C	Madison Cove	Volusia	M	Patrick E. Law	ARD Madison Cove, LLC; New South Residential, LLC	E, Non-ALF	84	\$2,800,000	1	N	N		Y	Y	Y	N	N/A	N	N	10	N	Y	NC	\$225,918.31	A	N	Y	2	Y	Y	49	
2027-088C	Royal Park Seniors	Alachua	M	Lindsay Sammons	BDG Royal Park Seniors Developer, LLC	E, Non-ALF	86	\$2,800,000	1	N	N		Y	N	N	N	N/A	N	N	10	N	Y	NC	\$216,760.87	A	Y	Y	1	Y	Y	70	
2027-089C	Legacy Grove	Volusia	M	Brett Green	Legacy Grove Developer, LLC	F	84	\$2,800,000	1	N	N		N	N	N	Y	1	Y	N	10	N	Y	NC	\$255,000.00	A	Y	N	2	Y	Y	73	
2027-090C	Emerald Manor	Seminole	M	Scott Zimmerman	BDG Emerald Manor Developer, LLC	F	79	\$2,800,000	1	N	N		N	N	N	N	N/A	Y	N	10	N	Y	NC	\$244,938.72	A	Y	N	2	Y	Y	43	
2027-091C	Sanford Breeze	Seminole	M	Matthew A. Rieger	HTG Loomis I Developer, LLC; Daytona Beach Housing Development Corporation	F	90	\$2,799,412	1	Y	N		N	N	N	Y	1	N	N	10	N	Y	NC	\$237,445.21	A	Y	N	2	Y	Y	12	
2027-092C	Cottondale Lofts	Jackson	S	Jeffrey J. Woda	The Woda Group, Inc.	F	54	\$1,938,688	1	N	Y	WODA	N	N	N	N	N/A	N	N	10	N	Y	NC	\$274,647.47	A	Y	Y	1	Y	Y	2	
2027-093C	Jupiter Greene	Columbia	S	Jeffrey J. Woda	The Woda Group, Inc.	F	54	\$1,944,030	1	N	Y	WODA	N	N	N	N	N/A	N	N	10	N	Y	NC	\$275,404.25	A	Y	N	2	Y	Y	27	
2027-094C	Saddlewood Crossings	Marion	M	Scott Macdonald	Blue Casa 2 Developer, LLC	F	80	\$2,800,000	1	N	N		N	N	N	N	N/A	Y	N	10	N	Y	NC	\$249,007.50	A	Y	N	2	Y	Y	9	
2027-095C	Remington Lofts	Putnam	S	Jeffrey J. Woda	The Woda Group, Inc.	F	48	\$1,922,884	1	N	Y	WODA	N	N	N	N	N/A	N	N	10	N	Y	NC	\$306,459.64	B	Y	N	2	Y	Y	63	
2027-096C	Sebring Apartments	Highlands	M	Timothy M. Morgan	JIC Florida Development, LLC	F	80	\$2,705,152	1	N	N		N	N	N	N	N/A	Y	N	10	N	Y	NC	\$240,572.55	A	Y	N	2	Y	Y	28	
2027-097C	Palatka Senior	Putnam	S	Timothy M. Morgan	JIC Florida Development, LLC	E, Non-ALF	56	\$1,944,030	1	N	N		N	N	N	N	N/A	N	N	10	N	Y	NC	\$227,590.78	A	Y	N	2	Y	Y	18	
2027-098C	The Preserve at Moon Lake	Pasco	M	Kenneth Naylor	Preserve at Moon Lake Development, LLC	F	96	\$2,800,000	1	N	N		N	N	N	N	N/A	Y	N	10	N	Y	NC	\$232,938.37	A	Y	N	2	Y	Y	22	
2027-099C	Tavares Apartments	Lake	M	Timothy M. Morgan	JIC Florida Development, LLC	F	80	\$2,794,792	1	N	N		N	N	N	N	N/A	Y	N	10	N	Y	NC	\$241,088.02	A	Y	N	2	Y	Y	20	
2027-100C	Madison Oaks West II	Marion	M	Patrick E. Law	ARD Madison Oaks West II, LLC; New South Residential, LLC	F	96	\$2,800,000	1	N	N		Y	Y	Y	N	N/A	N	N	10	N	Y	NC	\$223,125.00	A	N	Y	1	Y	Y	11	
2027-101C	Bradford Villas	Volusia	M	Jonathan L. Wolf	Bradford Villas Developer, LLC	F	80	\$2,800,000	1	N	Y	Wendover	N	N	N	N	N/A	Y	N	10	N	Y	NC	\$249,007.50	A	Y	N	2	Y	Y	65	
2027-102C	Bromley Square	Pasco	M	Jonathan L. Wolf	Bromley Square Developer, LLC	F	80	\$2,800,000	1	N	Y	Wendover	N	N	N	N	N/A	Y	N	10	N	Y	NC	\$249,007.50	A	Y	N	2	Y	Y	48	
2027-104C	Banyan Glades	Brevard	M	Scott Zimmerman	BDG Banyan Glades Developer, LLC	F	84	\$2,800,000	1	N	N		N	N	N	N	N/A	Y	N	10	N	Y	NC	\$237,150.00	A	Y	N	2	Y	Y	39	
2027-105C	Grandview Pointe	Osceola	M	Joseph Chapman	Royal American Properties, LLC; RACB Developer, LLC	F	96	\$2,599,000	1	N	N		N	N	N	N	N/A	Y	N	10	N	Y	NC	\$200,894.58	A	Y	N	2	Y	Y	45	

RFA 2026-201 - Board Approved Scoring Results

Application Number	Name of Development	County	County Size	Name of Authorized Principal Representative	Name of Developers	Demo	Total Units	9% HC Request Amount	Priority Level	PHA Area of Opportunity	Limited to one Priority 1 award?	Nickname of group of P1 Apps if limit applies	Qualifies for LGAO Goal?	LGAO/ continuous support since 2024?	LGAO/ continuous support since 2025?	Qualifies for the SunRail Goal?	Level of SunRail Station	Family Dev in Medium County that qualifies for the Geographic Area of Opportunity / SAODA Funding Goal?	NP Goal?	Total Points	LGAO - lower preference?	Development Category Funding Preference	Development Category	Total Corp Funding Per Set-Aside	Leveraging Classification	Proximity Funding Preference	Qualifies as a Permit Ready Application?	Permit Ready Tier status	Developer Experience Funding Preference	Florida Job Creation Preference	Lottery Number
2027-106C	Talland Park	Seminole	M	Jonathan L. Wolf	Talland Park Developer, LLC; SHA Development, LLC	F	80	\$2,800,000	2	N	Y	N/A	N	N	N	N	N/A	N	N	10	N	Y	NC	\$231,576.98	A	Y	N	2	Y	Y	24
2027-107C	Sandhill Commons Apartments	Sumter	M	Brian Swanton	Gorman & Company, LLC	F	56	\$1,806,000	1	N	N		N	N	N	N	N/A	Y	N	10	N	Y	NC	\$246,712.50	A	Y	N	2	Y	Y	17
2027-109C	Avalon Pointe	Clay	M	Joseph Chapman	Royal American Properties, LLC; RACB Developer, LLC	F	96	\$2,499,000	1	N	N		N	N	N	N	N/A	Y	N	10	N	Y	NC	\$193,164.89	A	Y	N	2	Y	Y	32
2027-110C	The Pointe at Mossy Oak	Walton	S	Joseph Chapman	Royal American Properties, LLC; RACB Developer, LLC	F	72	\$1,939,000	1	N	N		N	N	N	N	N/A	N	N	10	N	Y	NC	\$206,018.75	A	Y	N	2	Y	Y	55
2027-111C	Ekos Melbourne Landings	Brevard	M	Christopher L. Shear	MHP Melbourne Landings Developer, LLC	F	96	\$2,800,000	1	N	N		N	N	N	N	N/A	Y	N	10	N	Y	NC	\$201,281.06	A	Y	N	2	Y	Y	30
2027-112C	Ekos at the Springs	Seminole	M	Christopher L. Shear	MHP Seminole I Developer, LLC	F	97	\$2,800,000	1	N	N		Y	N	N	N	N/A	Y	N	10	N	Y	NC	\$208,561.61	A	Y	N	2	Y	Y	52
2027-113C	Avira at Gainesville	Alachua	M	Daniel F. Acosta	ACRUVA Equitable Housing Developers, LLC	F	72	\$2,800,000	1	N	N		N	N	N	N	N/A	Y	N	10	N	Y	NC	\$297,500.00	B	Y	N	2	Y	Y	74
2027-114C	Avira at Redstone	Okaloosa	M	Daniel F. Acosta	ACRUVA Equitable Housing Developers, LLC	F	72	\$2,800,000	1	N	N		N	N	N	N	N/A	Y	N	10	N	Y	NC	\$297,500.00	B	Y	N	2	Y	Y	15
2027-115C	Bayside Pointe	Okaloosa	M	Brian Kimes	JES Dev Co, Inc.	F	82	\$2,600,000	1	N	N		N	N	N	N	N/A	Y	N	10	N	Y	NC	\$235,284.15	A	Y	N	2	Y	Y	38
2027-116C	Orchard Springs	Columbia	S	Brian Kimes	JES Dev Co, Inc.	F	56	\$1,910,000	1	N	N		N	N	N	N	N/A	N	N	10	N	Y	NC	\$253,092.05	A	Y	N	2	Y	Y	29
2027-117C	High Craft	Citrus	M	Scott Macdonald	Blue Casa Developer, LLC	F	80	\$2,800,000	1	N	N		N	N	N	N	N/A	Y	N	10	N	Y	NC	\$249,007.50	A	Y	N	2	Y	Y	31
2027-118C	Vesta at Osowaw	Hernando	M	Kory Geans	Newstar Development, LLC; Middleburg Communities, LLC	F	90	\$2,800,000	1	N	N		N	N	N	N	N/A	Y	N	10	N	Y	NC	\$250,340.74	A	Y	N	2	Y	Y	36
2027-119C	City Center at St. Cloud	Osceola	M	Kevin A. Wenrich	Atlantic Housing Partners, L.L.P.	F	90	\$2,800,000	1	N	N		N	N	N	N	N/A	Y	N	10	N	Y	NC	\$256,511.11	A	Y	N	2	Y	Y	34
2027-121C	The Courts at Carver Square	Flagler	M	Joseph Chambers	Flagler Redevelopment Partners, LLC; FCHA Affordable Development, LLC	F	84	\$2,800,000	1	N	N		N	N	N	N	N/A	Y	Y	10	N	Y	NC	\$220,549.50	A	Y	N	2	Y	Y	3
2027-122C	Hope @ Debra Heights	Alachua	M	Tim Sittima	CSE Communities Florida LLC; Building Hope, Inc.; Bethlehem Companies, LLC	F	96	\$2,800,000	1	N	Y	N/A	Y	Y	Y	N	N/A	N	N	10	N	Y	NC	\$223,125.00	A	Y	Y	1	Y	Y	72
2027-123C	Grove at Theater Road Phase 2	Hardee	S	Eric C. Miller	NDA Developer, LLC	F	40	\$1,837,379	1	N	N		N	N	N	N	N/A	N	N	10	N	Y	NC	\$326,800.82	B	Y	N	2	Y	Y	41
2027-124C	Osprey Grove Apartments	Marion	M	Brian Swanton	Gorman & Company, LLC	F	72	\$2,322,000	1	N	N		N	N	N	N	N/A	Y	N	10	N	Y	NC	\$246,712.50	A	Y	N	2	Y	Y	42
2027-127C	Ardent Run at Wildwood	Sumter	M	Doak D. Brown	Brownstone Affordable Housing, Ltd.; Mears Development & Construction, Inc.	F	96	\$2,800,000	1	N	Y	Brownstone	N	N	N	N	N/A	Y	N	10	N	Y	NC	\$223,125.00	A	Y	N	2	Y	Y	1
2027-128C	Congress Street Apartments	Pasco	M	C. Hunter Nelson	ECG Pasco II Developer, LLC	F	96	\$2,800,000	1	N	N		Y	N	N	N	N/A	N	N	10	N	Y	NC	\$216,431.25	A	Y	N	2	Y	Y	19
2027-129C	Robin Village	Brevard	M	Deion R. Lowery	ODER Development, LLC	F	80	\$2,530,000	1	N	N		Y	N	N	N	N/A	Y	N	10	Y	Y	NC	\$224,914.81	A	Y	Y	1	Y	Y	21
2027-130C	Tranquility at Blue Angel Crossing	Escambia	M	Brian B. Waterfield	Timshel Hill Tide Developers II, LLC	F	96	\$2,800,000	1	N	N		N	N	N	N	N/A	Y	N	10	N	Y	NC	\$223,125.00	A	Y	N	2	Y	Y	14
2027-131C	Ardent Run	Indian River	M	Doak D. Brown	Brownstone Affordable Housing, Ltd.; Mears Development & Construction, Inc.	F	96	\$2,800,000	1	N	Y	Brownstone	N	N	N	N	N/A	Y	N	10	N	Y	NC	\$223,125.00	A	Y	N	2	Y	Y	64
2027-132C	Tranquility at Five Points	Santa Rosa	M	Brian B. Waterfield	Timshel Hill Tide Developers II, LLC; Nantahala Apartments, LLC	F	96	\$2,800,000	1	N	N		N	N	N	N	N/A	Y	N	10	N	Y	NC	\$223,125.00	A	Y	N	2	Y	Y	35
2027-133C	Tranquility at Lake City	Columbia	S	Brian B. Waterfield	Timshel Hill Tide Developers II, LLC; AHF Development, LLC	E, Non-AUF	60	\$1,944,030	1	N	N		N	N	N	N	N/A	N	N	10	N	Y	NC	\$235,470.63	A	Y	N	2	Y	Y	62

RFA 2026-201 - Board Approved Scoring Results

Application Number	Name of Development	County	County Size	Name of Authorized Principal Representative	Name of Developers	Demo	Total Units	9% HC Request Amount	Priority Level	PHA Area of Opportunity	Limited to one Priority 1 award?	Nickname of group of P1 Apps if limit applies	Qualifies for LGAO Goal?	LGAO/ continuous support since 2024?	LGAO/ continuous support since 2025?	Qualifies for the SunRail Goal?	Level of SunRail Station	Family Dev in Medium County that qualifies for the Geographic Area of Opportunity / SAODA Funding Goal?	NP Goal?	Total Points	LGAO - lower preference?	Development Category Funding Preference	Development Category	Total Corp Funding Per Set-Aside	Leveraging Classification	Proximity Funding Preference	Qualifies as a Permit Ready Application?	Permit Ready Tier status	Developer Experience Funding Preference	Florida Job Creation Preference	Lottery Number
2027-134C	Heron House Apartments	Citrus	M	Brian Swanton	Gorman & Company, LLC	F	64	\$2,054,000	1	N	N		N	N	N	N	N/A	Y	N	10	N	Y	NC	\$246,712.50	A	Y	N	2	Y	Y	60
2027-135C	Sanford Station Apartments	Seminole	M	J. David Heller	Sanford Station Developer LLC; WC2 Development LLC	E, Non-ALF	80	\$2,800,000	1	N	Y	N/A	N	N	N	Y	1	N	N	10	N	Y	NC	\$241,570.82	A	Y	N	2	Y	Y	10
2027-138C	Vesta at Gemini	Volusia	M	Kory Geans	Newstar Development, LLC; Middleburg Communities, LLC	F	71	\$2,400,000	1	N	N		N	N	N	Y	1	Y	N	10	N	Y	NC	\$255,304.74	A	N	N	2	Y	Y	58
2027-139C	Ardent at Gulf Breeze	Santa Rosa	M	Doak D. Brown	Brownstone Affordable Housing, Ltd.; Mears Development & Construction, Inc.	F	90	\$2,720,000	1	N	Y	Brownstone	N	N	N	N	N/A	Y	N	10	N	Y	NC	\$231,200.00	A	Y	N	2	Y	Y	56
2027-140C	Kirkham Park	Volusia	M	Jonathan L. Wolf	Kirkham Park Developer, LLC	F	80	\$2,800,000	1	N	Y	Wendover	N	N	N	N	N/A	Y	N	10	N	Y	NC	\$249,007.50	A	Y	Y	1	Y	Y	6
2027-141C	Suntree Commons	Brevard	M	Benjamin Nayberg	Hope Housing Alliance, Inc.; East Lake Management & Development Corp.	F	82	\$2,800,000	1	N	N		N	N	N	N	N/A	Y	Y	10	N	Y	NC	\$272,546.10	B	Y	N	2	Y	Y	61
2027-142C	Bayou Bend Residences	Pasco	M	Kenneth Naylor	Bayou Bend Residences Development, LLC	F	92	\$2,800,000	1	N	N		N	N	N	N	N/A	Y	N	10	N	Y	NC	\$239,574.67	A	Y	N	2	Y	Y	33
2027-144C	Solara at DeFuniak	Walton	S	Elzie L. Higginbottom	Hope Housing Alliance, Inc.; East Lake Management & Development Corp.	E, Non-ALF	54	\$1,944,030	1	N	N		N	N	N	N	N/A	N	N	10	N	Y	NC	\$278,860.97	A	Y	N	2	Y	Y	67
2027-145C	The Residences at Pleasant Hill	Osceola	M	Kenneth Naylor	The Residences at Pleasant Hill Development, LLC	F	88	\$2,800,000	1	N	N		N	N	N	N	N/A	Y	N	10	N	Y	NC	\$245,867.77	A	Y	N	2	Y	Y	51
2027-146C	Solara at Lake City	Columbia	S	Elzie L. Higginbottom	Hope Housing Alliance, Inc.; East Lake Management & Development Corp.	E, Non-ALF	56	\$1,944,030	1	N	N		N	N	N	N	N/A	N	N	10	N	Y	NC	\$272,312.98	A	Y	N	2	Y	Y	5
2027-147C	Ekos DeBary Station	Volusia	M	Christopher L. Shear	MHP DeBary Station Developer, LLC	F	96	\$2,800,000	1	N	N		N	N	N	Y	1	Y	N	10	N	Y	NC	\$223,125.00	A	N	N	2	Y	Y	68
2027-148C	Sandhill Grove Apartments	Pasco	M	Thom Amdur	Sandhill Grove Developer LLC	F	72	\$2,114,842	1	N	N		N	N	N	N	N/A	N	Y	10	N	Y	NC	\$208,972.83	A	Y	N	2	Y	Y	69
2027-149C	Zephyrhills	Pasco	M	Saki S. Middleton	John Stanley Florida Inc.	F	76	\$625,487	1	N	Y	N/A	N	N	N	N	N/A	N	N	10	N	N	A/R	\$65,537.52	A	Y	N	2	Y	Y	53

Ineligible Applications

2027-085C	Sugg Redevelopment	Manatee	M	Darren Smith	Fortis Sugg Redevelopment Developer, LLC; HACB Developer, LLC	E, Non-ALF	80	\$2,800,000	1	N	N		Y	N	Y	N	N/A	N	N	10	N	Y	NC	\$244,442.36	A	Y	N	2	Y	Y	57
2027-103C	Madison Grove II	Osceola	M	Patrick E. Law	ARD Madison Grove II, LLC; New South Residential, LLC	E, Non-ALF	80	\$2,800,000	1	N	N		N	N	N	N	N/A	N	N	10	N	Y	NC	\$237,214.23	A	N	Y	2	Y	Y	40
2027-108C	WaterVue at Lake Geneva	Lake	M	Kevin A. Wenrich	Atlantic Housing Partners, L.L.P.	F	92	\$2,800,000	1	N	N		Y	N	N	N	N/A	Y	N	10	N	Y	NC	\$255,000.00	A	N	Y	1	Y	Y	8
2027-120C	Westside Phase II	Volusia	M	Darren Smith	NSRHDC Developer, LLC; Westside Phase II Fortis Developer, LLC	F	72	\$2,800,000	1	N	N		Y	N	Y	N	N/A	Y	Y	10	N	Y	NC	\$257,307.75	A	Y	N	2	Y	Y	54
2027-125C	Warrington Senior Living	Escambia	M	Michael Ruane	Heartland Development Group LLC; CORE Warrington Senior Developer LLC	E, Non-ALF	96	\$2,800,000	1	N	N		Y	N	Y	N	N/A	N	Y	10	N	Y	NC	\$212,463.34	A	Y	N	2	Y	Y	13
2027-126C	Magnolia Senior	Leon	M	Carmen Chubb	New Affordable Housing Partners, LLC; Tallahassee Housing Economic Corporation	E, Non-ALF	120	\$2,800,000	1	N	N		Y	Y	Y	N	N/A	N	N	10	N	Y	NC	\$192,750.25	A	Y	N	2	Y	Y	26

RFA 2026-201 - Board Approved Scoring Results

Page 4 of 4

Application Number	Name of Development	County	County Size	Name of Authorized Principal Representative	Name of Developers	Demo	Total Units	9% HC Request Amount	Priority Level	PHA Area of Opportunity	Limited to one Priority 1 award?	Nickname of group of P1 Apps if limit applies	Qualifies for LGAO Goal?	LGAO/ continuous support since 2024?	LGAO/ continuous support since 2025?	Qualifies for the SunRail Goal?	Level of SunRail Station	Family Dev in Medium County that qualifies for the Geographic Area of Opportunity / SADDA Funding Goal?	NP Goal?	Total Points	LGAO - lower preference?	Development Category Funding Preference	Development Category	Total Corp Funding Per Set-Aside	Leveraging Classification	Proximity Funding Preference	Qualifies as a Permit Ready Application?	Permit Ready Tier status	Developer Experience Funding Preference	Florida Job Creation Preference	Lottery Number
2027-136C	Lang Milian Phase I	Monroe	S	Darren Smith	SHAG Lang Milian Developer, LLC; Key West Housing Development Corporation	F	48	\$1,944,030	1	N	N		N	N	N	N	N/A	N	N	10	N	Y	NC	\$265,949.58	A	Y	N	2	Y	Y	25
2027-137C	Lakeshore Ridge	Leon	M	Michael Ruane	Heartland Development Group LLC; CORE Lakeshore Ridge Developer LLC	F	84	\$2,690,000	1	N	N		N	N	N	N	N/A	Y	Y	10	N	Y	NC	\$230,352.82	A	Y	N	2	Y	Y	16
2027-143C	Cypress Grove	Alachua	M	Michael Ruane	Heartland Development Group LLC; CORE Cypress Grove Developer LLC	F	84	\$2,650,000	1	N	N		N	N	N	N	N/A	Y	Y	10	N	Y	NC	\$223,471.75	A	Y	N	2	Y	Y	50

EXHIBIT

C

September 30, 2026

Sent Via E-mail

Ms. Ana McGlamory

Corporation Clerk

CorporationClerk@floridahousing.org

Florida Housing Finance Corporation

227 North Bronough, Suite 5000

Tallahassee, FL 32301

RECEIVED

SEP 30 2026 9:17 AM

FLORIDA HOUSING
FINANCE CORPORATION

RE: Notice of Intent to Protest, Request for Applications (RFA) 2026-201 Proposed Funding Selections

Dear Corporation Clerk:

On behalf of Applicant Loomis I, Ltd., for its proposed development Sanford Breeze (Application No. 2027-091C), we hereby give notice of the intent to protest the Preliminary Awards Notice and Scoring and Ranking of applications for RFA 2026-201 posted by Florida Housing Finance Corporation on September 25, 2026, at 10:15 am concerning Housing Credit Financing For Affordable Housing Developments Located In Small and Medium Counties.

— Board Approved Preliminary Awards/Notice of Intended Decision (posted September 25, 2026 at 10:15 a.m.)

- ▶ [RFA 2026-201 Received Applications](#)
- ▶ [RFA 2026-201 Applications Selected](#)
- ▶ [RFA 2026-201 Ranked Waiting List](#)

A formal written petition will be submitted within ten (10) days of this Notice as required by law.

Respectfully Submitted



Rodrigo Paredes

Cc: Ethan Katz, FHFC Counsel