

2015-109 PSN RFA Scoring Sheets

Scoring Items	Mandatory, Eligibility Requirement, Point Item, or Tie-Breaker?	Contributor/ Reporter	2016-271S	2016-272S	
Development Name			The Ranch at Pinellas Park	Flagler Commons II	
Submission Requirements Met (Section Three A and Section Five)	Eligibility	Karla	Y	Y	
D.2. Operating /Managing Permanent Supportive Housing Experience (maximum of 40 points)					
D.2. Operating /Managing Permanent Supportive Housing Experience (maximum of 40 points)	Point Item	Bill A	38	33	
K.1. Access to Groceries, Education, Household Shopping and Employment (Maximum of 20 points)					
K.1. Access to Groceries, Education, Household Shopping and Employment (Maximum of 20 points)	Point Item	John T	15	15	
K.2. Access to Specific Healthcare/Supportive Service Needs of Intended Residents (Maximum of 30 points)					
K.2. Access to Specific Healthcare/Supportive Service Needs of Intended Residents (Maximum of 30 points)	Point Item	Elaine	25	27	
L. Approach Toward Income and Credit Status of Intended Individuals and Families (Maximum of 15 points)					
L. Approach Toward Income and Credit Status of Intended Individuals and Families (Maximum of 15 points)	Point Item	Kevin M	12	14	
M. Tenant Selection (Maximum of 20 points)					
M. Tenant Selection (Maximum of 20 points)	Point Item	Elaine	17	14	
Total Points Awarded (maximum of 125)			107	103	
B.1. Selection of at least 1 Persons with Special Needs population					
B.1. Selection of at least 1 Persons with Special Needs population	Mandatory	Kevin M	Y	Y	
B.2. Description of demographic provided	Mandatory		Y	Y	
C.1. Contact information provided					
C.1. Contact information provided	Mandatory	Liz	Y	Y	
C.2. Applicant Name provided					
C.2. Applicant Name provided	Mandatory		Y	Y	
C.2. Applicant qualifies as a Non-Profit Applicant					
C.2. Applicant qualifies as a Non-Profit Applicant	Mandatory		N	Y	
C.3. Confirmation that at least 25% of the Developer fee is going to the Non-Profit entity provided					
C.3. Confirmation that at least 25% of the Developer fee is going to the Non-Profit entity provided	Mandatory	Y	Y		
C.4. Applicant and each Developer's Principals provided					
C.4. Applicant and each Developer's Principals provided	Mandatory	Y	Y		
D.1.a. Developer names provided					
D.1.a. Developer names provided	Mandatory	Y	Y		
D.1.b. Evidence that Developer is a legally formed entity provided					
D.1.b. Evidence that Developer is a legally formed entity provided	Mandatory	Y	Y		
D.1.c. Developer prior experience chart provided					
D.1.c. Developer prior experience chart provided	Mandatory	Y	Y		
E.1. Development name provided					
E.1. Development name provided	Mandatory	Karla	Y	Y	
E.2. Development county provided					
E.2. Development county provided	Mandatory		Y	Y	
E.3. Development address provided					
E.3. Development address provided	Mandatory		Y	Y	
E.4. Development Category provided					
E.4. Development Category provided	Mandatory	Y	Y		
E.5. Development Type provided					
E.5. Development Type provided	Mandatory	Y	Y		
E.7. Total Number of units in Development provided					
E.7. Total Number of units in Development provided	Mandatory	Y	Y		
E.8. Unit Mix provided					
E.8. Unit Mix provided	Mandatory	Y	Y		
G. Evidence of Site Control provided					
G. Evidence of Site Control provided	Mandatory	Liz	Y	Y	
H.1. Status of site plan/plat approval demonstrated					
H.1. Status of site plan/plat approval demonstrated	Mandatory	Karla	Y	Y	
H.2. Appropriate zoning demonstrated					
H.2. Appropriate zoning demonstrated	Mandatory		Y	Y	
H.3. Availability of electricity demonstrated					
H.3. Availability of electricity demonstrated	Mandatory		Y	Y	
H.4. Availability of water demonstrated					
H.4. Availability of water demonstrated	Mandatory	Y	Y		
H.5. Availability of sewer demonstrated					
H.5. Availability of sewer demonstrated	Mandatory	Y	Y		
H.6. Availability of roads demonstrated					
H.6. Availability of roads demonstrated	Mandatory	Y	Y		

2015-109 PSN RFA Scoring Sheets

Scoring Items	Mandatory, Eligibility Requirement, Point Item, or Tie-Breaker?	Contributor/ Reporter	2016-271S	2016-272S
Development Name			The Ranch at Pinellas Park	Flagler Commons II
N.1.a. SAIL Request Amount provided	Mandatory	Kevin T	Y	Y
N.3. Development Cost Pro Forma free from shortfalls	Mandatory		Y	Y
TDC less than or equal to TDC Limitation (Item C of Exhibit D)	Mandatory		Y	Y
Previous funding requirements met (Section Five)	Eligibility	Ken R	Y	Y
Financial Arrears Met (Section Five)	Eligibility	Kenny	Y	Y
Minimum Total Score of 65 is met?	Mandatory	Yes or No	Y	Y
All Mandatory Elements Met?	Eligibility	Yes or No	N	Y
All Eligibility Requirements Met?	Eligibility	Yes or No	N	Y
Tier Level (Section Five, D.1.)	Tie-Breaker	Liz	5	2
N.2. Qualifying Financial Assistance Funding Preference (Y/N)	Tie-Breaker	Kevin T	Y	N
N.5. Per Unit Construction Funding Preference (Y/N)	Tie-Breaker		Y	Y
Florida Job Creation Preference (Section Five, D.5.) (Y/N)	Tie-Breaker		Y	Y
Lottery Number	Tie-Breaker	Inspector General	4	1

2015-109 PSN RFA Scoring Sheets

Scoring Items	2016-273S	2016-274S	2016-275S	
Development Name	The Preserves at Clam Bayou	Ozanam Village II	Flagler Commons	
Submission Requirements Met (Section Three A and Section Five)	Y	Y	Y	0
D.2. Operating /Managing Permanent Supportive Housing Experience (maximum of 40 points)	38	32	30	
K.1. Access to Groceries, Education, Household Shopping and Employment (Maximum of 20 points)	15	16	15	
K.2. Access to Specific Healthcare/Supportive Service Needs of Intended Residents (Maximum of 30 points)	25	27	27	
L. Approach Toward Income and Credit Status of Intended Individuals and Families (Maximum of 15 points)	12	14	14	
M. Tenant Selection (Maximum of 20 points)	17	16	16	
Total Points Awarded (maximum of 125)	107	105	102	
B.1. Selection of at least 1 Persons with Special Needs population	Y	Y	Y	0
B.2. Description of demographic provided	Y	Y	Y	0
C.1. Contact information provided	Y	Y	Y	0
C.2. Applicant Name provided	Y	Y	Y	0
C.2. Applicant qualifies as a Non-Profit Applicant	Y	Y	Y	1
C.3. Confirmation that at least 25% of the Developer fee is going to the Non-Profit entity provided	Y	Y	Y	0
C.4. Applicant and each Developer's Principals provided	Y	Y	Y	0
D.1.a. Developer names provided	Y	Y	Y	0
D.1.b. Evidence that Developer is a legally formed entity provided	Y	Y	Y	0
D.1.c. Developer prior experience chart provided	Y	Y	Y	0
E.1. Development name provided	Y	Y	Y	0
E.2. Development county provided	Y	Y	Y	0
E.3. Development address provided	Y	Y	Y	0
E.4. Development Category provided	Y	Y	Y	0
E.5. Development Type provided	Y	Y	Y	0
E.7. Total Number of units in Development provided	Y	Y	Y	0
E.8. Unit Mix provided	Y	Y	Y	0
G. Evidence of Site Control provided	N	Y	Y	1
H.1. Status of site plan/plat approval demonstrated	Y	Y	Y	0
H.2. Appropriate zoning demonstrated	Y	Y	Y	0
H.3. Availability of electricity demonstrated	Y	Y	Y	0
H.4. Availability of water demonstrated	Y	Y	Y	0
H.5. Availability of sewer demonstrated	Y	Y	Y	0
H.6. Availability of roads demonstrated	Y	Y	Y	0

2015-109 PSN RFA Scoring Sheets

Scoring Items	2016-273S	2016-274S	2016-275S	
Development Name	The Preserves at Clam Bayou	Ozanam Village II	Flagler Commons	
N.1.a. SAIL Request Amount provided	Y	Y	Y	0
N.3. Development Cost Pro Forma free from shortfalls	Y	Y	Y	0
TDC less than or equal to TDC Limitation (Item C of Exhibit D)	Y	Y	Y	0
Previous funding requirements met (Section Five)	Y	Y	Y	0
Financial Arrears Met (Section Five)	Y	Y	Y	0
Minimum Total Score of 65 is met?	Y	Y	Y	0
All Mandatory Elements Met?	N	Y	Y	2
All Eligibility Requirements Met?	N	Y	Y	2
Tier Level (Section Five, D.1.)	5	3	2	
N.2. Qualifying Financial Assistance Funding Preference (Y/N)	Y	N	N	3
N.5. Per Unit Construction Funding Preference (Y/N)	Y	Y	Y	0
Florida Job Creation Preference (Section Five, D.5.) (Y/N)	Y	Y	Y	0
Lottery Number	3	5	2	